

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

- - - - -

HONORABLE EDWARD RAFEEDIE, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE PRESIDING

- - - - -

COPY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 PLAINTIFF,)
)
 VS.)
)
 JUAN RAMON MATTA-BALLESTEROS)
 DEL POZO. RUBEN ZUNO-ARCE,)
 JUAN JOSE BERNABE-RAMIREZ,)
 AND JAVIER VASQUEZ-VELASCO,)
)
 DEFENDANTS.)

CASE NO: CR 87-422(F)-ER

VOLUME 6

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1990

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

JULIE CHURCHILL, CSR
SUSAN A. LEE, CSR
OFFICIAL REPORTERS
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, 442-C
312 N. SPRING STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 626-6353
(213) 617-8227

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

GARY A. FEESS,
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: MANUEL A. MEDRANO
JOHN L. CARLTON
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS
1200 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
312 NORTH SPRING STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 894-0619/894-6682

FOR DEFENDANT JUAN RAMON MATTA-BALLESTEROS DEL POZO:

MARTIN R. STOLAR
MICHAEL J. BURNS, ESQ.
ADOLFO Z. AGUILAR, ESQ.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
351 NORTH BROADWAY, 4TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10013
(212) 219-1919; (213) 855-8888 EXT. 314

FOR DEFENDANT RUBEN ZUNO-ARCE:

MITCHELL. SILBERBERG & KNUPP
BY: EDWARD M. MEDVENE, ESQ.
DONALD DI NICOLA, ESQ.
JAMES BLANCARTE, ESQ.
11377 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064-1683
(213) 312-3150

FOR DEFENDANT JUAN JOSE BERNABE-RAMIREZ:

MARY KELLY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
827 MORAGA DRIVE
BEL AIR, CALIFORNIA 90049
(213) 472-7121
AND
BRIDGMAN, MORDKIN, GOULD & SHAPIRO, INC.
BY: MICHAEL S. MEZA, ESQ.
17050 BUSHARD STREET, STE. 200
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA 92708
(714) 898-0461; (213) 924-6606

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

FOR DEFENDANT JAVIER VASQUEZ-VELASCO:

FEDERAL LITIGATORS GROUP
BY: GREGORY NICOLAYSEN, ESQ.
8530 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, STE. 404
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211
(213) 854-5135

ALSO PRESENT:

DOUGLAS KUEHL, SPEC.AGT., D.E.A.

SPANISH INTERPRETERS

1 LOS ANGELES + CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1990

2 + 9:30 A.M.

3
4 (JURY NOT PRESENT.)

5 THE COURT: I WANT TO MAKE RULINGS ON THESE MOTIONS
6 THAT YOU RAISED AT THE CLOSE OF YESTERDAY'S SESSION.

7 I NOTE THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOT FILED ANY OPPOSITION TO
8 THIS MOTION. IN THE FUTURE, I WANT YOU TO FILE SOMETHING ON
9 THESE MOTIONS. THERE IS NO REASON WHY WE HAVE TO DO YOUR WORK
10 FOR YOU.

11 MR. MEDRANO: WE APOLOGIZE FOR THAT, YOUR HONOR.

12 THE COURT: THAT IS GROUNDS FOR GRANTING THE MOTION
13 IN AND OF ITSELF.

14 MR. MEDRANO: WE AGREE, YOUR HONOR. IT WAS AN
15 OVERSIGHT. IT WILL NOT HAPPEN AGAIN. INVARIABLY WE TRY ALWAYS
16 TO FILE AND PREPARE OPPOSITIONS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, I'VE GIVEN DUE
18 CONSIDERATION TO THIS MOTION, DEFENDANT MATTA'S MOTION IN
19 LIMINE TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN EVIDENCE. AND THE COURT HAS
20 CONCLUDED THAT EVIDENCE OF THE FOLLOWING THINGS ARE ADMISSIBLE
21 IN THIS CASE.

22 THE EVIDENCE OF THE MURDER OF WALKER, THE MURDER OF
23 RADELAT, THE KIDNAPPING AND MURDER OF ZAVALA, THE SHOOTING OF
24 THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT ON SEPTEMBER 30TH -- THAT IS THIS
25 WITNESS -- AND THE MACHINE GUNNING OF THE D.E.A. AGENT'S CAR.

1 RULING 404(B) PROHIBITS THE USE OF AN INDIVIDUAL'S
2 PRIOR ACTS AS A MEANS TO ESTABLISH THAT INDIVIDUAL'S CHARACTER
3 IN ORDER TO SHOW SUBSEQUENT ACTION AND CONFORMITY THEREWITH.
4 I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE HERE AT ALL.

5 THE GOVERNMENT HAS INDICATED IT DOES NOT SEEK TO
6 INTRODUCE THE BAD ACTS OF OTHERS TO ESTABLISH THE CHARACTER OF
7 THE DEFENDANT. THE COURT DOES NOT SEE HOW THE BAD ACTS OF
8 OTHER PERSONS CAN ESTABLISH THE BAD CHARACTER OF THE DEFENDANT.

9 THIS EVIDENCE IS ADMISSIBLE UNDER AT LEAST THREE
10 THEORIES. FIRST, IT IS ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF AN
11 ENTERPRISE ENGAGED IN RACKETEERING ACTIVITY; NAMELY, THE
12 OPERATION OF A NARCOTICS CARTEL. THE INDICTMENT NEED NOT
13 ALLEGE ALL THE ACTS OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY SOUGHT TO BE
14 PROVED AT TRIAL.

15 FURTHER, THE ACTS TO WHICH THIS WITNESS IS LIKELY TO
16 TESTIFY ARE ALLEGED IN THE GENERAL ALLEGATIONS OF THE
17 INDICTMENT.

18 SECOND, THIS ACTIVITY IS ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE THE
19 MOTIVE OR INTENT ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSES CHARGED AGAINST THE
20 DEFENDANT. SECTION 1959 REQUIRES THAT THE ALLEGED VIOLENT ACT
21 BE TAKEN TO GAIN ENTRANCE TO OR FURTHER ONE'S POSITION IN THE
22 ENTERPRISE.

23 THE EVIDENCE SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IS RELEVANT TO
24 ESTABLISH THAT THE ENTERPRISE WAS ENGAGED IN A CAMPAIGN TO
25 RETALIATE AGAINST THE D.E.A. THE CAMPAIGN IS RELEVANT TO

1 ESTABLISH THE REQUISITE MOTIVES OF THE DEFENDANTS IN
2 UNDERTAKING THE ALLEGED VIOLENT ACTS.

3 THE DEFENDANTS ARE ALSO CHARGED WITH KIDNAP AND
4 INTERROGATION OF A FEDERAL AGENT ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OFFICIAL
5 DUTIES. AGAIN, THE ENTERPRISE CAMPAIGN IS RELEVANT TO
6 ESTABLISH THAT THE DEFENDANTS WERE MOTIVATED TO KIDNAP CAMARENA
7 ON ACCOUNT OF CAMARENA'S ACTIVITIES BEING ANTAGONISTIC TO THE
8 ENTERPRISE.

9 FINALLY, THE COURT VIEWS THIS EVIDENCE AS ADMISSIBLE
10 TO ESTABLISH A SCHEME OR PLAN, WHICH IS ALLEGED IN THE
11 INDICTMENT. THE COURT DOES NOT FIND THAT THE PROBATIVE VALUE
12 OF THIS EVIDENCE WOULD BE OUTWEIGHED BY ITS PREJUDICIAL IMPACT.
13 SO IT IS THE COURT'S VIEW THAT THIS EVIDENCE SHOULD BE
14 ADMITTED.

15 NOW, WITH RESPECT TO -- THIS MOTION CONTAINED A
16 MOTION TO EXCLUDE FOR MR. MATTA EVIDENCE OF BERNABE'S ACCESSORY
17 AFTER THE FACT WITH RESPECT TO CARO'S EXIT FROM GUADALAJARA,
18 ARREST OF CARO IN COSTA RICA, THE CRIMES DISCUSSED AND PLANNED
19 BY BERNABE IN JULY OF 1989. I'M NOT SURE WHAT ALL THIS REFERS
20 TO, BUT MR. BERNABE IS THE ONLY PERSON CHARGED WITH THE CRIME
21 OF ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT. ANY EVIDENCE THAT WOULD BE
22 PRESENTED TO ESTABLISH THAT CHARGE COULD NOT -- WOULD BE
23 LIMITED, WOULD IT NOT, TO THE DEFENDANT WHO IS CHARGED WITH
24 BEING AN ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT?

25 MR. CARLTON: THAT'S ABSOLUTELY CORRECT, YOUR HONOR,

1 YES.

2 THE COURT: AND WOULD BE LIMITED TO THAT COUNT,
3 RIGHT?

4 MR. CARLTON: THAT IS CORRECT.

5 THE COURT: NOW, COUNT TEN IN THIS INDICTMENT DOES
6 NOT CHARGE ANY OF THESE DEFENDANTS, SO I ASSUME WE ARE NOT
7 GOING TO HEAR ANY EVIDENCE ON THAT, ARE WE?

8 MR. CARLTON: THAT'S ALSO CORRECT.

9 THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE ARREST OF CARO IN COSTA
10 RICA? ARE YOU GOING TO GO THROUGH ALL OF THAT IN THIS TRIAL?

11 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

12 (DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

13 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, IT'S OUR INTENT TO PRESENT
14 A VERY ABBREVIATED PRESENTATION OF CARO'S ARREST IN COSTA RICA,
15 JUST TO PLAY OUT THE CHRONOLOGY IN TERMS OF HIS ATTENDANCE IN
16 GUADALAJARA, HIS FLIGHT AT THE AIRPORT, AND ULTIMATE ARREST IN
17 COSTA RICA.

18 THE COURT: IF THE DEFENDANT HERE IS CHARGED WITH
19 BEING AN ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT ASSISTING IN THE ESCAPE, IT
20 SEEMS TO ME THAT THE THE EVIDENCE THAT IS MATERIAL TO THE
21 CHARGE.

22 MR. MEDRANO: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR, AND UNLIKE
23 THE FIRST TRIAL WHERE ONE OF THE DEFENDANTS ACTUALLY HELPED
24 TAKE CARO TO COSTA RICA, WE'LL NOT PRESENT THAT FULL-BLOWN
25 EVIDENCE, BUT IN A MORE ABBREVIATED FORM. BUT IT WILL COME IN

1 FOR THAT REASON.

2 THE COURT: THAT, OF COURSE, WILL BE ONLY AS TO THAT
3 DEFENDANT.

4 MR. CARLTON: YOUR HONOR, ONE ADDITIONAL POINT.
5 THERE WAS SOME JEWELRY, SOME IDENTIFYING JEWELRY FOUND WITH
6 CARO IN COSTA RICA THAT HAS SOME RELEVANCE TO THE BALANCES OF
7 THE CASE. BUT IT IS BASICALLY JUST THE FACT OF THE JEWELRY
8 THAT WOULD HAVE RELEVANCE TO TESTIMONY BY OTHER WITNESSES -- OR
9 ONE WITNESS, I SHOULD SAY. IT RELATES TO THAT WITNESS.

10 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THE CASE?
11 SOME JEWELRY WAS SEIZED? SOME JEWELRY BELONGING TO CARO
12 QUINTERO WAS SEIZED AFTER HIS ARREST?

13 MR. CARLTON: YES. YES, IT WAS, YOUR HONOR.

14 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THIS CASE?
15 HAS ALREADY BEEN DESCRIBED AS WEARING JEWELRY AND SO FORTH.

16 MR. CARLTON: WELL, IT IS A PARTICULAR PIECE OF
17 JEWELRY AND THE PARTICULAR DESCRIPTION OF THE JEWELRY WILL HAVE
18 BEARING ON THE CREDIBILITY OF ANOTHER WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.

19 THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT. IF THERE IS ANY
20 OBJECTION TO IT, IT MAY BE MADE AT THAT TIME.

21 NOW, THIS MORNING THE COUNSEL FOR JAVIER VASQUEZ
22 FILED A MOTION RELATING TO THE TESTIMONY OF DR. FELIPE RADELAT.
23 HAVE YOU SEEN IT?

24 MR. MEDRANO: WE JUST GOT IT THIS MORNING. YES.

25 THE COURT: HE STATES IN HERE THAT CERTAIN DENTAL

1 TESTS AND EXAMINATIONS WERE MADE AND THEY HAVE NOT BEEN
2 PROVIDED; IS THAT CORRECT?

3 MR. MEDRANO: I HAD JUST ADVISED MR. NICOLAYSEN, YOUR
4 HONOR, THAT DR. RADELAT, WHO IDENTIFIES THE REMAINS OF HIS SON
5 IN THE GUADALAJARA MORGUE AFTER THE DISCOVERY OF THE BODIES,
6 MADE A CURSORY IDENTIFICATION. HE GENERATED NO WRITTEN
7 REPORTS, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T HAVE ANY. I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY.
8 IF I DID, I WOULD HAVE GIVEN IT TO MR. NICOLAYSEN.

9 THE COURT: WAS HIS IDENTIFICATION BASED ON A DENTAL
10 EXAMINATION?

11 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S A PART OF THE I.D. THE OTHER
12 PART OF THE I.D. IS OTHER INJURIES, CHILDHOOD TYPE INJURIES
13 THAT HIS SON HAD SUFFERED. AND HE'S GOING TO BE ABLE TO SAY
14 THAT THOSE INJURIES WERE ON THE REMAINS THAT HE IDENTIFIED. WE
15 HAVE NO REPORTS.

16 THE COURT: THERE ARE NO REPORTS THAT HE PREPARED?

17 MR. MEDRANO: I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY, YOUR HONOR, NO.

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, AS THE COURT
19 KNOWS, THE STATUTE ALSO COVERS TEST RESULTS, NOT SIMPLY
20 REPORTS.

21 MR. MEDRANO: THERE ARE NO TESTS RESULTS EITHER, MR.
22 NICOLAYSEN.

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I FIND THAT SOMEWHAT INCONCEIVABLE
24 THAT IF THERE IS GOING TO BE EXPERT TESTIMONY ABOUT DENTAL
25 ANALYSIS --

1 THE COURT: COUNSEL, THIS IS NOT A PERSON WHO IS AN
2 EXPERT, IT'S A FATHER OF THE DECEASED.

3 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WOULD SUBMIT THERE HAS TO BE
4 EXPERT QUALIFICATIONS TO TESTIFY ABOUT A TYPE OF EXAMINATION
5 THAT REQUIRES EXPERT CREDENTIALS.

6 THE COURT: IT DEPENDS ON WHAT OPINION IS SOLICITED.
7 IF IT REQUIRES AN EXPERT OPINION. IF THE WITNESS
8 SIMPLY TESTIFIES TO THINGS THAT HE OBSERVED THAT HE KNOWS
9 ABOUT, I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT THAT WOULD REQUIRE AN EXPERT
10 OPINION.

11 MR. NICOLAYSEN: MY OBJECTION IN THE MOTION IS
12 LIMITED TO THE TESTIMONY THAT WOULD PERTAIN TO DENTAL
13 EXAMINATIONS, NOT TO HIS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.

14 THE COURT: GIVEN THE FACT THAT THERE ARE NO REPORTS,
15 THE GOVERNMENT STATES THAT I HAVE TO DENY THIS MOTION. THERE
16 IS NOTHING TO BE GIVEN TO YOU OR NOTHING HAS BEEN WITHHELD FROM
17 YOU.

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: VERY WELL. I WILL RESERVE MY
19 OBJECTION UNTIL THE TIME OF TESTIMONY AS TO FOUNDATION, YOUR
20 HONOR.

21 THE COURT: YES. ALL RIGHT. NOW, YOU ALSO FILED A
22 MOTION REGARDING EVIDENCE OF PERSONAL OR FAMILY HISTORY. IS
23 THIS SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO BE REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE BY
24 ANY WITNESS?

25 MR. MEDRANO: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. I'M TRYING TO

1 FIND THAT MOTION BY MR. NICOLAYSEN AT THIS TIME. WE RECEIVED
2 THAT THIS MORNING AT COUNSEL TABLE AS WELL.

3 THE COURT: ACCORDING TO THE MOTION, IT REGARDS THE
4 NICKNAME OF THE VASQUEZ VELASCO FAMILY, QUOTE: "TIERRA LIBRE",
5 END QUOTE. COUNSEL SAID THAT SHOULD BE EXCLUDED.

6 ARE YOU INTENDING TO MAKE ANY REFERENCE OR IS ANY
7 WITNESS INTENDING TO MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO THAT IN CONNECTION
8 WITH PRESENTING EVIDENCE AGAINST THIS DEFENDANT?

9 MR. MEDRANO: THERE WILL BE, YOUR HONOR. AND LET ME
10 STATE THE GROUND WHY IT SHOULD BE ADMISSIBLE. FIRST OF ALL, IN
11 DISCOVERY WEEKS AND WEEKS BEFORE THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL,
12 MR. NICOLAYSEN WAS AWARE THAT THAT NICKNAME EXISTED FOR HIS
13 CLIENT'S FAMILY, YET WE GET THIS MOTION ON TODAY. 2

14 SECOND OF ALL, YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE AT LEAST TWO
15 WITNESSES WHO KNOW THIS FAMILY OF BROTHERS OF WHICH DEFENDANT
16 JAVIER VASQUEZ IS A MEMBER. THEY ARE REFERRED TO -- BESIDES BY
17 THEIR LAST NAME VASQUEZ -- BUT ALSO AS THE TIERRA LIBRE
18 BROTHERS.

19 THAT DOES NOT CARRY -- UNLIKE MR. NICOLAYSEN'S
20 PROPOSITION IN HIS PAPERS, YOUR HONOR, ANY SUGGESTION OR NOTION
21 OF CRIMINALITY.

22 ON THE OTHER HAND -- RATHER, ALL IT SUGGESTS IS THAT
23 IT IS A WAY OF IDENTIFYING THE BROTHERS, BECAUSE THEY WERE
24 ALWAYS TRAVELING AROUND IN A PACK OR TOGETHER, YOUR HONOR. AND
25 THAT FACT IS VERY SIGNIFICANT AS PART OF OUR PROOF, BECAUSE

1 THESE BROTHERS TRAVELED TOGETHER. AND JAVIER VASQUEZ, ONE OF
2 THE DEFENDANTS, WAS ALWAYS IN THAT PACK OF BROTHERS.

3 BUT IT CARRIES NO SUGGESTION OR NOTION OF
4 CRIMINALITY. AT CLOSING ARGUMENT, WE'LL NOT SUGGEST THAT AT
5 ALL, BUT IT'S A WAY FOR OUR TWO WITNESSES, FOR THE BASIS OF THE
6 GOVERNMENT'S CASE AS TO THE RADELAT WALKER MURDERS, TO BE ABLE
7 TO IDENTIFY WHO THESE BROTHERS ARE.

8 SO, THEY'VE USED THE NAME VASQUEZ AND TIERRA LIBRA
9 BROTHERS INTERCHANGEABLY, AS YOU WILL SEE FROM THEIR TESTIMONY
10 AT TRIAL.

11 FINALLY, YOUR HONOR, JUST SO YOU'LL KNOW, TIERRA
12 LIBRA, LITERALLY TRANSLATED, MEANS FREE LANDS -- OR PERHAPS THE
13 COURT INTERPRETERS HERE CAN GIVE ME A BETTER --

14 THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S MY INTERPRETATION.

15 MR. MEDRANO: IT JUST MEANS FREE LAND, YOUR HONOR.
16 IT DOESN'T SAY THUGS OR MURDERERS OR ANYTHING ABSURD; IT JUST
17 MEANS FREE LAND. SO THAT DOES NOT SUGGEST ANY PREJUDICIAL
18 NOMENCLATURE ATTACHED TO IT, YOUR HONOR.

19 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE BOTTOM LINE
20 HERE IS THAT WHAT MAY SEEM AS A BENIGN NICKNAME IN ONE CONTEXT
21 BECOMES A HIGHLY INCRIMINATING AND EVEN SINISTER NICKNAME IN
22 THE CONTEXT OF THIS PROSECUTION.

23 IT DOES MEAN FREE LAND. IT WAS A NICKNAME GIVEN TO
24 THE ELDEST BROTHER SOMETIME AOG, BUT IN THE FRAMEWORK OF THIS
25 CASE, IT IS GOING TO BE ATTRIBUTED TO MY CLIENT FOR THE PURPOSE

1 OF IMPLYING --

2 THE COURT: WHY IS IT SO SINISTER IF IT IS ATTRIBUTED
3 TO YOUR CLIENT THAT THE FAMILY WAS KNOWN AS TIERRA LIBRES?

4 MR. NICOLAYSEN: FIRST OF ALL, THE MOST IMPORTANT
5 INCRIMINATING ASPECT OF IT IS THE TRIAL WILL SHOW THIS --
6 WHETHER IT COMES OUT IN THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE, OR IF HAS TO
7 COME OUT IN THE DEFENSE, DEPENDING ON YOUR RULING TODAY -- THAT
8 NAME WAS CREATED FOR ONE BROTHER, NOT FOR MY CLIENT.

9 AND THAT ONE BROTHER WAS KNOWN FOR HIS ACTIVE
10 CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR, SO THE NAME TIERRA LIBRE BECAME ASSOCIATED
11 WITH THE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND REPUTATION OF THAT BROTHER.
12 THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT THAT NAME CARRIES A CRIMINAL
13 CONNOTATION. AND I'M CONCERNED BECAUSE THERE IS NO PROBATIVE
14 NEED.

15 THE COURT: YOU THINK IT CARRIES A CRIMINAL
16 CONNOTATION TO THE JURY?

17 MR. NICOLAYSEN: IT DEPENDS ON HOW THE GOVERNMENT
18 PRESENTS IT, BUT I DON'T THINK WE CAN AVOID IT.

19 THE COURT: HERE IS THE WAY WE'LL HANDLE THIS. A
20 DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE CONTAMINATED BY REFERENCE TO HIS
21 FAMILY'S REPUTATION.

22 IF THIS EVIDENCE HAS SOME SPECIFIC PURPOSE OF
23 IDENTIFICATION OR SOMETHING THAT PARTICULARLY RELATES TO THIS
24 DEFENDANT, IT WOULD BE PERMISSIBLE. OTHERWISE, IT SHOULD NOT
25 BE REFERRED TO.

1 MR. MEDRANO: I AGREE, YOUR HONOR. IT WILL NOT BE
2 PRESENTED OTHER THAN FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION. THAT'S
3 OUR INTENT.

4 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, THEN I WOULD ASK AT
5 THIS TIME THAT THE COURT GIVE THE JURY EITHER THE ADMONISHMENT
6 OR LIMITING INSTRUCTION SO THE JURY DOES NOT MISAPPLY THE
7 REFERENCE TO THAT NAME.

8 THE COURT: YOU ASKED, YOU PREPARE IT AND I'LL
9 CONSIDER IT, BECAUSE I CAN'T CONCEIVE OF WHAT I WOULD TELL THE
10 JURY ABOUT THIS. I THINK IT'S MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING.

11 MR. NICOLAYSEN: IF IT IS MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING, I
12 WOULD SUGGEST WE ERR ON THE SIDE OF SAFETY AND EXCLUDE IT ALL
13 TOGETHER. IT'S NOT GOING TO LIMIT THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE ONE
14 BIT, BUT IT DOES --

15 THE COURT: I HAVE INDICATED WHAT MY RULING IS.
16 UNLESS IT IS PERTINENT TO SOME FACT IN THIS CASE, IT WILL NOT
17 COME IN.

18 MR. STOLAR: MAY I BE HEARD BRIEFLY, TO GO BACK TO
19 THE UNCHANGED CRIMES?

20 THE COURT: I HEARD YOUR ARGUMENT ON IT YESTERDAY.

21 MR. STOLAR: NO. I'M ASKING THE COURT - TO REMIND
22 THE COURT - TO GIVE A LIMITING INSTRUCTION WITH RESPECT TO THE
23 THREE HOMICIDES WITH WHICH MR. MATTA IS NOT CHARGED; THAT
24 WHENEVER ANY EVIDENCE IS PUT ON WITH RESPECT TO THAT, WALKER
25 RADELAT AND ZAVALA, THAT EVIDENCE WITH RESPECT TO THAT, THAT

1 THE JURY BE GIVEN A LIMITING INSTRUCTION THAT IT IS NOT
2 APPLICABLE TO MATTA.

3 THE COURT: IT IS APPLICABLE. YOU DIDN'T HEAR WHAT I
4 SAID.

5 MR. STOLAR: HE'S NOT CHARGED WITH ANY OF THOSE THREE
6 HOMICIDES.

7 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I HAVE SAID THAT
8 THERE ARE AT LEAST THREE THEORIES THAT THESE ARE ADMISSIBLE
9 AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS.

10 IT IS ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF AN
11 ENTERPRISE ENGAGED IN RACKETEERING ACTIVITY WITH WHICH YOUR
12 CLIENT IS CHARGED.

13 IT IS ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE THE MOTIVE OR INTENT
14 ELEMENTS OF THE CHARGE AGAINST THE DEFENDANT.

15 AND FINALLY, THE COURT -- IT IS ADMISSIBLE TO
16 ESTABLISH A SCHEME OR PLAN, WHICH IS ALLEGED IN THE INDICTMENT.

17 SO THERE WILL NOT BE ANY LIMITING INSTRUCTION, BUT
18 THIS EVIDENCE I THINK CAN RECEIVED ON THE SAME FOOTING AS
19 EVIDENCE OF STATEMENTS THAT I HAVE RECEIVED PREVIOUSLY,
20 PROVISIONALLY AND SUBJECT TO A MOTION TO STRIKE, IF THE
21 DEFENDANTS ARE NOT CONNECTED TO THE ENTERPRISE IN THE WAY THEY
22 ARE REQUIRED TO BE.

23 MR. STOLAR: I RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH THE COURT.
24 I THINK THAT'S WHAT CREATES THE PREJUDICE AGAINST MR. MATTA BY
25 BRINGING HIM INTO A TRIAL WHERE THERE ARE THREE OTHER HOMICIDES

1 WITH WHICH HE'S NOT CHARGED.

2 IN ANY EVENT, THERE IS ANOTHER THING I WANT TO POINT
3 OUT TO YOU. THE MACHINE GUNNING OF AGENT KNAPP'S CAR IS ON A
4 SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FOOTING THAN THE CURRENTWITNESS'S TESTIMONY.
5 THERE IS NO EVIDENCE ANYPLACE AS TO WHO DID THAT, WHETHER IT
6 WAS SOMEBODY CONNECTED WITH THE GUADALAJARA NARCOTICS CARTEL OR
7 NOT. WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE AS TO WHO MACHINE GUNNED
8 THAT CAR.

9 THERE ARE NO EYE WITNESSES, THERE IS NO INDICATION OF
10 WHETHER IT WAS ONE OF THESE DEFENDANTS OR SOMEBODY ELSE. IT IS
11 JUST AN EVENT OUT THERE WITH NO CONNECTION WHATSOEVER, LIKE
12 THIS WITNESS HAS CONNECTION, THROUGH THE STATEMENTS THAT ARE
13 MADE BY THE PERSON THAT SHOT HIM.

14 SO THAT STANDS ON A DIFFERENT FOOTING THAN ALL THE
15 OTHER UNCHARGED CRIMES THAT WE ARE SPEAKING ABOUT, BECAUSE WE
16 DON'T KNOW WHO DID IT.

17 THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THAT? WHO DID IT?

18 MR. CARLTON: THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT MR. KNAPP
19 WAS THE AGENT IN THE GUADALAJARA OFFICE WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF AN
20 INVESTIGATION FOCUSED SPECIFICALLY AT MR. MATTA AND MR. MIGUEL
21 FELIX GALLARDO.

22 AND IN THE COURSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION, HE USED THIS
23 CAR TO CONDUCT SURVEILLANCE ON FELIX GALLARDO, ONE OF THE RING
24 LEADERS OF THE CARTEL. HE WAS SPOTTED IN THAT CAR BY
25 BODYGUARDS FOR FELIX, WHO RAN HIM DOWN AND DEMANDED TO KNOW

1 WHAT HE WAS DOING IN THE AREA OF FELIX'S OFFICE.

2 NOW, HE ALSO USED THIS CAR GOING BACK AND FORTH ON AN
3 ALMOST DAILY BASIS TO PICK UP WIRE TAP TAPES CONCERNING FELIX
4 GALLARDO AND MATTA. AND IT WAS THIS SAME CAR THAT WAS THEN
5 MACHINE GUNNED IN FRONT OF HIS HOUSE, LESS THAN TWO WEEKS AFTER
6 MR. GARCIA BUENO WAS SHOT, IN A MANNER THAT MR. KNAPP WILL
7 TESTIFY APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN PROFESSIONALLY DONE. AND HE TOOK
8 IT AS A WARNING, A VERY PARTICULAR PATTERN OF MACHINE GUNNING,
9 ALL THE BULLET CASINGS WERE CLEANED UP, THE POLICE ARRIVED IN A
10 MATTER OF MINUTES.

11 THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT ANSWERING THE QUESTION. THAT
12 STANDS ON A DIFFERENT FOOTING THAN THIS WITNESS TELLING US WHO
13 SHOT HIM.

14 WE DON'T KNOW WHO SHOT UP THIS CAR; IS THAT RIGHT?
15 YOU'RE ASKING THE JURY TO JUMP TO THE CONCLUSION THAT IT WAS
16 SOMETHING CONNECTED TO THESE DEFENDANTS.

17 MR. CARLTON: WE'RE NOT ASKING THE JURY TO JUMP TO A
18 CONCLUSION, YOUR HONOR, BUT WE WILL SAY THAT EVIDENCE GOES TO
19 THE WEIGHT THAT THE JURY WISHES TO GIVE TO THIS RATHER THAN TO
20 ITS ADMISSIBILITY.

21 WE BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE IS SUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT A
22 FINDING BY THE JURY AS TO WHO DID THIS, AND THAT THE JURY
23 SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION.

24 THE COURT: THAT'S ENOUGH ARGUMENT. I'M GOING TO
25 ORDER THIS EVIDENCE EXCLUDED, BECAUSE IT STANDS ON A DIFFERENT

1 FOOTING THAN EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED BY THIS WITNESS.

2 NOW, WE HAVE LOST 35 MINUTES OF TRIAL TIME, AND I
3 WANT TO TELL YOU WHY WE HAVE. NUMBER ONE IS BECAUSE COUNSEL,
4 ALTHOUGH YOU HAD FILED THAT MOTION, YOU DIDN'T ASK THE COURT
5 FOR A RULING ON IT UNTIL THE WITNESS WAS ABOUT TO BE ASKED THE
6 CRITICAL QUESTION. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY HELPFUL IF YOU HAD
7 SAID IN THE MORNING THIS WITNESS IS COMING ON, WE HAVE A MOTION
8 PENDING REGARDING THIS, AND WE WOULD HAVE THEN HAD AN ANSWER
9 BEFORE THAT TIME.

10 THE SECOND REASON WE HAVE HAD THIS DELAY IS BECAUSE
11 THE GOVERNMENT DID NOT RESPOND TO THIS MOTION. SO I AM -- I
12 WANT TO ELIMINATE DELAYS IN THIS TRIAL, AND I WANT YOU TO
13 RESPOND TO THESE MOTIONS IN THE FUTURE.

14 NOW, WE'LL BRING THE JURY IN AND CONTINUE THE
15 EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS.

16 MR. STOLAR: THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THE ABSENCE OF
17 MR. BURNS THIS MORNING. HE'S OUT DOING SOME WORK.

18 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL OTHER COUNSEL ARE PRESENT AND
19 ALL DEFENDANTS ARE PRESENT.

20 (BRIEF INTERRUPTION.)

21 (JURY PRESENT.)

22 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

23 THE JURY: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

24 THE COURT: COUNSEL, YOU MAY CONTINUE YOUR
25 EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS.

1 MR. CARLTON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

2
3 CESARIO GARCIA BUENO + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION +

5 BY MR. CARLTON:

6 Q. MR. GARCIA BUENO, I BELIEVE WHEN WE LEFT OFF YESTERDAY YOU
7 WERE DESCRIBING WHAT HAPPENED AS YOU WAITED FOR MR. ZENDAJAS TO
8 MEET YOU AT MENOLA'S BAR.

9 DID HE EVENTUALLY ARRIVE AT THE BAR?

10 Q. YES, SIR, HE DID SHOW UP ABOUT 6:15 OR 6:30.

11 Q. WHAT WERE YOU DOING WHEN HE ARRIVED?

12 A. I WAS CHATTING WITH A FRIEND.

13 Q. WHAT DID MR. ZENDAJAS DO WHEN HE ARRIVED?

14 A. HE WALKED UP BEHIND ME AND HE IMMEDIATELY SHOT ME IN THE
15 BACK.

16 Q. DID YOU FALL TO THE GROUND? —

17 A. YES. I FELL TO THE GROUND AFTER THE SECOND SHOT.

18 Q. DID YOU HEAR MR. ZENDAJAS SAY SOMETHING?

19 A. HE SAID, "YOU'RE GOING TO DIE BECAUSE YOU'RE A SNITCH."

20 Q. DID HE CONTINUE TO SHOOT YOU?

21 A. YES. HE SHOT UNTIL HE RAN OUT. HE SHOT FIVE TIMES, THEN
22 HE SHOT AT THE TELEPHONE THAT WAS ON THE BAR AND HIS LAST SHOT
23 WAS FOR ME.

24 Q. DID HE THEN LEAVE?

25 A. YES. HE RAN WITH THE OTHER TWO PEOPLE THAT WERE WITH HIM.

1 Q. MR. GARCIA BUENO, PRIOR TO THIS TIME HAD YOU BEEN
2 COOPERATING WITH ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OTHER THAN THE
3 D.E.A.?

4 A. NO, SIR.

5 Q. WERE YOU TAKEN TO A HOSPITAL?

6 A. YES. I WAS TAKEN IN AN AMBULANCE TO THE GUADALAJARA CIVIL
7 HOSPITAL.

8 Q. AND WERE YOU EVENTUALLY FLOWN TO THE UNITED STATES?

9 A. YES. FIRST I WAS TAKEN TO THE MEXICAN MEXICANO HOSPITAL
10 BY MY FAMILY.

11 Q. AND THEN WHERE WERE YOU TAKEN?

12 A. AFTER THAT, I WAS TAKEN TO THE SCRIPPS CLINIC IN LA JOLLA.

13 Q. MR. GARCIA BUENO, ARE YOU PERMANANTLY DISABLED AS A RESULT
14 OF THAT SHOOTING?

15 A. YES, SIR.

16 Q. ARE YOU PRESENTLY RECEIVING WORKERS' COMPENSATION
17 BENEFITS?

18 A. YES, SIR.

19 Q. HOW MUCH ARE YOU RECEIVING?

20 A. \$2,300 EVERY 28 DAYS.

21 Q. AND ARE YOU AWARE OF THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT YOU WERE
22 PAID BY THE D.E.A., THE TOTAL AMOUNT?

23 A. APPROXIMATELY.

24 Q. HOW MUCH?

25 A. INCLUDING THE MONEY THAT I RECEIVED FOR THE JOB IN TEXAS

1 AND OTHERWISE, I HAVE RECEIVED A TOTAL OF APPROXIMATELY
2 \$195,000, AND IT ALSO WAS TO PAY SOME HOSPITAL BILLS THAT WERE
3 OUTSTANDING BECAUSE I NO LONGER HAD ANY PERSONAL MONEY TO PAY
4 THEM.

5 Q. WAS PART OF THE MONEY THAT WAS PAID TO YOU A REWARD?

6 A. YES. \$150,000.

7 Q. WHAT WAS THAT A REWARD FOR?

8 A. FOR THE MONEY THAT THE GOVERNMENT SEIZED IN TEXAS.

9 Q. DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY THAT WAS?

10 A. \$11,700,000.

11 Q. AND WHOSE MONEY WAS THAT?

12 MS. KELLY: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. LACK OF
13 FOUNDATION.

14 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

15 BY MR. CARLTON:

16 Q. WAS THAT MONEY SEIZED AS A RESULT OF YOUR EFFORTS IN THE
17 INVESTIGATION OF JUAN JOSE QUINTERO PAYAN?

18 A. THAT IS CORRECT, SIR.

19 MR. CARLTON: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

20 (DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

21 MR. CARLTON: NOTHING FURTHER.

22 THE COURT: YOU MAY CROSS-EXAMINE THE WITNESS.

23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION +

25 BY MR. STOLAR:

1 Q. GOOD MORNING, SIR.

2 A. GOOD MORNING.

3 A. IF I'M CORRECT, IF I UNDERSTOOD YOU CORRECTLY, ALMOST --
4 OR A GOOD DEAL OF THE WORK THAT YOU DID WITH AGENT CAMARENA IN
5 1984 DEALT WITH THE QUINTERO FAMILY; IS THAT RIGHT?

6 A. YES, SIR.

7 Q. WOULD IT BE ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY THE QUINTERO FAMILY THAT
8 YOU PROVIDED INFORMATION ON?

9 A. 70 PERCENT.

10 Q. 70 PERCENT. AND HOW LONG BEFORE YOU WERE SHOT WAS IT
11 THAT YOU HAD ASKED MR. ZENDAJAS TO GET YOU SOME INFORMATION ON
12 THE QUINTERO FAMILY?

13 A. I SAW HIM ABOUT FIVE TIMES DURING TWO MONTHS.

14 AND THE LAST TWO TIMES I SAW HIM WAS WHEN I
15 SPECIFICALLY ASKED HIM FOR THE ADDRESSES.

16 THE OTHER INFORMATION THAT I OBTAINED FROM HIM WAS
17 WITHOUT MY ASKING FOR IT, JUST LETTING HIM TALK.

18 Q. OKAY. HOW LONG BEFORE YOU WERE SHOT WAS THE LAST TIME YOU
19 SAW HIM?

20 A. MR. ZENDAJAS?

21 Q. YES.

22 A. THE DAY BEFORE HE SHOT ME.

23 Q. AT THE TIME THAT HE SHOT YOU, DID HE INDICATE IT WAS
24 BECAUSE YOU WERE A SNITCH ABOUT THE QUINTERO FAMILY; THAT THAT
25 WAS THE REASON?

1 A. NO, ONLY BECAUSE I WAS WORKING FOR THE D.E.A.; THAT I WAS
2 A SNITCH.

3 Q. YOU WERE TOLD, WEREN'T YOU, THAT HE HAD BEEN ORDERED BY
4 QUINTERO OR THE QUINTERO FAMILY TO SHOOT YOU?

5 A. NO. NOBODY SAID THAT TO ME.

6 Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN AGENT CAMARENA CAME TO VISIT YOU IN
7 THE HOSPITAL?

8 A. YES, SIR.

9 Q. DID HE TELL YOU THAT WHEN HE CAME TO VISIT YOU?

10 A. THE FIRST TIME TO VISIT ME, I WAS JUST COMING OUT OF A
11 COMA. HE DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING TO ME EXCEPT THAT EVERYTHING WAS
12 BEING TAKEN CARE OF, THAT I SHOULDN'T WORRY.

13 I THOUGHT THAT I WAS STILL IN GUADALAJARA.

14 Q. BUT HE ALSO CAME TO VISIT YOU ANOTHER TIME WHEN YOU WERE
15 FEELING BETTER, DIDN'T HE?

16 A. YES, ABOUT ONE MONTH PRIOR TO HIS KIDNAPPING.

17 Q. AND DIDN'T HE TELL YOU ON THAT OCCASION THAT THE QUINTERO
18 FAMILY HAD ORDERED ZENDAJAS TO KILL YOU?

19 MR. CARLTON: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS HEARSAY
20 AS TO WHAT AGENT CAMARENA SAID.

21 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

22 BY MR. STOLAR:

23 Q. DO YOU KNOW HOW LONG IT WAS BEFORE YOU WERE SHOT THAT THE
24 \$13,000,000 -- I'M SORRY, \$11,700,000 WAS FROZEN IN THE TEXAS
25 BANK ACCOUNTS?

1 A. THEY HAD BEEN FROZEN ABOUT THREE MONTHS PRIOR, BUT THE
2 PROCEEDINGS WERE NOT OVER WITH UNTIL AFTER I WAS SHOT.

3 Q. ALL RIGHT. THAT IS, THE FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS WERE NOT
4 COMPLETED, BUT THE ACCOUNT HAD BEEN FROZEN ALREADY?

5 A. YES. THAT IS CORRECT, SIR.

6 Q. AS ONE LAWYER TO ANOTHER, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT MEANS THEY
7 STARTED TO TAKE THE MONEY AND THEN THEY COMPLETED TAKING THE
8 MONEY LATER.

9 ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.

10 THE COURT: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

11 MR. MEZA: YES, YOUR HONOR, JUST ONE AREA THAT IS
12 JUST A LITTLE BIT OUTSIDE THE SCOPE.

13

14

CROSS-EXAMINATION +

15 BY MR. MEZA:

16 Q. MR. GARCIA BUENO, DO YOU KNOW AN AUTHOR BY THE NAME OF
17 ELAINE SHANNON?

18 A. YES, SIR.

19 Q. AND DID SHE INTERVIEW YOU SOME TIME AGO?

20 A. JUST ONCE. I SPOKE WITH HER FOR ABOUT HALF AN HOUR.

21 Q. AND DID SHE TALK TO YOU ABOUT ERNESTO FONSECA OR DID YOU
22 TALK TO HER ABOUT ERNESTO FONSECA?

23 A. NO, SHE SPOKE MORE SPECIFICALLY ABOUT MY FRIENDSHIP WITH
24 KIKI AND MY RELATIONSHIP WITH HIM.

25 WHEN SHE SPOKE WITH ME SHE HAD ALREADY FINISHED HER

1 BOOK.

2 Q. I SEE. OKAY.

3 Q. DID YOU DISCUSS D.F.S. AGENTS AT ALL WITH HER?

4 A. WE DID COMMENT ON IT.

5 Q. DID YOU TELL HER THAT ALL OF ERNESTO FONSECA'S BODYGUARDS
6 WERE D.F.S. AGENTS?

7 A. SHE ASKED ME IN AN AFFIRMATIVE MANNER WHETHER I KNEW THAT
8 ALL THE DRIVERS AND ALL THE BODYGUARDS BELONGED TO THE D.F.S.
9 AND I SAID YES, AND SHE ALREADY KNEW THAT.

10 MR. MEZA: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11 THE COURT: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS?

12 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NOTHING, YOUR HONOR.

13 THE COURT: REDIRECT?

14 MR. CARLTON: NOTHING, YOUR HONOR.

15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED.

16 WITNESS EXCUSED.)

17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

18 MR. CARLTON: THE GOVERNMENT CALLS THOMAS GOMEZ.

19

20 THOMAS GOMEZ + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, SWORN

21

22 THE CLERK: FOR THE RECORD, SIR, IF YOU WOULD PLEASE

23 STATE YOUR FULL NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

24 THE WITNESS: THOMAS GOMEZ, G O M E Z.

25 /

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION +

2 BY MR. CARLTON:

3 Q. MR. GOMEZ, WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT EMPLOYMENT?

4 A. SPECIAL AGENT WITH THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION.

5 Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE D.E.A.?

6 A. APPROXIMATELY 15 YEARS.

7 Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY PRIOR LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE?

8 A. YES, SIR. I HAD EIGHT YEARS AS A TUCSON, ARIZONA

9 POLICEMAN.

10 Q. WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT ASSIGNMENT?

11 A. AGENT IN CHARGE OF THE D.E.A. OFFICE IN HERMOSILLO,

12 MEXICO.

13 Q. AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED IN THAT POSITION?

14 A. A LITTLE OVER A YEAR.

15 Q. DRAWING YOUR ATTENTION TO NOVEMBER OF 1984, WHERE WERE YOU

16 ASSIGNED THE BEGINNING OF 1984?

17 A. AT THAT TIME I WAS A SPECIAL AGENT IN TUCSON. I WAS IN

18 GUADALAJARA, JALISCO, MEXICO ON A TEMPORARY ASSIGNMENT.

19 Q. WHEN DID YOU BEGIN YOUR TEMPORARY ASSIGNMENT IN

20 GUADALAJARA?

21 A. IT WAS OCTOBER 14TH, 1984.

22 Q. HOW LONG DID YOU REMAIN IN GUADALAJARA?

23 A. ONE MONTH.

24 Q. UNTIL NOVEMBER 14TH?

25 A. YES, SIR.

1 Q. WHAT WAS YOUR ASSIGNMENT IN GUADALAJARA?

2 A. MY ASSIGNMENT WAS TO FOLLOW, PHOTOGRAPH AND RECORD CERTAIN
3 INDIVIDUALS IN GUADALAJARA. MIGUEL ANGEL FELIX GALLARDO,
4 RAFAEL CARO QUINTERO, ERNESTO FONSECA, RAMON MATTA BALLESTEROS.

5 I RECEIVED A BRIEFING IN MEXICO CITY AND WAS SENT TO
6 GUADALAJARA TO FOLLOW AND PHOTOGRAPH THEIR ASSOCIATES AND THOSE
7 INDIVIDUALS AND REPORT ANY RADIO TRANSMISSIONS THAT THEY MADE
8 DURING OUR SURVEILLANCE.

9 Q. WERE THERE ANY PARTICULAR LOCATIONS IN GUADALAJARA WHICH
10 YOU WERE TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO?

11 A. YES, SIR. IN PARTICULAR, THE RESTAURANT CALLED LA
12 LANGOSTA, A MOTEL CALLED MOTEL LAS AMERICAS, REAL SUITES, AND A
13 COMMUNICATIONS CENTER.

14 IT WAS A HOUSE WITH A LARGE ANTENNA IN GUADALAJARA,
15 IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AREA.

16 Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR ASSIGNMENT TO THE GUADALAJARA
17 AIRPORT?

18 A. YES, SIR. THAT WAS ANOTHER AREA THAT WE CONCENTRATED ON
19 WAS TWO HANGARS THAT HAD THE NAME CESSNA ABOVE THE HANGARS.

20 AND THEY BELONGED TO MIGUEL ANGEL FELIX GALLARDO AND
21 RAFAEL CARO QUINTERO.

22 Q. DURING THE MONTH THAT YOU SPENT IN GUADALAJARA IN 1984,
23 DID YOU SPEND MOST OF YOUR TIME SURVEILLING THOSE LOCATIONS YOU
24 JUST DESCRIBED?

25 A. YES, SIR. ON A DAILY BASIS, MYSELF AND MY PARTNER WOULD

1 TRAVEL TO THOSE AREAS, PHOTOGRAPH THEM, HANG AROUND AND LOOK AT
2 WHAT WAS GOING ON.

3 Q. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR ACTIVITIES IN THE MONTH THAT
4 YOU WERE IN GUADALAJARA, DID YOU EVER SEE AN INDIVIDUAL NAMED
5 MIGUEL FELIX ANGEL GALLARDO -- MIGUEL ANGEL FELIX GALLARDO?

6 I'M SORRY.

7 A. YES, SIR, I DID.

8 Q. ON HOW MANY OCCASIONS DID YOU SEE THAT INDIVIDUAL?

9 A. I SAW HIM A TOTAL OF THREE TIMES DURING MY STAY IN
10 GUADALAJARA.

11 Q. WHEN WAS THE FIRST OCCASION THAT YOU SAW HIM?

12 A. THE FIRST OCCASION WAS APPROXIMATELY THE FIRST WEEK I
13 ARRIVED THERE. I WAS IN A CESSNA 210 AIRPLANE WITH AN
14 INDIVIDUAL NAMED ALFREDO ZAVALA AND SPECIAL AGENT ENRIQUE
15 CAMARENA.

16 AS WE WERE TAXIING OUT OF THE AIRPORT, A SMALL JET
17 WAS TAXIING IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION AND WE PASSED EACH OTHER.
18 AND FELIX GALLARDO WAS THE -- ON THE RIGHT FRONT SEAT OF THAT
19 JET, AND WE MADE EYEBALL-TO-EYEBALL CONTACT DURING THAT
20 PASSING -- TAXIING AT THE AIRPORT.

21 Q. MR. GOMEZ, I WOULD ASK YOU TO LOOK TO YOUR RIGHT TO THE
22 PHOTOGRAPH ON THE EASEL THERE, WHICH IS GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 15.

23 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT INDIVIDUAL?

24 A. YES, SIR, I DO.

25 Q. WHO IS THAT?

1 A. THAT IS MIGUEL ANGEL FELIX GALLARDO.

2 Q. IS THAT THE SAME INDIVIDUAL THAT YOU SAW IN THE JET THAT
3 YOU JUST DESCRIBED?

4 A. YES, SIR.

5 Q. WHEN WAS THE NEXT OCCASION THAT YOU SAW FELIX?

6 A. AT THIS COMMUNICATION CENTER THAT I TESTIFIED TO, I WAS
7 DRIVING BY AND HE WAS GETTING OUT OF A CAR WITH OTHER
8 INDIVIDUALS? I SAW HIM ALIGHT FROM THAT VEHICLE AND ENTER THE
9 COMMUNICATION CENTER.

10 Q. WHEN WAS THE THIRD OCCASION?

11 A. THE THIRD OCCASION WAS NOVEMBER 14TH, 1984, IN FRONT OF
12 THE CESSNA HANGAR AT THE GUADALAJARA JALISCO AIRPORT.

13 I WAS DRIVING A RENTED CAR IN FRONT OF HIS HANGAR,
14 AND HE WAS APPROXIMATELY FIVE FEET TO MY LEFT, OBSERVING AS I
15 WAS DRIVING BY.

16 Q. WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN BEING AT THE AIRPORT ON THAT
17 OCCASION?

18 A. THAT MORNING I HAD INSTRUCTED THE OTHER AGENT TO
19 PHOTOGRAPH THE INTERIOR OF THE HANGAR AS I DROVE BY VERY
20 SLOWLY.

21 ALL OF OUR ATTENTION WAS TO OUR RIGHT, AS THE HANGAR
22 WAS TO OUR RIGHT. I FELT SOMETHING ON MY LEFT.

23 AS THE AGENT WAS PHOTOGRAPHING, FELIX WAS WATCHING
24 OUR ACTIVITY. AGAIN, HE WAS STANDING THERE AND WE SPOTTED HIM
25 AT THE LAST MOMENT.

1 Q. ABOUT HOW FAR WAS HE FROM YOUR CAR?

2 A. APPROXIMATELY FIVE FEET.

3 Q. DID YOU DO ANYTHING UPON SEEING HIM?

4 A. I IMMEDIATELY ALERTED THE OTHER AGENT, AND I OBSERVED
5 FELIX RUN INTO THE HANGAR BEHIND MY CAR.

6 AT THAT TIME I ACCELERATED AT A FASTER PACE AWAY FROM
7 THE HANGARS. AND A BLACK CHEVROLET WITH TWO INDIVIDUALS IN IT
8 IMMEDIATELY CAME OUT OF THE HANGAR AND IMMEDIATELY STARTED
9 FOLLOWING US.

10 Q. NOW, DID YOU SEE ANYTHING IN THE HANGAR BEFORE YOU
11 ACCELERATED AWAY FROM THE AREA?

12 A. YES. I SAW SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS MEANDERING INSIDE,
13 STANDING. THERE WAS, I BELIEVE, AN AEROCOMMANDER, THERE WAS A
14 SMALLER CESSNA AIRCRAFT, THERE WAS A GRAND MARQUIS WITH A TRUNK
15 UP THAT I HAD SEEN FELIX GALLARDO ALIGHT FROM AT THE
16 COMMUNICATIONS CENTER.

17 AND THEN, OF COURSE, THE BLACK CHEVROLET THAT LEFT
18 THE HANGAR.

19 Q. WHERE DID YOU GO IN LEAVING THIS AREA?

20 A. I DROVE TO THE PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT IN
21 GUADALAJARA. AND AT THAT POINT, I DECIDED TO EVADE OR AVOID A
22 CONFRONTATION WITH THE INDIVIDUALS FOLLOWING US --

23 Q. EXCUSE ME. I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU.

24 A. -- DRIVING AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED AWAY FROM THE HANGARS.

25 AND HIS CAR ALSO ACCELERATED AT A HIGH RATE AND

1 FOLLOWED US INTO THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT. AT THAT POINT I
2 DECIDED TO GO INSIDE AND WAIT UNTIL THEY LEFT SO I COULD GET
3 BACK IN MY CAR AND GO BACK TO GUADALAJARA.

4 Q. HOW MANY INDIVIDUALS DID YOU SEE IN THE BLACK CHEVROLET?

5 A. THERE WERE TWO IN THE BLACK CHEVROLET.

6 Q. WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THE OTHER AGENT WHO WAS WITH YOU?

7 A. SPECIAL AGENT HECTOR SANCHEZ.

8 Q. WERE YOU CONCERNED ABOUT A CONFRONTATION WITH THE
9 INDIVIDUALS IN THE CHEVROLET?

10 THE COURT: YES, SIR.

11 MR. MEZA: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, ASKED AND ANSWERED.

12 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

13 BY MR. CARLTON:

14 Q. WHY WAS THAT?

15 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION; NO BASIS -- IT IS IRRELEVANT
16 WHAT HIS CONCERNS WERE.

17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

18 BY MR. CARLTON:

19 Q. WELL, YOU WENT INTO -- DID YOU GO INTO THE INTERNATIONAL
20 TERMINAL?

21 A. YES, SIR.

22 Q. DID AGENT SANCHEZ GO WITH YOU?

23 A. YES, SIR.

24 Q. WHY DID YOU DO THAT?

25 A. WE WALKED AROUND THE AIRPORT AND WENT UP TO THE SECOND

1 STORY AREA OF THE AIRPORT AND WALKED AROUND SOME SHOPS.

2 APPROXIMATELY 20 MINUTES LATER WE WENT BACK TO THE
3 CAR. AT THAT POINT I REMEMBER STICKING THE KEY INTO THE DOOR,
4 AND I HEARD AN ENGINE CRANK UP, AND LOOKED OVER AND IT WAS THE
5 SAME INDIVIDUALS IN THE BLACK CHEVROLET. THEY WERE PARKED TWO
6 CAR SPACES AHEAD OF US.

7 I ALSO NOTICED THE MARQUIS, A GOLD MARQUIS THAT
8 BELONGED TO FELIX TO THE RIGHT OF US. AGAIN, TWO INDIVIDUALS
9 IN IT. AND AGAIN, I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS SAFE TO LEAVE. THE
10 MOST PRUDENT ACT, I FELT, WAS TO GO BACK INTO THE TERMINAL
11 WHERE THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE AND BLEND IN WITH ALL THE
12 PEOPLE.

13 SO, AGAIN, WE IMMEDIATELY LEFT THE CAR AND WENT
14 INSIDE THE TERMINAL.

15 Q. WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT INSIDE THE TERMINAL?

16 A. WE MAINTAINED SURVEILLANCE OF THE PEOPLE UNTIL THESE TWO
17 VEHICLES, THESE FOUR -- THERE WERE NOW FOUR MEN. AND AT THAT
18 POINT I OBSERVED THE TWO INDIVIDUALS IN THE GRAND MARQUIS GET
19 OUT OF THE CAR AND APPROACH THE TERMINAL.

20 AT THAT POINT I INSTRUCTED AGENT SANCHEZ TO CALL THE
21 CONSULATE AND ADVISE THEM OF A POTENTIAL CONFRONTATION THAT
22 MIGHT OCCUR THERE. AND THE CONSULATE DISPATCHED THE MEXICAN
23 FEDERAL JUDICIAL POLICE TO COME AND ESCORT US OUT OF THE
24 AIRPORT.

25 Q. DID YOU NOTICE WHETHER THE INDIVIDUALS IN THESE CARS THAT

1 CAME INTO THE TERMINAL WERE ARMED?

2 A. YES, I DID.

3 Q. WHAT DID YOU SEE?

4 A. THEY WERE ARMED. THEY HAD LARGE BULGES UNDER THEIR SUIT
5 COATS. THEY WERE OBVIOUSLY HOLDING ON TO THEM. I HAD SEEN
6 THIS SCENARIO WITH THE COMMUNICATION CENTER WHEN FELIX HAD
7 ALIGHTED FROM HIS CAR. SO IT WAS OBVIOUS THAT WE WERE
8 CONFRONTED WITH ARMED MEN.

9 Q. WHAT DID YOU DO WHILE WAITING FOR THE --

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT TO THIS WHOLE
11 LINE OF QUESTIONING AS BEING IRRELEVANT. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO
12 WITH MY CLIENT AND I MOVE TO STRIKE.

13 MS. KELLY: YOUR HONOR, ALSO THERE IS NO FOUNDATION
14 FOR THE CONCLUSION THAT THE MEN WERE ARMED.

15 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?

16 MR. CARLTON: THE PURPOSE, YOUR HONOR, IS TO
17 DEMONSTRATE THAT MIGUEL ANGEL FELIX GALLARDO, ONE OF THE
18 LEADERS OF THE CARTEL, WAS AWARE OF D.E.A. SURVEILLANCE IN
19 NOVEMBER OF 1984 AND ENGAGED IN INTIMIDATING ACTIONS IN ORDER
20 TO DEFLECT THAT.

21 THE COURT: THE EVIDENCE MAY BE RECEIVED FOR THAT
22 PURPOSE.

23 BY MR. CARLTON:

24 Q.

25 Q. WHAT DID DO YOU WHILE WAITING FOR ASSISTANCE TO ARRIVE?

1 A. AGAIN, WE KEPT MOVING AROUND. THESE INDIVIDUALS KEPT
2 FOLLOWING US, AND WE WOULD HANG AROUND IN POCKETS OF PEOPLE
3 HANGING AROUND THE AIRPORT, AND AVOIDING -- STAYING AWAY FROM
4 THEM, AND THEY KEPT FOLLOWING US -- AND AVOIDING AN UP-CLOSE,
5 PERSONAL CONFRONTATION.

6 Q. DID ASSISTANCE EVENTUALLY ARRIVE?

7 A. ABSOLUTELY. APPROXIMATELY 11 AGENTS FROM THE MEXICAN
8 FEDERAL JUDICIAL POLICE AND THREE D.E.A. PEOPLE AND KIKI
9 CAMARENA AND AN INDIVIDUAL NAMED WALLACE AND ALAN BACHELIER,
10 AGENTS OF THE D.E.A.

11 THEY ARRIVED AND THE MEXICAN FEDS INSTRUCTED HECTOR
12 AND ME TO GET INSIDE. THEY FORMED A CIRCLE. THEY WERE ARMED
13 WITH SHOTGUNS AT HIGH PORT. THEY CIRCLED US AND SAID WHEN WE
14 GOT TO OUR CAR, THEY WOULD GET IN FRONT AND BACK OF US AND
15 ESCORT US TO THEIR COMANDANCIA.

16 WE DID THAT. THEY FORMED A CIRCLE, WE GOT IN THE
17 MIDDLE OF THE CIRCLE, AND THEY WALKED US OUT OF THE TERMINAL
18 INTO OUR CAR, AND THEN ESCORTED US OUT ON TO THE HIGHWAY.

19 Q. DID YOU NOTICE WHETHER THE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAD BEEN
20 FOLLOWING YOU WATCHED AS WITH YOU WERE ESCORTED OUT?

21 A. YES. THEY APPROACHED AND THEY GOT A REAL GOOD LOOK AT US.
22 THEY CAME UP REAL CLOSE BUT THEY STOPPED SHORT. I GAVE THEM AN
23 INTERNATIONAL HAND SIGN AND WE WALKED OUT.

24 (COURTROOM LAUGHTER.)

25 Q. WAS AGENT CAMARENA WITH YOU AS YOU WERE ESCORTED OUT OF

1 THE AIRPORT?

2 A. YES, SIR.

3 MR. CARLTON: NOTHING FURTHER.

4 THE COURT: YOU MAY CROSS-EXAMINE THE WITNESS, BUT
5 LEAVE THAT SUBJECT ALONE, THE SIGN.

6 (COURTROOM LAUGHTER.)

7 MR. STOLAR: THAT WAS GOING TO BE THE SUBJECT -- ALL
8 I WANTED TO ASK HIM. WHAT; S O S?

9

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION +

11 BY MR. STOLAR:

12 Q. IT IS YOUR PRACTICE AS A SPECIAL AGENT TO WRITE UP REPORTS
13 OF YOUR ACTIVITIES, PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU'RE DOING A
14 SURVEILLANCE; IS IT NOT?

15 A. IT DEPENDS; IT IS NOT CARTE BLANCHE. IF THE SITUATION
16 DICTATES, ONE WILL WRITE A REPORT. SOMETIMES NOT.

17 Q. YOU INDICATED YOU SAW FELIX GALLARDO ON NOVEMBER THE 14TH
18 AT THE AIRPORT AND YOU WROTE A REPORT CONCERNING THAT; DID YOU
19 NOT?

20 A. YES, I WROTE A MEMORANDUM.

21 Q. OKAY. WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER TWO TIMES YOU SAY YOU SAW
22 FELIX GALLARDO? DID YOU WRITE A SUMMARY OF WHERE YOU SAW HIM
23 AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES?

24 A. NO. SANCHEZ AND I -- I BELIEVE SANCHEZ MIGHT HAVE BEEN
25 KEEPING NOTES, BUT IT WAS MORE OF A LONG-TERM SURVEILLANCE.

1 AND THEN AT THE END OF THE SURVEILLANCE, WE WOULD DO A
2 COMPREHENSIVE REPORT.

3 Q. THAT YOU PARTICIPATED IN WRITING?

4 A. AT THE END OF OUR TOUR, WE WOULD WRITE A REPORT.

5 Q. DID YOU DO SUCH A REPORT?

6 A. OUR TOUR WAS CUT SHORT, SO WE NEVER DID GET TO MAKE THAT
7 COMPREHENSIVE REPORT.

8 Q. THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU TOOK, DID YOU GET A PHOTOGRAPH OF
9 FELIX GALLARDO AT THE AIRPORT?

10 A. THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT HECTOR TOOK, I DON'T KNOW WHAT
11 HAPPENED TO THEM.

12 Q. DID YOU SEE THE INTERIOR OF THE HANGAR WHERE YOU SAW A JET
13 AND AN AEROCOMMANDER?

14 A. YES, SIR.

15 Q. DID YOU SEE THE TAIL NUMBERS ON EITHER THE JET OR THE
16 AEROCOMMANDER?

17 A. NO, SIR. MY ATTENTION WASN'T THAT DETAILED WHERE I WOULD
18 NOTE THE TAIL NUMBERS DURING THAT PARTICULAR PASS.

19 Q. DO YOU KNOW OR DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION WHETHER THE
20 TAIL NUMBERS BEGAN WITH AN N OR BEGAN WITH AN X?

21 A. TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, THEY WERE BOTH AT
22 DIFFERENT TIMES BOTH N'S AND X'S.

23 Q. I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT THESE TWO PLANES YOU SAW ON
24 NOVEMBER 14TH, DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION WHETHER THEY WERE
25 N'S OR X'S?

1 A. I DON'T RECALL.

2 Q. DID YOU, YOURSELF, TAKE ANY PICTURES OF FELIX GALLARDO?

3 A. NO, SIR.

4 Q. DID YOU TAKE ANY PICTURES OF FONSECA?

5 A. NO, I DIDN'T TAKE PHOTOGRAPHS. I WAS THE DRIVER AND MY
6 PARTNER WOULD TAKE THE PICTURES.

7 Q. SANCHEZ WAS THE PHOTOGRAPHER OF THE CREW?

8 A. YES, SIR.

9 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE TOOK PICTURES OF CARO?

10 A. NO. TO MY KNOWLEDGE, HE DID NOT. WE DIDN'T HAVE THAT
11 OPPORTUNITY TO PHOTOGRAPH HIM.

12 Q. HOW ABOUT PICTURES OF FONSECA?

13 A. NO, SIR.

14 Q. PICTURES OF MATTA?

15 A. NO, SIR.

16 Q. YOU NEVER TOOK A PICTURE OF MATTA?

17 A. NO, SIR.

18 Q. IN ALL THE TIME WERE DOING SURVEILLANCE, LIKE OVER A MONTH
19 FROM OCTOBER 14 TO NOVEMBER 14, YOU TOOK NO PICTURES OF MATTA?

20 A. NEVER.

21 MR. STOLAR: I HAVE NOTHING ELSE THEN. THANK YOU.

22

23

CROSS-EXAMINATION +

24 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

25 Q. AGENT GOMEZ, I BELIEVE YOU MENTIONED ON DIRECT THE NAME LA

1 LANGOSTA. DID I HEAR YOU CORRECTLY?

2 A. NO, SIR.

3 Q. YOU DID NOT?

4 A. NO, I DID NOT.

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: MY APOLOGIES. THANK YOU.

6 THE COURT: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

7 MS. KELLY: BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR.

8

9

CROSS-EXAMINATION +

10 BY MS. KELLY:

11 Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, AGENT.

12 A. GOOD MORNING.

13 Q. GOOD MORNING. I'M SORRY.

14 DID YOU CONSIDER THIS EVENT AT THE AIRPORT TO BE IN
15 THE NATURE OF AN ASSAULT?

16 A. I'M SORRY?

17 Q. DID YOU CONSIDER THIS EVENT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN DESCRIBING
18 TO BE THE IN THE NATURE OF AN ASSAULT?

19 A. IT DIDN'T GET THAT FAR. IF WE WOULD HAVE ALLOWED IT AND
20 MADE THE CONFRONTATION, I THINK IT WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY
21 OBVIOUSLY SOME KIND OF AN ASSAULT.

22 Q. DID YOU MAKE A DETAILED REPORT ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES
23 SURROUNDING THESE EVENTS?

24 A. NO, I DRAFTED A MEMORANDUM AND IT WAS VERY GENERAL.

25 Q. AND YOU DIDN'T INCLUDE ANY OF THE DESCRIPTIONS THAT YOU

1 MADE TODAY ABOUT THE EYE CONTACT AND FOLLOWING YOU INTO THE
2 AIRPORT?

3 A. NO. I MENTIONED THAT I CONFRONTED -- THAT WE HAD FELIX
4 GALLARDO TO OUR LEFT, AND THAT TYPE OF THING.

5 Q. DID YOU MENTION THAT THE MEN WERE ARMED?

6 A. NO, MA'AM.

7 Q. AND THESE EVENTS ALL OCCURRED SOMETIME AGO, RIGHT?

8 A. THEY HAPPENED NOVEMBER 14, 1984.

9 Q. AND THE PURPOSE OF WRITING THE MEMORANDUM IS TO RECALL THE
10 EVENT LATER ON; ISN'T IT?

11 A. NO, MA'AM, NOT NECESSARILY. IT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS
12 REQUESTED OF ME. AGAIN, WE WERE TO DO A COMPREHENSIVE
13 SURVEILLANCE OR OUR OBSERVATIONS IN GUADALAJARA OF THESE
14 PEOPLE.

15 THE MEMO, MA'AM, WAS PUT TOGETHER AT SOMEBODY'S
16 REQUEST; IT WASN'T A TYPICAL INVESTIGATIVE REPORT THAT I WOULD
17 AUTHOR.

18 Q. IS IT THE POLICY OF THE D.E.A., THOUGH, TO REQUIRE REPORTS
19 BEING WRITTEN ON CONFRONTATIONS SUCH AS THE NATURE THAT YOU
20 HAVE DESCRIBED?

21 A. NO, AGAIN, THAT'S A VERY AMBIGUOUS AREA. IF AN AGENT
22 FEELS HE HAS TO WRITE A REPORT, IT IS NOT MANDATED, IT IS NOT
23 ABSOLUTE. IT DEPENDS ON WHAT IS HAPPENING AND WHERE AND WHAT
24 YOU'RE DOING. IT IS NOT AN ABSOLUTE.

25 Q. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

1 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S
2 PERMISSION, I WOULD ASK THAT THE COURT REPORTER BE ALLOWED TO
3 LOOK AT THE VERY BEGINNING OF THIS AGENT'S DIRECT EXAMINATION
4 TO CONFIRM THAT HE DID MENTION THE LA LANGOSTA RESTAURANT. HE
5 SEEMS NOT TO RECALL THAT NOW.

6 THE COURT: I DON'T RECALL IT EITHER.

7 THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY. I DID MENTION THE LA
8 LANGOSTA RESTAURANT. I THOUGHT YOU HAD MENTIONED AN
9 INDIVIDUAL'S NAME, MAGOSTA. I MISUNDERSTOOD YOU.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THANK YOU, AGENT GOMEZ, FOR
11 CLARIFYING THAT. DID YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR ASSIGNMENTS
12 WHILE YOU WERE IN GUADALAJARA SPECIFICALLY IN REGARD TO THE LA
13 LANGOSTA RESTAURANT?

14 A. YES, SIR.

15 Q. COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THEY WERE?

16 A. THE LA LANGOSTA RESTAURANT WAS OWNED BY AN INDIVIDUAL
17 NAMED COCHI LOCO AND MANUEL SALCIDO UZETA. AND THE TRAFFICKERS
18 THAT I MENTIONED ALL CONGREGATED THERE AND IT WAS A HAUNT OR
19 HANGOUT OF THEIRS.

20 Q. AND OVER WHAT TIME PERIOD DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN ANY
21 INVESTIGATION REGARDING THAT RESTAURANT?

22 A. DURING THAT MONTH THAT I WAS THERE, WE WOULD GO IN THERE
23 AND EAT LUNCH AND ATTEMPT TO SIT NEXT TO THESE TRAFFICKERS.

24 Q. WHAT MONTH WAS THAT; COULD YOU TELL US?

25 A. YES. I REMEMBER FROM OCTOBER 14, 1984 TO NOVEMBER 14,

1 1984.

2 Q. WERE THE TRAFFICKERS CUSTOMARILY THERE DURING THE NOON
3 HOUR?

4 A. WE NEVER DID REALLY MAKE CONTACT WITH THE TRAFFICKERS
5 THROUGH THAT MONTH. THE TIME WE ATE LUNCH, ONE TIME, THERE WAS
6 A LARGE TABLE WITH WELL-DRESSED INDIVIDUALS THAT WE FELT WERE
7 TRAFFICKERS, BUT WE WERE VERY DISCREET, AND WHEN WE SAT NEXT TO
8 THEM, THEY MOVED AWAY.

9 Q. DID YOU DRESS IN A SOMEWHAT INNOCUOUS ATTIRE SO YOU
10 LOOKED LIKE GENERAL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?

11 A. WE WORE JEANS AND SPORT SHIRTS, THAT TYPE OF THING. IT
12 WAS NOTHING OSTENTATIOUS. WE TRIED TO BLEND IN WITH PEOPLE
13 THERE.

14 Q. BLEND IN LIKE THE TOURIST COMMUNITY THERE IN GUADALAJARA?

15 A. PARDON SIR?

16 Q. BLEND IN WITH THE TOURIST COMMUNITY THERE IN GUADALAJARA?

17 A. JUST GENERALLY WITH PEOPLE.

18 Q. WAS IT THE PRACTICE OF THE D.E.A. TO ATTEMPT TO PENETRATE
19 THE NARCOTICS COMMUNITY BY GOING INTO THAT RESTAURANT AND BEING
20 IN THE CROWD OF TRAFFICKERS, IF YOU WILL, THERE?

21 A. NO, SIR.

22 Q. WHAT WAS THE PARTICULAR INVESTIGATIVE POLICY REGARDING THE
23 LA LANGOSTA RESTAURANT?

24 WHAT WERE YOUR PARTICULAR INSTRUCTIONS?

25 A. THE INSTRUCTIONS WERE TO STAY OUT OF THE PLACE.

1 Q. WHY WERE YOU THERE?

2 A. WANTING TO GET CLOSER TO THE TRAFFICKERS AND MAYBE GET
3 SOME OVERHEARDS (SIC).

4 Q. WERE YOU ACTING IN A MANNER THAT WAS INCONSISTENT WITH
5 YOUR D.E.A. DIRECTIVES BY BEING THERE; IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE
6 TELLING US?

7 A. IT WAS MORE OF A WARNING TO STAY OUT OF THERE, THAT IT WAS
8 A DANGEROUS PLACE TO GO. IF WE WERE FOUND OUT TO BE D.E.A., WE
9 MIGHT BE IN DANGER THERE, SO IT WAS MORE OF A WARNING AND AN
10 ADVISEMENT TO STAY OUT OF THE PLACE.

11 Q. WHO ADVISED YOU?

12 A. THE SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE IN GUADALAJARA AT THAT TIME,
13 JAMES KUYKENDALL. KIKI CAMARENA ADVISED US TO STAY OUT OF
14 THERE.

15 Q. AND WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME
16 THE LA LANGOSTA RESTAURANT WAS UNDER D.E.A. SURVEILLANCE?

17 A. OBVIOUSLY, WHILE WE WERE THERE DURING THAT MONTH, WE WENT
18 FREQUENT.

19 Q. WERE YOU AWARE OF D.E.A. SURVEILLANCE OF THAT RESTAURANT
20 BEFORE NOVEMBER OF '84 WHEN YOU GOT INVOLVED?

21 A. NO, SIR.

22 Q. WERE YOU AWARE OF D.E.A. SURVEILLANCE PAST NOVEMBER OF
23 '84; THAT IS, INTO DECEMBER OF '84 AND INTO JANUARY OF '85?

24 A. I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT.

25 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF A LA LANGOSTA FILE, AS SUCH, WHICH

1 CONTAINED INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS OF THE D.E.A.'S EFFORTS TO
2 PENETRATE THAT RESTAURANT, IF YOU WILL?

3 A. I'M NOT AWARE OF SUCH A FILE.

4 Q. WERE PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN OF PEOPLE COMING IN AND OUT OF THE
5 RESTAURANT, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?

6 MR. CARLTON: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS ISN'T A
7 DISCOVERY PROCEEDING; THAT'S IRRELEVANT.

8 THE COURT: YES, SUSTAINED.

9 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

10 Q. WERE THE NAMES JOHN WALKER AND ALBERTO RADELAT FAMILIAR TO
11 YOU OR, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, TO ANYONE ELSE IN THE D.E.A. PRIOR
12 TO JANUARY 30, 1985?

13 A. I'M NOT AWARE OF THOSE NAMES PRIOR. DURING OUR STAY
14 THERE, WE WERE NOT AWARE OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS.

15 Q. YOU VIRTUALLY NEVER SAW MY CLIENT, JAVIER VASQUEZ, AT THE
16 LA LANGOSTA RESTAURANT; DID YOU?

17 A. I HAVE NO RECALL IF HE WAS THERE OR NOT.

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THANK YOU.

19 THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT EXAMINATION?

20 MR. CARLTON: VERY QUICKLY, YOUR HONOR.

21

22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION +

23 BY MR. CARLTON:

24 Q. AGENT GOMEZ, YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOUR STAY IN GUADALAJARA
25 WAS CUT SHORT. WHY WAS THAT?

1 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.

2 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

3 MR. CARLTON: WHEN DID YOU LEAVE GUADALAJARA?

4 THE COURT: THAT HAS BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

5 MR. CARLTON: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

6 THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN.

7 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

8 (WITNESS EXCUSED.)

9 THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

10 MR. MEDRANO: MAY WE HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR

11 HONOR?

12 (WITNESS SUMMONED TO THE COURTROOM.)

13 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME THE GOVERNMENT

14 WOULD CALL TO THE STAND DR. FELIPE RADELAT.

15

16 FELIPE ALBERTO RADELAT, M.D., + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, SWORN

17

18 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL

19 NAME FOR THE RECORD AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

20 THE WITNESS: MY LAST NAME IS RADELAT. MY FULL NAME

21 IS FELIPE ALBERTO RADELAT.

22 THE COURT: WILL YOU SPELL YOUR LAST NAME, PLEASE.

23 THE WITNESS: R A D E L A T.

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION +

25

BY MR. MEDRANO:

- 1 Q. GOOD MORNING, SIR. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSION?
- 2 A. I'M A MEDICAL DOCTOR, SIR.
- 3 Q. WHAT TYPE OF MEDICAL DOCTOR ARE YOU, SPECIFICALLY?
- 4 A. FAMILY PRACTICE.
- 5 Q. HOW OLD ARE YOU, DR. RADELAT?
- 6 A. 73.
- 7 Q. WERE YOU BORN IN THE UNITED STATES?
- 8 A. NO, I WAS BORN IN CUBA.
- 9 Q. WHEN DID YOU COME TO THE UNITED STATES?
- 10 A. LAST TIME I WAS -- IN AUGUST 1960.
- 11 Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A MEDICAL DOCTOR, DR. RADELAT?
- 12 A. SINCE 1943.
- 13 Q. ARE YOU A UNITED STATES CITIZEN?
- 14 A. YES, I DO (SIC).
- 15 Q. MARRIED?
- 16 A. YES, I DO (SIC).
- 17 Q. CHILDREN?
- 18 A. I HAVE TWO CHILDREN NOW.
- 19 Q. AND THEIR AGES?
- 20 A. 35, 25.
- 21 Q. ALBERTO RADELAT; WAS HE YOUR SON?
- 22 A. HE WAS.
- 23 Q. IS HE DECEASED NOW?
- 24 A. HE IS.
- 25 Q. WHAT STATE DO YOU CURRENTLY RESIDE IN, DR. RADELAT?

1 A. PARDON ME?

2 Q. WHAT STATE DO YOU CURRENTLY RESIDE IN?

3 A. (NO RESPONSE.)

4 Q. WHAT STATE?

5 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

6 THE WITNESS: OH, I LIVE IN TEXAS. I'M SORRY, I
7 DIDN'T UNDERSTAND.

8 BY MR. MEDRANO:

9 Q. DR. RADELAT, YOU SHOULD HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU, SIR, A
10 PHOTOGRAPH IDENTIFIED AS GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 46.

11 DO YOU SEE THAT, SIR?

12 A. YES.

13 Q. COULD I ASK YOU TO HOLD IT UP FOR THE JURY AND IDENTIFY --

14 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT.

15 MR. MEDRANO: RATHER -- FIRST --

16 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT.

17 MR. MEDRANO: PUT IT DOWN FIRST, AND JUST IDENTIFY IT
18 FOR US, IF YOU CAN.

19 THE WITNESS: THIS IS ALBERTO RADELAT, MY OLDEST SON,
20 WHO'S DECEASED NOW.

21 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WE SEEK ITS ADMISSION AT
22 THIS TIME.

23 THE COURT: IT MAY BE RECEIVED. IT IS NOT NECESSARY
24 TO HOLD IT UP.

25 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. YOU CAN PUT

1 THAT DOWN, DOCTOR. THANK YOU.

2 BY MR. MEDRANO:

3 Q. IN JANUARY OF '85 -- STRIKE THAT.

4 IN DECEMBER OF '84, DR. RADELAT, WAS ALBERTO, YOUR
5 SON, STILL LIVING?

6 A. YES, HE WAS.

7 Q. AND AT THAT TIME, WHAT WAS HIS APPROXIMATE AGE?

8 A. HE WAS 32.

9 Q. IN DECEMBER OF 1984, WHAT WAS HIS IMMIGRATION STATUS IN
10 THE UNITED STATES?

11 A. HE WAS A LEGAL RESIDENT.

12 Q. A LEGAL RESIDENT ALIEN?

13 A. YES.

14 Q. I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO DECEMBER OF 1984,
15 DR. RADELAT.

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WANT TO POSE AN OBJECTION TO THE
17 ANSWER AS LACKING FOUNDATION. THERE IS NO FOUNDATION FOR THE
18 RESPONSE THAT HE WAS A LEGAL RESIDENT ALIEN.

19 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

20 BY MR. MEDRANO:

21 Q. I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION, DR. RADELAT, TO
22 DECEMBER OF 1984.

23 WHERE WAS ALBERTO RADELAT IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER
24 1984?

25 A. HE WAS IN FORT WORTH, TEXAS.

1 Q. AT ANY POINT DOES HE LEAVE FORT WORTH, TEXAS?

2 A. YES. ON DECEMBER 29, HE TRAVELED TO MEXICO.

3 Q. WHERE IN MEXICO?

4 A. GUADALAJARA.

5 Q. FOR WHAT PURPOSE?

6 A. HE WAS ON VACATION.

7 Q. DID ALBERTO -- STRIKE THAT.

8 WAS ALBERTO RADELAT A PHOTOGRAPHER?

9 A. HE WAS.

10 Q. HAVE YOU HEARD THE NAME JOHN WALKER, DR. RADELAT?

11 A. YES, I DID.

12 Q. WHEN ALBERTO RADELAT WENT TO GUADALAJARA, WHO WAS HE GOING
13 TO STAY WITH?

14 A. HE WAS GOING TO STAY WITH JOHN WALKER, WHO WAS HIS FRIEND.
15 THEY BOTH LIKED PHOTOGRAPHY, AND THEY HAD BEEN FRIENDS FOR SOME
16 TIME, ESPECIALLY IN PHOTOGRAPHY.

17 Q. LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO THE LATTER PART OF
18 JANUARY OF 1985. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH ALBERTO THE
19 LATTER PART AFTER JANUARY '85?

20 A. YES. HE USED TO CALL PERIODICALLY WHEN HE WAS IN MEXICO,
21 GUADALAJARA. AND ON JANUARY 29TH, HE CALLED MY OFFERS AND HE
22 SPOKE TO MY SECRETARY, AND I WASN'T IN THE OFFICE AT THAT
23 MOMENT.

24 AND SINCE I WASN'T THERE, HE PROMISED TO CALL BACK
25 AGAIN LATER, BY HE DID.

1 Q. WHEN HE DID, DID YOU TALK TO HIM?

2 A. THE PURPOSE OF HIS CALL WAS BECAUSE HE WAS CALLING ON THE
3 31ST, COMING HOME, AND HE WANTED THAT I GO TO PICK HIM UP AT
4 THE AIRPORT.

5 Q. WHAT DID YOU SAY TO ALBERTO WHEN YOU LEARNED THIS?

6 A. PARDON ME?

7 Q. WHAT DID YOU REPLY TO ALBERTO?

8 A. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I WAS GOING TO BE AN EXPERT
9 WITNESS IN LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. IF YOU WANT THAT I EXPLAIN WHY I
10 WAS GOING TO BE IT, I DO IT.

11 Q. THAT'S NOT NECESSARY, BUT WERE YOU GOING TO TESTIFY IN AN
12 UNRELATED PROCEEDING?

13 A. AS AN EXPERT WITNESS, AS A DOCTOR.

14 Q. IN A CIVIL CASE?

15 A. CIVIL CASE.

16 Q. SO IN LIGHT OF THAT INFORMATION, WHAT DID YOU TELL ALBERTO
17 RADELAT?

18 A. I TOLD HIM THAT I WAS TRAVELING AROUND THE 10TH, THE NEXT
19 DAY, TO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. AND I WAS GOING TO BE IN COURT THE
20 31ST. I WAS COMING BACK THE 1ST.

21 Q. OF FEBRUARY?

22 A. OF FEBRUARY. AND THAT IF HE COULD, POSTPONE THE TRIP
23 UNTIL THE FOLLOWING DAY, SO HE AGREED. AND THAT WAS ALL. WE
24 WERE GOING TO MEET HIM AT THE AIRPORT ON FEBRUARY 1ST.

25 Q. DO YOU GO TO LAS VEGAS, DOCTOR?

1 A. WE DID.

2 Q. YOU SAY "WE". WHO WENT WITH YOU?

3 A. MY WIFE WENT WITH ME.

4 Q. DID YOU EVENTUALLY RETURN TO FORT WORTH, TEXAS?

5 A. WE RETURN ON THE 1ST, IN THE MORNING. AS A MATTER OF
6 FACT, I TOOK AN EARLY FLIGHT SO I WOULD BE EARLY IN THE
7 DALLAS-FT. WORTH AIRPORT TO MEET ALBERTO THAT WAS COMING BACK
8 FROM MEXICO IN AN AIRPLANE THEY FLY.

9 Q. DID YOU GO TO MEET THE PLANE THAT YOU EXPECTED ALBERTO TO
10 COME IN ON?

11 A. YES, WE DID.

12 Q. WHAT HAPPENED?

13 A. WELL, HE WASN'T IN THAT PLANE. AND AFTER ALL THE
14 PASSENGERS CAME OUT, HE DIDN'T COME OUT, SO I SAW THE CREW
15 COMING OUT AND I TALKED TO THEM.

16 I ASKED FOR HIM, AND THEY SAID THERE WAS NOBODY ELSE
17 ON THE AIRPLANE, AND WOULD I GIVE THE NAME? AND THEY SAID
18 THERE WAS NO SUCH A PERSON ON THE PLANE.

19 Q. THIS IS FEBRUARY 1, 1985?

20 A. FEBRUARY 1, 1985, CORRECT.

21 Q. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT YOU DO, DR. RADELAT?

22 A. PARDON ME?

23 Q. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT YOU DO, DR. RADELAT?

24 A. THE MAIN THINGS I DID WAS CALLING GUADALAJARA TO THE
25 TELEPHONE OF A LADY NAMED ELVIRA SANCHEZ.

1 Q. WHO WAS ELVIRA SANCHEZ?

2 A. ELVIRA SANCHEZ WAS THE LANDLORD OF JOHN WALKER. JOHN
3 WALKER DIDN'T HAVE A TELEPHONE IN HIS HOUSE SO HE USED ELVIRA
4 SANCHEZ'S TELEPHONE.

5 SOMETIME -- I DON'T REMEMBER -- WE GOT ALBERT, AND
6 THEN ELVIRA SANCHEZ TO THE PHONE THROUGH ALBERT.

7 SO WE CALL HER AND SHE EXPLAIN TO US THAT ALBERT AND
8 JOHN WENT OUT ON THE 30TH, THE NIGHT OF THE 30TH, BECAUSE
9 ALBERT WAS COMING BACK THE NEXT DAY, AND HE WAS TREATING HIM
10 WITH DINNER, A GOOD DINNER, GOOD RESTAURANT IN GUADALAJARA.
11 BUT THEY DIDN'T COME BACK.

12 Q. THEY DIDN'T COME BACK FROM DINNER?

13 A. NO.

14 Q. ON JANUARY 30?

15 A. THEY DIDN'T COME BACK. AND SHE SAY THAT SHE WENT TO
16 SLEEP, AND THEN LATER, AROUND 9:00 OR 9:30, SHE DIDN'T HEAR
17 THEM COMING.

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I OBJECT TO THIS ENTIRE ANSWER AS
19 LACKING FOUNDATION AND TOTALLY BEING BASED ON HEARSAY, THE
20 STATEMENTS OF MISS SANCHEZ.

21 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I MOVE TO STRIKE.

23 MR. MEDRANO: WITH YOUR PERMISSION, YOUR HONOR,
24 OFFERED FOR STATE OF MIND, IF NOT -- SIMPLY NOT HEARSAY PURPOSE
25 TO INDICATE WHAT THE WITNESS DOES AFTER HE'S ADVISED OF THIS.

1 THE COURT: I THINK YOU CAN GET INTO THAT WITHOUT
2 GOING INTO THIS CONVERSATION. THE CONVERSATION IS STRICKEN.
3 THE JURY WILL DISREGARD IT. ASK THE WITNESS WHAT HE DID.

4 MR. MEDRANO: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.

5 BY MR. MEDRANO:

6 Q. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT YOU DO, DR. RADELAT, AFTER
7 SPEAKING TO ELVIRA SANCHEZ?

8 A. WAIT AND CALL AGAIN. I WAS CALLING EVERY DAY TO FIND OUT
9 IF THEY HAD COME BACK, AND ALWAYS WAS THE SAME NEGATIVE ANSWER.

10 Q. UP TO WHAT TIME --WELL, STRIKE THAT.

11 DO YOU MAKE THESE CALLS FREQUENTLY?

12 A. EVERY DAY.

13 Q. DO YOU SPEAK TO ELVIRA SANCHEZ?

14 A. YES, I DID.

15 Q. UP TO WHEN DO YOU CONTAIN MAKING THESE PHONE CALLS, DR.
16 RADELAT?

17 A. PARDON ME?

18 Q. UP TO WHAT DATE DO YOU CONTINUE MAKING THESE TELEPHONE
19 CALLS?

20 A. AROUND THE 7TH, I WAS ALREADY WORRIED ABOUT (SIC), AND
21 ALSO IT WAS PUBLISHED IN PAPER, THE DISAPPEARANCE OF D.E.A.
22 CAMARENA. SO I GOT REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT.

23 SO I DECIDED TO GO TO MEXICO MYSELF, BUT MY WIFE
24 WANTED TO GO WITH ME AND I DIDN'T WANT SHE GO WITH ME, SO I
25 START DELAYING THAT. AT THE END, I HAD TO LET HER COME WITH

1 ME, AND I FLEW TO MEXICO, TO GUADALAJARA, ON FEBRUARY 14TH.

2 Q. WHY DID YOU NOT WANT -- WHAT IS YOUR WIFE'S NAME, DR.
3 RADELAT?

4 A. ANA.

5 Q. WHY DID YOU NOT WANT HER TO GO WITH YOU AT THE BEGINNING?

6 A. BECAUSE I HAVE A BAD FEELING THAT SOMETHING HAD HAPPENED.
7 AND AFTER THE NEWS ABOUT THE DISAPPEARANCE OF THE D.E.A.
8 CAMARENA, I THOUGHT SOMETHING WAS GOING ON AND I WAS -- I
9 DIDN'T WANT TO EXPOSE HER TO SOMETHING.

10 Q. DR. RADELAT, YOU'RE FLUENT IN SPANISH; ARE YOU NOT?

11 A. I AM.

12 Q. ALBERTO RADELAT, WAS HE FLUENT IN SPANISH?

13 A. HE WAS ALSO FLUENT IN SPANISH.

14 Q. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING YOU DO IN GUADALAJARA ON FEBRUARY
15 14?

16 A. FIRST THING, I WENT TO HOTEL. FROM THERE, I TOOK A TAXI
17 AND GO TO ELVIRA SANCHEZ'S HOUSE.

18 Q. DO YOU EVER CONVERSE -- WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT ELVIRA
19 SANCHEZ SAYS, DO YOU SPEAK WITH HER?

20 A. YES, I SPOKE TO HER, AND SHE YOU TOLD ME --

21 Q. LET ME STOP YOU. DID YOU SPEAK TO HER ABOUT ALBERTO
22 RADELAT?

23 A. EXACTLY.

24 Q. NOW, AT ANY POINT DO YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE
25 ANYTHING WHEN YOU WERE AT THE HOUSE OF ELVIRA SANCHEZ?

1 A. LET ME EXPLAIN TO YOU. WHEN WE ARE DOWN THERE, ELVIRA
2 SANCHEZ TOLD US THAT THAT DAY --

3 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT. THERE MAY BE
4 HEARSAY IN HERE, AND I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO CAUTION THE
5 WITNESS.

6 THE COURT: THE QUESTION WAS: DID YOU HAVE AN
7 OPPORTUNITY TO INSPECT ANYTHING AT THE HOUSE?

8 THE WITNESS: YES, I DID.

9 BY MR. MEDRANO:

10 Q. IF YOU COULD JUST ANSWER THAT QUESTION, DR. RADELAT.

11 A. I WENT TO THE APARTMENT WHERE JOHN WALKER USED TO LIVE AND
12 EXAMINED EVERYTHING THERE. IT WAS A JAR OF PEANUT BUTTER,
13 STILL OPEN, A CUP OF COFFEE HALFWAY, AND SOMETHING THAT THE
14 PEOPLE THAT WERE THERE LEFT WITH THE PURPOSE OF COMING BACK
15 SOON. AND THAT --

16 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT. ASK A QUESTION.

17 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

18 BY MR. MEDRANO:

19 Q. DO YOU SEE IN THIS ROOM ANY ITEMS THAT BELONG TO ALBERTO
20 RADELAT?

21 A. YES. IN THE ROOM THAT ALBERTO WAS STAYING, ON THE BED
22 THERE WAS A SUITCASE WITH ALL HIS BELONGINGS, VERY WELL
23 ARRANGED.

24 Q. DID YOU RECOGNIZE THE SUITCASE AS ALBERTO'S?

25 A. I RECOGNIZE THE SUITCASE, YES.

1 Q. WHAT ELSE DO YOU FIND?

2 A. I FOUND HIS DRIVER LICENSE, ANOTHER I.D. CARD, SOME MONEY,
3 MEXICAN MONEY. I DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING ELSE.

4 Q. DID YOU FIND IN THOSE POSSESSIONS ANY AIRPLANE TICKETS?

5 A. YES. THERE WAS AN AIRLINE TICKET, YES.

6 Q. CAN I DIRECT YOU -- UNDER THE PHOTOGRAPH, WOULD YOU PLEASE
7 LOOK AT GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 47, DR. RADELAT.

8 COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT IS, SIR?

9 A. THAT IS THE AIRLINE TICKET OF MEXICANA AIRLINES, BELONGING
10 TO ALBERTO, MY SON. BUT HE NEVER USED IT TO RETURN.

11 MR. MEDRANO: YOU MAY PUT THAT DOWN. YOUR HONOR, WE
12 SEEK ITS ADMISSION AT THIS TIME.

13 THE COURT: THAT MAY BE RECEIVED.

14 (EXHIBIT # 47 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q. DR. RADELAT, WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT YOU DO?

17 A. THE NEXT THING I DID WAS THE NEXT DAY, I WENT TO THE U.S.
18 CONSULATE TO FIND OUT WHAT WAS HAPPENING.

19 Q. THIS WAS FEBRUARY 15TH, SIR?

20 A. FEBRUARY 15.

21 Q. AND --

22 A. '85.

23 Q. AND DO YOU MEET AT THE CONSULATE WITH MY REPRESENTATIVE OF
24 THE U.S. CONSUL?

25 A. YES, I MET A *GEORGE GOLDSTEIN, WAS ONE OF THE U.S.

1 CONSUL.

2 Q. THIS IS *GEORGE CHURCHILL?

3 A GEORGE GOLDSTEIN.

4 Q. AFTER MEETING WITH *GEORGE CHURCHILL, DO YOU MAKE ANY
5 ATTEMPTS TO LOCATE ALBERTO RADELAT?

6 A. THEY RECOMMENDED ME TO GO TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND
7 NOTIFY OF THE DISAPPEARANCE OF ALBERTO, MY SON, AND ALSO HIS
8 FRIEND, JOHN WALKER.

9 Q. DO YOU DO SO?

10 A. I DID SO.

11 Q. DID YOU EVER FILE A MISSING PERSONS REPORT?

12 A. YES.

13 Q. WHEN IN GUADALAJARA, DR. RADELAT, DID YOU SEARCH ANY
14 PARTICULAR AREAS TO LOOK FOR ALBERTO?

15 A. YES, I DID.

16 Q. TELL US WHAT?

17 A. WELL, I WENT TO THE RESTAURANTS, AND THERE WAS A REPORT
18 ABOUT A TAXI DRIVER SAW TWO AMERICANS GOING TO A RESTAURANT
19 CALLED *CARAMBAS -- GREEN.

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION.

21 THE WITNESS: -- *GREEN.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S HEARSAY. NO
23 HEARSAY EXCEPTION WOULD BE RELEVANT TO THIS TESTIMONY.

24 THE COURT: THE QUESTION WAS DID YOU SEARCH SOME
25 PLACE?

1 THE WITNESS: I DID SEARCH.

2 BY MR. MEDRANO:

3 Q. JUST TELL US WHERE YOU SEARCHED, DR. RADELAT.

4 A. I SEARCHED IN THE AREA WHERE THE RESTAURANTS ARE AND THE
5 BEST PLACES IN GUADALAJARA. THERE IS AN --

6 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. JUST A
7 MOMENT.

8 WAS THERE SOME OTHER PLACE THAT YOU SEARCHED?

9 THE WITNESS: WELL, THERE WAS OTHER PLACES, BUT
10 MOSTLY IN THE SAME AREA. RESTAURANTS AND PLACE WHERE YOUNG
11 PEOPLE GO.

12 BY MR. MEDRANO:

13 Q. DR. RADELAT, DID YOU EVER SEARCH THE JAILS FOR ALBERTO?

14 A. PARDON ME?

15 Q. THE JAILS?

16 A. YES. WELL, I VISIT WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
17 OF JALISCO ON THE 17TH, FEBRUARY 17.

18 THE COURT: WELL, THE QUESTION WAS DID YOU EVER VISIT
19 THE JAILS?

20 THE WITNESS: I WAS SAYING THAT JUST TO GO TO THE
21 AREA, BECAUSE HE WAS SUGGEST TO ME AND HE ALLOWED TO ME TO GO
22 TO THE JAILS IN GUADALAJARA.

23 I VISIT ABOUT SEVEN JAILS, WITH THE POSSIBILITY THEY
24 WERE ARRESTED OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q. AT NONE OF THE LOCATIONS WHERE YOU LOOKED, DR. RADELAT,
2 YOU NEVER FOUND ALBERTO?

3 A. NO.

4 Q. FROM FEBRUARY 14, WHEN YOU ARRIVED IN GUADALAJARA, TO THE
5 END OF FEBRUARY, ARE YOU IN GUADALAJARA THAT WHOLE TIME?

6 A. I WAS IN GUADALAJARA THE WHOLE TIME.

7 Q. DURING THIS ENTIRE TIME, DR. RADELAT, WERE YOU INVOLVED IN
8 THESE EFFORTS TO ATTEMPT TO LOCATE YOUR SON?

9 A. YES, I DID. I VISIT --

10 THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

11 THE WITNESS: OKAY.

12 BY MR. MEDRANO:

13 Q. DO YOU EVER TRAVEL OUTSIDE OF GUADALAJARA IN YOUR
14 CONTINUING EFFORTS, DR. RADELAT?

15 A. YES. ON FEBRUARY 28TH, I WENT TO IRAPUATO IN THE STATE OF
16 GUADALAJARA.

17 Q. FOR WHAT PURPOSE?

18 A. JUST TO HAVE SOME HELP. I HAVE SOME KNOWLEDGE OF PEOPLE,
19 AND WE MEET IN THAT PLACE WHO MAYBE COULD HELP ME.

20 Q. WERE YOU TRYING AT THIS TIME TO RECRUIT ASSISTANCE?

21 A. ABSOLUTELY.

22 Q. BESIDES IRAPUATO, DID YOU EVER GO TO MEXICO CITY?

23 A. YES, I DID.

24 Q. THE APPROXIMATE DATE FOR THAT WOULD BE?

25 A. AROUND MARCH THE 3RD.

1 Q. DID YOU GO TO MEXICO CITY FOR THE SAME PURPOSE?

2 A. FOR THE SAME PURPOSE.

3 Q. TO RECRUIT ASSISTANCE?

4 A. ABSOLUTELY, YES.

5 Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, SIR -- STRIKE THAT.

6 IN THE MONTH OF MARCH, DR. RADELAT, DO YOU EVER LEAVE
7 MEXICO?

8 A. YES, I DID, AROUND THE MIDDLE OF MARCH.

9 Q. WHERE DO YOU GO?

10 A. I CAME BACK TO FORT WORTH, TEXAS.

11 Q. FOR WHAT PURPOSE?

12 A. TO GET MONEY. I WAS GETTING SHORT OF MONEY.

13 Q. AFTER GETTING MONEY --

14 A. I WENT BACK TO MEXICO.

15 Q. THE ENTIRE MONTH OF MARCH OF 1985, ARE YOU INVOLVED IN
16 EFFORTS TO LOCATE ALBERTO IN MEXICO?

17 A. PARDON ME?

18 Q. THE ENTIRE MONTH OF MARCH OF 1985, ARE YOU INVOLVED IN
19 EFFORTS TO LOCATE ALBERTO?

20 A. YES, I DID (SIC).

21 Q. THE ENTIRE MONTH OF APRIL OF 1985, ARE YOU INVOLVED IN
22 SIMILAR EFFORTS?

23 A. YES, I DID (SIC).

24 Q. THE ENTIRE MONTH OF MAY 1985, SIR, THE SAME EFFORTS?

25 A. YES, I DID.

1 Q. I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO JUNE OF 1985, DR.
2 RADELAT.

3 WERE YOU STILL IN MEXICO LOOKING FOR ALBERTO THE
4 FIRST HALF OF THE MONTH OF JUNE OF 1985?

5 A. YES, I WAS.

6 Q. JUNE 17TH, 1985, WHERE ARE YOU ON THAT DATE?

7 A. I WAS IN HOTEL IN MEXICO CITY.

8 Q. WHY WERE YOU IN MEXICO CITY ON JUNE 17TH?

9 A. AFTER I LEFT GUADALAJARA AT THE END OF MARCH, I WENT TO
10 MEXICO CITY AND SPENT ALL THE TIME THERE, OF COURSE, COMING
11 BACK AND FORTH TO GUADALAJARA.

12 BUT IN MEXICO CITY, I HAVE MORE CONNECTIONS AND I WAS
13 TRYING TO GET SOME HELP FROM THE AUTHORITIES DOWN THERE.

14 Q. DOES ANYTHING UNUSUAL HAPPEN ON JUNE 17TH, DR. RADELAT?

15 A. ON JUNE 17TH, I GOT A CALL FROM LARRY LANE, THE GENERAL
16 COUNSEL IN THE EMBASSY, THE U.S. EMBASSY.

17 AND HE YOU TOLD ME THAT I SHOULD GO TO GUADALAJARA
18 BECAUSE THEY HAVE FOUND TWO BODIES, BODIES THAT HAVE BEEN -- HE
19 HAD BEEN TOLD THAT THEY WERE ALBERTO RADELAT, MY SON, AND JOHN
20 WALKER.

21 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.

22 THE COURT: HE'S EXPLAINING WHAT HE WAS TOLD, NOT FOR
23 THE PURPOSE OF THE TRUTH OF IT.

24 MR. MEDRANO: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. NOT
25 OFFERED FOR TRUTH, NO, AT THIS POINT.

1 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WOULD JUST ASK THAT THE JURY BE SO
2 ADVISED ON THAT.

3 THE COURT: THIS IS TO EXPLAIN THE WITNESS'S CONDUCT.
4 WHAT HE WAS TOLD CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS TRUE; THAT IS NOT WHY
5 IT'S OFFERED. IT IS OFFERED SIMPLY TO, PERHAPS, DESCRIBE WHAT
6 HE DID AFTER.

7 BY MR. MEDRANO:

8 Q. ARE YOU ASKED TO GO TO GUADALAJARA?

9 A. PARDON ME?

10 Q. ARE YOU ASKED TO GO TO GUADALAJARA?

11 A. YES, I WAS ASKED.

12 Q. DO YOU DO SO, DR. RADELAT?

13 A. I DID.

14 Q. DOES ANYBODY GO WITH YOU?

15 A. MY WIFE AND MRS. STEVE WALKER, JOHN WALKER'S WIFE, AND
16 JOHN WALKER'S MOTHER, FLORENCE WALKER.

17 Q. HOW DO YOU GET FROM MEXICO CITY TO GUADALAJARA?

18 A. BY PLANE.

19 Q. WHEN YOU ARRIVE IN GUADALAJARA, DO YOU GO ANYPLACE?

20 A. FIRST, I GO TO THE HOUSE OF ELVIRA SANCHEZ, AND LEFT
21 THERE --

22 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ANSWERED THE QUESTION, SIR.

23 BY MR. MEDRANO:

24 Q. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING YOU DO, DR. RADELAT?

25 A. NEXT, I WENT TO THE MORGUE.

1 Q. WHO GOES TO THE MORGUE WITH YOU?

2 A. MY WIFE AND EVE SANTINI WALKER.

3 Q. THE THREE OF YOU?

4 A. PARDON ME?

5 Q. THREE OF YOU?

6 A. YES. YES, SIR.

7 Q. WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU GET TO THE MORGUE?

8 A. WELL, I WALK IN THE DOOR. THERE WAS A LOCK, AND I KEEP
9 GOING, KNOWING -- ME, MYSELF A DOCTOR, MORE OR LESS I WOULD
10 KNOW WHERE THE CADAVERS ARE.

11 SO I WENT TO A BIG SALON THAT HAS SEVERAL METAL
12 TABLES. AND AT THAT MOMENT, THERE WAS A FEMALE MEXICAN DOCTOR
13 PERFORMING AN AUTOPSY.

14 Q. ON A BODY OF A MAN?

15 A. ON A BODY OF A MAN, YES.

16 Q. THESE METAL TABLES, DR. RADELAT, ARE THEY SIDE BY SIDE OR
17 PARALLEL?

18 A. PARALLEL, YES.

19 Q. WHEN YOU ENTER, DO YOU FIND ANY BODIES OR REMAINS ON THESE
20 PARALLEL TABLES?

21 A. YES, THERE WAS TWO TABLES; ONE PARALLEL, ONE SIDE BY SIDE,
22 AND ON ONE TABLE WAS THE REMAINS OF ONE PERSON, AND THE NEXT
23 ONE, ABOUT ONE AND A HALF YARDS AWAY, WAS THE REMAINS OF
24 ANOTHER PERSON.

25 Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE REMAINS OF EITHER OF THOSE

1 TWO METAL TABLES AS THOSE OF ALBERTO RADELAT?

2 A. THE VERY FIRST ONE --

3 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT. LET'S STOP THE WITNESS
4 WHEN HE HAS ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

5 MR. MEDRANO: I'LL DO SO, YOUR HONOR.

6 BY MR. MEDRANO:

7 Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY, DR. RADELAT --

8 A. YES, I WAS.

9 Q. LET ME JUST ASK YOU, RESPECTFULLY, SIR, JUST TO ANSWER THE
10 QUESTION THAT I ASK YOU, OKAY?

11 THANK YOU.

12 ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY EITHER OF THOSE TWO REMAINS
13 AS THAT OF OUR SON, ALBERTO RADELAT?

14 A. YES, I DID.

15 Q. COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US CAREFULLY HOW IT IS THAT YOU ARE
16 ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE REMAINING OF ALBERTO RADELAT?

17 A. WELL, I HAVE THE DENTAL RECORDS, AND THEY MATCH PERFECTLY.

18 ALSO --

19 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT ON
20 THE GROUNDS THAT THIS PARTICULAR WITNESS HAS NOT BEEN QUALIFIED
21 AS AN EXPERT. THERE IS A LACK OF FOUNDATION AS TO ANY DENTAL
22 EXAMINATION.

23 THE COURT: YES. THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED AND THAT
24 ANSWER WILL BE STRICKEN.

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q. DR. RADELAT, ARE THERE OTHER WAYS FOR YOU TO IDENTIFY THE
2 REMAINS OF ALBERTO?

3 A. YES, SIR.

4 Q. CAN YOU TELL US, PLEASE?

5 A. WELL, MY SON, ALBERTO, HE WAS INVOLVED IN AN AUTOMOBILE
6 ACCIDENT A YEAR BEFORE. HE FRACTURED HIS JAW, AND HE HAD TO
7 HAVE SURGERY FOR THAT, AND A WIRE WAS PLACED TO PUT TOGETHER
8 THE BONES. THE FRACTURE WAS THERE AND THE WIRE WAS THERE.

9 ALSO, WHEN HE WAS A YOUNGSTER, HE FRACTURED HIS
10 RADIUS ON HIS WRIST, AND THE CALLUS OF THE FRACTURE WAS THERE.

11 Q. IN TERMS OF THE SIZE OR HEIGHT OF THE REMAINS, DO YOU HAVE
12 ANY VIEW ON THAT AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THESE WERE COMPATIBLE
13 WITH THE REMAINS OF ALBERTO RADELAT?

14 A. YES. ALBERTO WAS FIVE FEET AND SEVEN-AND-A-HALF INCHES,
15 AND MORE OR LESS THAT WAS EXACTLY THE SIZE OF THESE REMAINS.

16 Q. IN YOUR PRACTICE, DR. RADELAT, HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY
17 TO EXAMINE DENTAL REPORTS?

18 A. OF COURSE.

19 Q. AND IN YOUR PRACTICE, HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO
20 COMPARE X-RAYS OF DENTAL RECORDS WITH THE ACTUAL PATIENT?

21 A. I HAVE PARTICIPATED IN MANY AUTOPSIES WHEN I WAS IN
22 HAVANA, CUBA.

23 Q. WHEN YOU WENT TO THE MORGUE IN GUADALAJARA, DID YOU HAVE A
24 SET OF X-RAYS OF THE DENTAL WORK FOR ALBERTO RADELAT?

25 A. YES, I DID.

1 Q. AND WITH THESE X-RAYS, WERE YOU ABLE TO MAKE ANY
2 COMPARISON, ON THE BASIS OF YOUR EXPERIENCE, WITH THE REMAINS
3 THAT YOU FOUND ON THAT TABLE?

4 A. YES, I DID.

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT
6 AGAIN. I DON'T WANT TO MAKE ARGUMENT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE
7 JURY.

8 THE COURT: JUST OBJECT AND STATE YOUR OBJECTION.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: AGAIN, LACK OF FOUNDATION AND
10 NONDISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS DURING THE COURSE OF DISCOVERY.
11 THIS IS ALL MATERIAL.

12 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

13 MR. MEDRANO: I'LL MOVE ON, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
14 BY MR. MEDRANO:

15 Q. WHEN YOU EXAMINED THE REMAINS THAT YOU BELIEVED TO BE
16 ALBERTO'S, DID YOU LOOK AT THE SKULL AREA, AS WELL?

17 A. PARDON ME?

18 Q. WHEN YOU EXAMINED THE REMAINS OF ALBERTO, DID YOU LOOK OR
19 EXAMINE THE SKULL AREA, AS WELL?

20 A. YES, I DID.

21 Q. DID YOU SEE ANYTHING UNUSUAL THERE?

22 A. NO, I DIDN'T.

23 Q. DID YOU FIND ANY TYPE OF -- STRIKE THAT.

24 DID FIND ANY -- I'LL MOVE ON. THANK YOU.

25 DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE, DR. RADELAT,

1 THE PERSONAL REMAINS OF ALBERTO RADELAT?

2 A. YES, I DID.

3 Q. COULD YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT IT IS THAT YOU OBSERVED.

4 THE PERSONAL BELONGINGS -- I'M SORRY, I MISSPOKE.

5 THE PERSONAL BELONGINGS IS WHAT I MEANT.

6 A. THERE WAS A PLAID SHIRT.

7 Q. A PLAID SHIRT?

8 A. YES. AND THERE WAS A BLUEJEANS.

9 Q. THE PLAID SHIRT -- DO YOU KNOW THE MAKER OR WHERE THIS
10 CAME FROM?

11 A. IT WAS MADE BY NIEMAN MARCUS.

12 Q. DID YOU RECOGNIZE THAT SHIRT AS ALBERTO'S?

13 A. THAT WAS A CHRISTMAS GIFT FROM MY YOUNGEST SON TO ALBERTO
14 FOR CHRISTMAS.

15 Q. WHAT ELSE DID YOU IDENTIFY, IF ANYTHING?

16 A. THERE WAS A PAIR OF COWBOY BOOTS, SHARK SKIN, THAT I GAVE
17 HIM ON THAT CHRISTMAS, TOO.

18 Q. AND THERE WAS A BLUEJEANS.

19 Q. WERE THE SIZE OR LENGTH OF THE JEANS --

20 A. THAT WAS BELONG TO HIM, YES. MY WIFE RECOGNIZED IT AS
21 THE SAME ONES.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, TO TESTIMONY
23 ABOUT SOMEONE ELSE'S PERCEPTION.

24 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. STRIKE THE ANSWER.

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q. THE SIZE OR LENGTH OF THE JEANS, WAS THAT COMPATIBLE WITH
2 THE FIVE FOOT, SEVEN-AND-A-HALF INCH HEIGHT FRAME OF THE
3 REMAINS?

4 A. CORRECT.

5 Q. ANY JEWELRY OR PERSONAL ITEMS THAT YOU WERE ABLE TO
6 OBSERVE?

7 A. YES. THERE WAS A PLASTIC WATCH OR CHEAP WATCH THAT HE WAS
8 WEARING THE DAY HE LEFT, BECAUSE HE HAS A GOOD WATCH, BUT HE
9 DON'T WANT TO TAKE THE GOOD ONE ON THE TRIP.

10 Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THIS CHEAP, PLASTIC WATCH?

11 A. YES, IT'S ONE OF THOSE WATCH THAT COST \$10 OR SO,
12 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. SIMPLE.

13 Q. CAN I ASK YOU TO LOOK AT GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 48-C, WHICH IS
14 IN FRONT OF YOU, DR. RADELAT?

15 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT ON
16 CHAIN OF CUSTODY GROUNDS. THERE HASN'T BEEN A FOUNDATION LAID
17 AS TO THE WATCH THAT WAS IN THE MORGUE AND THE WATCH THAT IS
18 PRESENT IN COURT TODAY, WHICH IS A FOUNDATIONAL ISSUE THAT I
19 THINK MUST PRECEDE ANY IDENTIFICATION HERE.

20 MR. MEDRANO: THIS WITNESS CAN IDENTIFY IT HERE, YOUR
21 HONOR.

22 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

23 BY MR. MEDRANO:

24 Q. DO YOU SEE 48-C, DR. RADELAT? THE YELLOW TAG HAS THE
25 EXHIBIT NUMBER.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE.)

2 I BELIEVE YOU WENT RIGHT PAST IT ACCIDENTALLY.

3 THE CLERK: DID YOU SAY 48-C OR 49-C, COUNSEL?

4 THE COURT: 48-C.

5 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S 49-C. I APOLOGIZE.

6 THE WITNESS: THAT'S IT 49-C.

7 BY MR. MEDRANO:

8 Q. THANK YOU, DR. RADELAT. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY WHAT
9 49-C IS?

10 A. YES. THIS IS A PLASTIC WATCH THAT BELONG -- THIS IS THE
11 PLASTIC WATCH THAT MY SON WAS WEARING WHEN HE LEFT FORT WORTH.

12 Q. NOW, THERE SHOULD BE ALSO -- LOOK AT 40 -- PERHAPS 49-B.
13 IS THAT IN FRONT OF YOU, AS WELL?

14 A. 49-B.

15 Q. COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT IS?

16 A. THIS IS A PICTURE OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WERE
17 BELONGING TO ALBERTO THAT WERE FOUND ON HIS BODY.

18 THERE IS THIS WATCH, THERE IS GOLD CHAINS AND SOME
19 MEDALS THAT HE WAS WEARING THAT WAS A GIFT FROM HIS MOTHER.

20 Q. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT GOLD CHAIN?

21 A. I BEG YOUR PARDON?

22 Q. CAN YOU RECOGNIZE THAT GOLD CHAIN?

23 A. OF COURSE, I RECOGNIZE THAT.

24 THERE IS SOME MEXICAN MONEY, AND THERE A NECKLACE OR
25 BEADS, AND ONE OF THOSE LUBRICANT FOR THE LIPS.

1 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU. YOU CAN PUT THAT DOWN,
2 DR. RADELAT.

3 YOUR HONOR, WE SEEK THE ADMISSION OF 49-B AND -C.
4 THE COURT: THAT MAY BE RECEIVED.

5 (EXHIBIT 49-B AND C ‡ RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

6 BY MR. MEDRANO:

7 Q DR. RADELAT, YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE WAS A TABLE OF
8 REMAINS ADJACENT TO ALBERTO'S; IS THAT CORRECT?

9 A YES, INDEED.

10 Q DID YOU EVER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A CURSORY
11 EXAMINATION OF THOSE REMAINS?

12 A PARDON ME?

13 Q DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE AN EXAMINATION OF THE
14 SECOND SET OF REMAINS?

15 A OH, THE SECOND SET? YES, I DID.

16 Q AND WAS THERE ANYTHING UNUSUAL -- DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO
17 LOOK AT THE SKULL FROM THE SECOND SET OF REMAINS?

18 A YES, I DID.

19 Q ANYTHING UNUSUAL ABOUT THAT?

20 A THERE WAS A BIG HOLE ABOUT FIVE TO SIX CENTIMETERS
21 DIAMETER, WITH IRREGULAR EDGES.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. LACK OF FOUNDATION. NO
23 EXPERT QUALIFICATION TO DO AUTOPSIES.

24 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

25 THE WITNESS: CONTINUE?

1 BY MR. MEDRANO:

2 Q NO. JUST HOLD ON A MINUTE DOCTOR. THANK YOU.

3 DR. RADELAT, IS THERE ANY QUESTION IN YOUR MIND THAT
4 THE REMAINS THAT YOU WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY AS ALBERTO'S WERE IN
5 FACT THOSE OF ALBERTO RADELAT, YOUR SON?

6 A ABSOLUTELY 100 PERCENT, THAT WAS MY SON.

7 Q DR. RADELAT, WHERE -- -- STRIKE THAT.

8 DID YOU EVER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT THE GRAVE
9 SITE WHERE THE REMAINS WERE FOUND?

10 A YES, I DID.

11 Q WHERE DID YOU GO FOR THIS?

12 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THAT ASSUMES
13 A FACT NOT IN EVIDENCE, WHICH IS THE SO-CALLED GRAVE SITE WHERE
14 THE BODIES WERE FOUND.

15 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

16 BY MR. MEDRANO:

17 Q DR. RADELAT, WHERE WAS ALBERTO RADELAT EVENTUALLY BURIED?

18 A PARDON ME?

19 Q WHERE WAS YOUR SON ALBERTO BURIED?

20 A NOW?

21 Q YES, SIR.

22 A IN FORT WORTH, TEXAS.

23 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT?

24 (GOVERNMENT COUNSEL CONFER OFF THE RECORD.)

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q DR. RADELAT, AT ABOUT THE TIME THAT YOU EXAMINED THE
2 REMAINS IN THE MORGUE, DID YOU EVER MAKE A REQUEST OF THE
3 MEXICAN OFFICIALS TO SEE THE LOCATION WHERE THE REMAINS HAD
4 BEEN FOUND?

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. ASSUMES A FACT NOT IN
6 EVIDENCE: A LOCATION WHERE THE REMAINS HAD BEEN FOUND. NO ONE
7 HAS TESTIFIED TO THAT.

8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE MAY ANSWER.

9 THE WITNESS: YES, I DID.

10 BY MR. MEDRANO:

11 Q AND AFTER MAKING THAT REQUEST, SIR, WERE YOU EVER TAKEN ANY
12 PLACE?

13 A I WAS.

14 Q WHERE WERE YOU TAKEN TO?

15 A PARDON ME?

16 Q WHERE WERE YOU TAKEN TO?

17 A BY WHOM?

18 Q WHERE WERE YOU TAKEN TO?

19 A OH. I WAS TAKEN TO A REMOTE PLACE CLOSE TO GUADALAJARA,
20 IT'S ABOUT 12 MILES. IT'S CALLED PARQUE LA PRIMAVERA.

21 Q WHO GOES WITH YOU TO THIS REMOTE SITE?

22 A MY WIFE; MY DAUGHTER; EVE (PHONETIC) WALKER, WHICH IS JOHN
23 WALKER'S WIFE; FLORENCE WALKER, JOHN WALKER'S MOTHER; AND I.

24 Q AT FIRST -- I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T MEAN TO CUT YOU OFF.

25 WAS THERE ANYBODY ELSE?

1 A THERE WAS TWO POLICEMEN IN PLAINCLOTHES. THEY WERE
2 BELONGING TO THE INTERPOL, ON THE D.E.A. -- THE CHIEF OF THE
3 INTERPOL OF MEXICO, WHOSE NAME WAS FLORENTINO VENTURA.

4 Q WHEN YOU ARRIVE AT LA PRIMAVERA PARK, DO YOU OBSERVE
5 ANYTHING THERE?

6 A YES. THERE WAS A BIG HOLE ABOUT FOUR INCHES WIDE AND -- I
7 MEAN, FOUR FEET WIDE AND SIX TO SIX AND A HALF FEET DEEP.

8 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THAT CONCLUDES THE DIRECT
9 EXAMINATION.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: MAY WE HAVE A SHORT MORNING RECESS,
11 YOUR HONOR??

12 THE COURT: PARDON?

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: MAY WE HAVE A SHORT MORNING RECESS?
14 I KNOW I HAVE THE CONCURRENCE OF MY CO-COUNSEL ON THAT, AS
15 WELL.

16 THE COURT: WELL, WE'LL TAKE A SHORT RECESS, FOR ABOUT
17 10 MINUTES.

18 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE. THIS COURT IS NOW IN RECESS.

19 (BRIEF RECESS.)

20 (JURY PRESENT:)

21 THE COURT: YOU MAY CROSS-EXAMINE THE WITNESS.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION +

24 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

25 Q GOOD MORNING, DR. RADELAT.

1 A GOOD MORNING.

2 Q YOU TOLD US ABOUT YOUR THREE MONTHS DOWN IN MEXICO IN 1985,
3 AND I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO JUNE 17TH OF 85, THE
4 DATE THAT YOU TOLD US ON DIRECT EXAMINATION YOU HAD VIEWED THE
5 TWO DEAD BODIES.

6 DO YOU RECALL TELLING US ABOUT THAT?

7 A PARDON ME?

8 Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING US ABOUT THE VISIT TO THE GRAVE SITE?

9 A YES, I REMEMBER.

10 Q WAS THAT ON JUNE 17TH? DO YOU RECALL THE SPECIFIC DATE?

11 A NO, I DIDN'T VISIT THE GRAVE ON THE 17TH. I VISITED THE
12 GRAVE ON THE 20TH.

13 Q CAN YOU RECALL THE FIRST DATE THAT YOU VISITED WITH
14 FLORENTINO VENTURA, THE COMANDANTE OF INTERPOL?

15 A I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY THE DATE. BUT IT WAS, I WOULD
16 SAY, AT THE END OF APRIL, 85.

17 Q END OF APRIL OF 85?

18 A NOT NECESSARILY. LATE APRIL, BUT ON THE 20TH OR SOMETHING;
19 MAYBE THE 24TH. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY.

20 Q AND ON JUNE 20TH OF 85, WHEN YOU WENT TO SEE THIS AREA IN
21 PRIMAVERA PARK, WAS FLORENTINO VENTURA WITH YOU THERE AT THAT
22 TIME?

23 A NO. NO, SIR.

24 Q DID YOU HAPPEN TO MEET WITH FLORENTINO VENTURA ANY TIME IN
25 THE MONTH OF JUNE 85? DO YOU RECALL?

1 A NO, I DID NOT.

2 Q DID THERE EVER COME A TIME WHEN YOU AND FLORENTINO VENTURA
3 DISCUSSED THE MEXICAN INVESTIGATION OF THIS LA LANGOSTA MATTER?

4 A COULD YOU REPHRASE THAT AGAIN?

5 Q DURING THEIR MEETINGS WITH FLORENTINO VENTURA -- DO YOU
6 HAVE THAT IN MIND, THE MEETINGS WITH COMMANDER VENTURA?

7 A ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT MY FIRST MEETING WITH HIM?

8 Q LET'S DO IT STEP BY STEP.

9 YOUR FIRST MEETING WITH COMMANDER VENTURA WAS IN APRIL
10 OF 85; IS THAT YOUR BEST RECOLLECTION?

11 A RIGHT, YES.

12 Q DID HE AT THAT TIME DISCUSS WITH YOU THE MEXICAN
13 GOVERNMENT'S INVESTIGATION INTO THE LA LANGOSTA INCIDENT?

14 A PARDON ME, BUT I DON'T QUITE UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

15 Q DID YOU EVER BECOME AWARE AT ANY TIME WHILE YOU WERE DOWN
16 IN MEXICO --

17 THE COURT: CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE CONVERSATION YOU HAD
18 WITH MR. VENTURA?

19 A VENTURA? OH, YES.

20 MR. MEDRANO: OBJECTION. HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR, TO ALL
21 OF THIS PORTION.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WAS TRYING TO KEEP IT NARROW, YOUR
23 HONOR; BUT I AGREE. LET'S BROADEN IT AND ASK THE WITNESS TO
24 TELL US GENERALLY.

25 THE COURT: IF HE CAN RECALL THE CONVERSATION, HE MAY

1 RELATE IT.

2 YOU MAY RELATE THE CONVERSATION YOU HAD WITH HIM ON
3 THE FIRST OCCASION.

4 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

5 Q CAN YOU TELL US ABOUT THAT FIRST MEETING WITH MR. VENTURA?

6 A WELL, HE ASKED ME, WHAT WAS MY PROBLEM. SO I EXPLAINED HIM
7 WHAT HAPPENED, THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MY SON AND HIS FRIEND, JOHN
8 WALKER, AND THAT I HAD BEEN KNOCKING AT MANY, MANY DOORS IN
9 MEXICO CITY TO GET HELP.

10 THE COURT: NOW YOU CAN ASK HIM ABOUT THAT SPECIAL
11 SUBJECT IF HE DISCUSSED IT.

12 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

13 Q NOW, WHILE YOU WERE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION WITH COMMANDER
14 VENTURA, DID YOU DISCUSS --

15 A WHAT'S THIS WORD THAT HE SAYS? I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT
16 WORD. I'M SORRY.

17 Q DID YOU DISCUSS, DID YOU TALK ABOUT?

18 A OH, OKAY. (LAUGHTER.) IS THAT A LEGAL WORD? I NEVER
19 HEARD IT BEFORE.

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: LET ME JUST -- IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR,
21 IT MIGHT BE MORE HELPFUL IF WE USE AN INTERPRETER. I DON'T
22 MEAN THAT IN ANY --

23 THE COURT: JUST GO AHEAD, COUNSEL. HE'S DOING FINE.

24 MR. NICOLAYSEN: ALL RIGHT.

25 Q DR. RADELAT, KEEP STOPPING ME IF YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND MY

1 QUESTIONS.

2 DID YOU AND COMMANDER VENTURA TALK ABOUT ANY EFFORTS
3 BY THE MEXICO POLICE TO FIND THE PEOPLE WHO DID THIS LA
4 LANGOSTA INCIDENT?

5 A WELL, THE IMPRESSION THAT I HAVE FROM COMANDANTE VENTURA --

6 Q I'M NOT ASKING YOU ABOUT YOUR IMPRESSION, SIR. I'M ASKING
7 YOU WHETHER YOU ACTUALLY TALKED ABOUT IT.

8 CAN YOU RECALL?

9 A I DON'T REMEMBER HAVING TALKED ABOUT ANYTHING, BUT
10 MENTIONING WHAT HAPPENED TO US AND I WAS LOOKING FOR HELP,
11 SPECIFICALLY.

12 Q DID YOU -- WHILE YOU WERE DOWN IN MEXICO, DO YOU RECALL
13 HAVING PREPARED ANY NOTES REGARDING PEOPLE WHOSE NAMES WERE
14 GIVEN TO YOU REGARDING WHAT HAPPENED AT LA LANGOSTA?

15 A YES, SIR.

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S
17 PERMISSION WOULD I ASK THAT THE WITNESS BE SHOWN A DOCUMENT
18 CONSISTING OF SIX PAGES THAT I WOULD MARK AS DEFENSE EXHIBIT A.

19 THE COURT: HAVE YOU SHOWN IT TO COUNSEL?

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YES. IT'S FROM THE GOVERNMENT, IN
21 FACT, YOUR HONOR.

22 MAY I HAND IT TO THE CLERK?

23 THE COURT: IT MAY BE MARKED.

24 THE CLERK: B, COUNSEL.

25 MR. NICOLAYSEN: DEFENSE B?

1 THE CLERK: B.

2 (EXHIBIT B † MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

3 THE COURT: (EXAMINES EXHIBIT.) ALL RIGHT.

4 (EXHIBIT PLACED BEFORE WITNESS, WHO EXAMINES SAME.)

5 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

6 Q DR. RADELAT, I'M HANDING YOU A SIX-PAGE DOCUMENT THAT HAS
7 BEEN MARKED AS DEFENSE EXHIBIT B. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE
8 HANDWRITING ON THESE SHEETS OF PAPER?

9 A YES, I DO.

10 Q IS THAT YOUR HANDWRITING?

11 A YES.

12 Q AND DID YOU WRITE THESE SHEETS THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT NOW
13 WHILE YOU WERE DOWN IN MEXICO, BACK IN 1985?

14 A YES, I DID. NOW THAT I SEE IT, I REMEMBER.

15 THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION, SIR.

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T HEAR THAT, YOUR
17 HONOR.

18 THE COURT: I SAID HE'S ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

19 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

20 Q CAN YOU TELL US THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION ON THESE
21 SHEETS?

22 A NEWSPAPERS.

23 Q STRICTLY NEWSPAPERS?

24 A YES.

25 Q AND DID YOU EVER SPEAK WITH ANY POLICE OFFICIALS REGARDING

1 ANY OF THE NAMES THAT ARE LISTED ON THESE SHEETS?

2 A NO, I DID NOT.

3 Q AND CAN YOU TELL US, TO THE BEST OF YOUR RECOLLECTION, WHEN
4 WAS IT THAT YOU GOT THIS INFORMATION FROM THE NEWSPAPERS? DO
5 YOU RECALL?

6 A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, NO.

7 Q DO YOU RECALL, SIR, WHETHER COMMANDER VENTURA MENTIONED TO
8 YOU WHILE YOU WERE DOWN IN MEXICO THAT HE HAD INTERROGATED SOME
9 SUSPECTS ON THIS LA LANGOSTA MATTER?

10 MR. MEDRANO: OBJECTION. THIS IS CLEARLY HEARSAY,
11 YOUR HONOR.

12 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

13 BY MR. NICOLAYSEN:

14 Q DID ANY OF THIS INFORMATION -- AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY
15 THINKING ABOUT THIS, CAN YOU RECALL IF ANY OF THE INFORMATION
16 ON THESE SHEETS CAME FROM ANY POLICE OFFICIALS?

17 MR. MEDRANO: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.

18 THE COURT: WELL, THE WITNESS MAY ANSWER.

19 THE WITNESS: WELL, AS I SAY, IT CAME FROM NEWSPAPERS.

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. THANK YOU,
21 DR. RADELAT.

22 THE COURT: ANY OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL WISH TO
23 CROSS-EXAMINE THE WITNESS?

24 DEFENSE COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR.

25 THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?

1 MR. MEDRANO: NO REDIRECT, YOUR HONOR.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SIR. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.

3 CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

4 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME, THE GOVERNMENT

5 WILL CALL MARY EVELYN WALKER TO THE STAND.

6 (WITNESS SUMMONED TO COURTROOM.)

7 THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

8

9 MARY EVELYN WALKER + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN

10

11 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL

12 NAME FOR RECORD AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

13 THE WITNESS: MARY EVELYN WALKER, W A L K E R.

14

DIRECT EXAMINATION +

15

BY MR. MEDRANO:

16

Q GOOD MORNING, MRS. WALKER. MR. WALKER, WHAT STATE DO YOU

17

CURRENTLY RESIDE IN?

18

A TEXAS.

19

Q WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSION AT THIS TIME?

20

A I'M A TEACHER.

21

Q YOUR AGE, MRS. WALKER?

22

A I'M 44.

23

Q DID YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF JOHN WALKER?

24

A HE WAS MY HUSBAND.

25

Q IS HE NOW DECEASED?

1 A YES, HE IS.

2 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN?

3 A WE HAVE TWO DAUGHTERS.

4 Q AND THEIR AGES, APPROXIMATELY?

5 A THEY'RE 15 AND 13.

6 Q WHEN DID YOU AND JOHN WALKER GET MARRIED, MRS. WALKER?

7 A DECEMBER 20TH OF 1972.

8 Q I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO 1983. AT THAT TIME, WAS
9 JOHN WALKER STILL LIVING?

10 A YES.

11 Q AND YOU WERE MARRIED?

12 A YES.

13 Q WHERE DID YOU RESIDE AT THAT TIME, IN 83?

14 A WE LIVED IN ST. PAUL.

15 Q MINNESOTA?

16 A YES, MINNESOTA.

17 Q IN 1983, WHAT WERE YOU DOING AT THAT TIME? WERE YOU
18 EMPLOYED?

19 A I WAS A STUDENT AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, AND MY
20 HUSBAND WAS TAKING GRADUATE COURSES IN PHOTOGRAPHY AND ALSO
21 WORKING IN A PHOTO LAB.

22 Q JOHN WALKER, HAD HE EVER SERVED IN THE MILITARY?

23 A YES, SIR. HE WAS IN THE MARINE CORPS AND HE WAS IN
24 VIETNAM.

25 Q DO YOU KNOW WHEN IT WAS HE SERVED IN VIETNAM, MRS. WALKER?

1 A HE WAS THERE IN 68 AND I BELIEVE PART OF 69.

2 Q WHEN HE WAS THERE, IN WHAT CAPACITY WAS HE THERE? WHAT WAS
3 HIS JOB OR ASSIGNMENT?

4 A HE WAS A MACHINE GUNNER.

5 Q DURING HIS COURSE OF SERVICE IN THE MILITARY, DID HE EVER
6 SUSTAIN ANY TYPE OF WOUND OR INJURY?

7 A YES. HE STEPPED ON A LAND MINE WHEN HE WAS IN VIETNAM AND
8 HE WAS SEVERELY INJURED. HE WAS MEDIVAC'D FROM VIETNAM.

9 Q AFTER THAT, DID HE UNDERGO REHABILITATION?

10 A YES. HE WAS -- HE WAS VERY SERIOUSLY INJURED. HE WAS
11 EVENTUALLY TAKEN TO GREAT LAKES NAVAL HOSPITAL, AND HE WAS
12 THERE FOR ABOUT 10 MONTHS, AND HE HAD SEVEN OPERATIONS DURING
13 THAT TIME IN AN ATTEMPT TO SAVE HIS LEGS, WHICH THEY WERE ABLE
14 TO DO.

15 Q WAS HE ULTIMATELY ABLE TO WALK AGAIN?

16 A YES. BY THE TIME HE LEFT THE HOSPITAL, HE COULD WALK ON
17 CRUTCHES, AND THEN LATER WITH A CANE; AND THEN LATER, HE WAS
18 ABLE TO WALK WITHOUT ASSISTANCE.

19 Q WHEN HE WAS ABLE TO ULTIMATELY, AFTER HIS REHABILITATION,
20 TO WALK, WAS THERE ANY NOTICEABLE LIMP OR GAIT WHEN HE DID SO?

21 A YES, THERE WAS. HE HAD NERVE DAMAGE TO HIS FOOT THAT
22 CAUSED HIS TOES TO CRAMP UP AND HE WALKED WITH AN UNUSUAL GAIT,
23 BECAUSE HE DIDN'T ROCK OFF HIS FOOT; RATHER HE TWISTED OFF OF
24 HIS HEEL. IT WASN'T REAL NOTICEABLE EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS TIRED,
25 AND THEN HE COULDN'T WALK PROPERLY.

1 Q ULTIMATELY, WAS HE DISABLED AFTER THIS INJURY?

2 A EXCUSE ME?

3 Q WAS HE DISABLED?

4 A WAS HE DISABLED? YES, HE WAS. THEY RETIRED HIM FROM THE
5 SERVICE WITH A 50 PERCENT DISABILITY.

6 Q DID HE RECEIVE ANY TYPE OF COMMENDATION?

7 A HE RECEIVED TWO PURPLE HEARTS WITH COMMENDATIONS AND OTHER
8 MEDALS, THAT I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT THEY WERE.

9 Q THE LATTER PART OF 1983, WERE YOU STILL, MA'AM, IN ST.
10 PAUL, MINNESOTA?

11 A YES, WE WERE.

12 Q AT ANY TIME, DO YOU AND YOUR FAMILY LEAVE ST. PAUL,
13 MINNESOTA?

14 A I GRADUATED IN JUNE OF THAT YEAR. AND IN NOVEMBER, RIGHT
15 AFTER THANKSGIVING, WE MOVED -- WE WENT TO MEXICO.

16 Q WHY DID YOU GO TO MEXICO, MRS. WALKER?

17 A MY HUSBAND HAD BEEN WORKING ON A NOVEL. HE WAS A REPORTER,
18 AND HE HAD BEEN WORKING ON A NOVEL FOR SOME TIME BUT HAD HAD A
19 LOT OF TROUBLE FINDING THE TIME TO COMPLETE THE WORK.

20 AND SO WE -- AT ABOUT THAT SAME TIME, THE PESO HAD
21 BEEN ALLOWED TO FLOAT IN RELATION TO THE DOLLAR, SO THAT IT WAS
22 VERY INEXPENSIVE IN DOLLAR TERMS TO LIVE IN MEXICO.

23 SO WE DECIDED -- AND AS A TEACHER, I ALSO HAD A STRONG
24 INTEREST IN LEARNING SPANISH, BECAUSE I KNEW THAT THERE WAS A
25 HIGH DEMAND FOR BILINGUAL TEACHERS IN THE UNITED STATES.

1 SO THAT THAT WAS OUR MOTIVATION FOR GOING.

2 Q SO IS IT YOUR ENTIRE FAMILY, INCLUDING YOUR TWO CHILDREN,
3 THAT GO TO MEXICO?

4 A OH, YES.

5 Q WHERE DO YOU GO FIRST IN NOVEMBER OF 83?

6 A WE WENT FIRST TO CUERNAVACA.

7 Q ANYWHERE AFTER THAT?

8 A FROM CUERNAVACA, WE WENT TO DURANGO, AND -- WE HAD FRIENDS
9 THERE, AND WE SPENT THE MONTH OF DECEMBER IN DURANGO.

10 AND THEN -- AND THOUGHT THAT WE MIGHT STAY, BECAUSE IT
11 WAS A SMALL, QUIET TOWN AND JOHN LIKED IT, AND I DID AS WELL.

12 BUT THERE WAS ONLY ONE AMERICAN SCHOOL THERE, AND WHEN
13 THE DIRECTOR RETURNED FROM CHRISTMAS VACATION, HE SAID THAT
14 THEY WERE BOUND BY THEIR CHARTER ONLY TO ACCEPT SO MANY
15 STUDENTS, AND THEY WERE AT THEIR LIMIT AND THEY COULD NOT ADMIT
16 OUR DAUGHTERS FOR THE NEXT TERM.

17 Q DID YOU THEN MAKE A DECISION TO LEAVE DURANGO, MEXICO?

18 A YES.

19 Q WHERE DO YOU GO TO?

20 A WE WENT TO GUADALAJARA BECAUSE THE SCHOOL DIRECTOR
21 SUGGESTED THAT WE GO THERE.

22 Q SO ABOUT WHAT'S THE TIME FRAME NOW THAT YOU AND YOUR FAMILY
23 ARRIVE IN GUADALAJARA, MEXICO?

24 A IT WAS ON MY BIRTHDAY, SO I REMEMBER. IT WAS JANUARY 10TH
25 OF 84.

1 Q IN JANUARY 1984, DO YOU COMMENCE TO TAKE SPANISH CLASSES?

2 A YES. I WENT TO THE CULTURAL INSTITUTE IN GUADALAJARA AND
3 TOOK INTENSIVE SPANISH.

4 Q DOES JOHN WALKER COMMENCE WORKING ON HIS NOVEL?

5 A NIGHT AND DAY, YES.

6 Q WHAT KIND OF NOVEL WAS IT?

7 A IT WAS FICTIONAL NOVEL, A MURDER MYSTERY.

8 Q AND IN 1984 WHAT WAS THE AGE OF JOHN WALKER, MRS. WALKER?

9 A IN 84 HE WAS 35.

10 Q LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO ABOUT APRIL OF 1984.
11 AT THAT TIME, DO YOU EVER LEAVE THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO?

12 A I DIDN'T IN APRIL, BUT MY HUSBAND DID.

13 Q AND WHERE DOES HE GO TO?

14 A HE WENT TO MINNESOTA TO VISIT WITH HIS MOTHER AND TO --
15 HE'D BEEN WORK ON HIS BOOK AND HE HAD A NUMBER OF FACTUAL
16 PROBLEMS THAT HE COULDN'T WORK OUT IN HIS IMAGINATION, SO HE
17 WANTED TO GO TO THE STATES AND SPEND SOME TIME IN LIBRARIES
18 DOING RESEARCH.

19 Q AND HOW LONG WAS HE GONE WHEN HE LEFT IN APRIL OF 1984?

20 A ABOUT A MONTH.

21 Q AND YOU AND THE GIRLS REMAINED BEHIND IN GUADALAJARA?

22 A YES. BY THAT TIME I WAS TEACHING AT THE CULTURAL
23 INSTITUTE, IN ADDITION TO TAKING COURSES.

24 Q DOES JOHN WALKER COME BACK TO GUADALAJARA AFTER HIS
25 ONE-MONTH RESEARCH PERIOD?

1 A YES.

2 Q AND ABOUT WHAT TIME IS IT -- STRIKE THAT.

3 WHAT'S THE TIME FRAME WHEN HE REJOINED YOU IN
4 GUADALAJARA?

5 A WELL, HE WAS -- HE WAS GONE BASICALLY DURING THE EASTER
6 BREAK. HE LEFT IN APRIL AND HE WAS GONE FOR ABOUT FOUR WEEKS.

7 Q THEN HE REJOINS YOU?

8 A YES.

9 Q AND AT THIS TIME, AGAIN, YOU'RE TEACHING?

10 A I WAS TEACHING ENGLISH AT THE CULTURAL INSTITUTE, YES.

11 Q LATER IN 1984, DID YOU EVER LEAVE THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO?

12 A YES. MY TWO DAUGHTERS AND I RETURNED TO THE UNITED STATES
13 IN AUGUST.

14 Q WHERE DID YOU RETURN TO?

15 A MINNESOTA.

16 Q HOW COME?

17 A WELL, THE SCHOOLS THERE THAT THEY WERE -- AT LEAST THAT WE
18 WERE ABLE TO AFFORD, I DIDN'T FEEL OFFERED THE KIDS AN ADEQUATE
19 EDUCATION. AND WE KNEW WE WOULDN'T BE THERE OVER THE COURSE OF
20 ANOTHER SCHOOL YEAR, AND I DIDN'T WANT TO MOVE THEM AGAIN IN
21 THE MIDDLE OF A SCHOOL YEAR.

22 Q WHEN YOU AND THE GIRLS GO TO ST. PAUL, DOES JOHN WALKER
23 REMAIN BEHIND IN GUADALAJARA?

24 A YES, HE DID.

25 Q DOES HE CONTINUE WORKING ON HIS MURDER MYSTERY?

1 A YES.

2 Q WHEN YOU AND YOUR FAMILY WERE TOGETHER -- STRIKE THAT.

3 WHEN YOU, JOHN AND THE GIRLS WERE TOGETHER IN 84 IN
4 GUADALAJARA, WERE YOU THERE LEGALLY, IN TERMS OF YOUR
5 IMMIGRATION STATUS, IN THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO?

6 A OH, YES. WE WERE ON A TOURIST VISA, SIX-MONTH TOURIST
7 VISA. AND WHEN I STARTED WORKING AT THE CULTURAL INSTITUTE,
8 THEY BEGAN THE PROCESS OF APPLICATION FOR THE APPROPRIATE
9 PERMITS TO ALLOW ME TO WORK.

10 Q WHEN YOU AND YOUR DAUGHTERS RETURN IN AUGUST OF 84 TO THE
11 U.S., IS JOHN STILL OPERATING ON THE THE TOURIST VISA IN
12 MEXICO?

13 A YES.

14 Q DO YOU KNOW WHEN THAT TOURIST VISA WAS GOING TO EXPIRE,
15 MRS. WALKER?

16 A IN THE MIDDLE OF FEBRUARY.

17 Q OF WHAT YEAR?

18 A OF 85.

19 Q LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO JANUARY OF 1985. AT
20 ANY POINT, DO YOU EVER RECEIVE ANY CONTACT OR CORRESPONDENCE
21 FROM JOHN WALKER?

22 A YES. WE CALLED EACH OTHER, BUT INFREQUENTLY, BECAUSE IT'S
23 PROHIBITIVELY EXPENSIVE; AND WE RECEIVED LETTERS AND WROTE TO
24 HIM, AS WELL.

25 Q MRS. WALKER, IF I CAN ASK YOU TO MOVE THE MICROPHONE TO

1 YOUR LEFT SEVERAL INCHES?

2 A LIKE THAT?

3 Q MAYBE JUST A TAD MORE.

4 A OKAY. IS THAT ALL RIGHT?

5 Q THAT'S PERFECT. YOU DON'T HAVE TO SPEAK DIRECTLY INTO IT.

6 A OKAY

7 Q THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

8 LET ME DIRECT YOU TO FEBRUARY OF 1985. BY THE MIDDLE
9 OF FEBRUARY OF 1985, HAD YOU HEARD AGAIN FROM JOHN WALKER IN
10 ANY FORM OR MANNER?

11 A YES. THE GIRLS AND I RECEIVED A LETTER ABOUT THE 28TH, THE
12 END OF JANUARY, OR THE 1ST OF FEBRUARY.

13 Q IN THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 1985, WHAT HAPPENS?

14 A ON FEBRUARY 14TH, I GOT A CALL FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT
15 AND A LADY BY THE NAME OF SALLY GOLDBERG, AND SHE TOLD ME THAT
16 MY HUSBAND HAD BEEN REPORTED MISSING IN GUADALAJARA.

17 Q THE FOLLOWING DAY, FEBRUARY 15, DID YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER
18 CONTACT WITH ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
19 WITH REGARD TO JOHN WALKER?

20 A I CALLED THE NEXT DAY. I CALLED THE CONSULATE IN
21 GUADALAJARA AND I SPOKE WITH A VICE CONSUL BY THE NAME OF JOY
22 CHURCHILL, AND I ASKED HER WHAT SHE KNEW ABOUT JOHN'S
23 DISAPPEARANCE AND WHAT EFFORTS WERE BEING MADE TO FIND HIM.

24 Q DO YOU AT THIS TIME, IN YOUR CONVERSATION WITH JOY
25 CHURCHILL, GIVE HER PERMISSION OR AUTHORIZATION TO DO ANYTHING?

1 A YES. I SUGGESTED THAT WE HAD A JOINT CHECKING ACCOUNT WITH
2 BANAMEX IN PLAZA (WHISPERS), GUADALAJARA. AND I SUGGESTED TO
3 HER THAT SHE CHECK THAT ACCOUNT AND SEE IF ANY WITHDRAWALS HAD
4 BEEN MADE THAT MIGHT SUGGEST THAT -- THEY WERE SAYING TO ME,
5 "WELL, MAYBE YOUR HUSBAND JUST WENT TO THE BEACH." I DIDN'T
6 THINK THAT WAS LIKELY, BUT HE CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE HAD TO TAKE
7 MONEY OUT OF THE ACCOUNT TO DO THAT.

8 AND I TOLD HER THAT IT WAS JOINT ACCOUNT, AND I GAVE
9 HER THE NUMBERS AND TOLD HER THAT I WOULD GIVE HER MY
10 PERMISSION, IN WRITTEN FORM OR WHATEVER SHE NEEDED TO CHECK THE
11 ACCOUNT.

12 AND BECAUSE I'D BEEN TOLD OUR CAR WAS MISSING, I ALSO
13 GAVE HER A DESCRIPTION OF THE LICENSE NUMBER OF THAT CAR AND
14 SENT AN ENVELOPE WITH PICTURES OF MY HUSBAND TO THE CONSULATE.

15 Q MRS. WALKER, THE REMAINDER OF FEBRUARY OF 1985, DID YOU
16 CONTINUE HAVING CONTACT WITH U.S. GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WITH
17 REGARD TO THE DISAPPEARANCE OF JOHN WALKER?

18 A YES. I WAS IN ALMOST DAILY CONTACT -- WELL, DAILY CONTACT,
19 REALLY -- WITH PEOPLE IN THE STATE DEPARTMENT AND ALSO MADE
20 FREQUENT CALLS TO THE CONSULATE IN GUADALAJARA.

21 AND I CONTACTED MY SENATORS AND MY CONGRESSMEN TO
22 ENLIST THEIR AID. AND THEY WERE -- THEY WOULD REQUEST
23 INFORMATION AND RECEIVE THAT INITIALLY BY WIRE AND THEN CALL ME
24 AND READ THE CONTENTS AND THEN LATER SEND ME A COPY OF THE
25 WIRE.

1 Q DO YOU EVER TRAVEL TO GUADALAJARA YOURSELF?

2 A YES. IN THE FIRST WEEK OF MARCH -- IT WAS, I THINK, MARCH
3 3RD -- I WENT TO GUADALAJARA.

4 Q FOR WHAT PURPOSE, MRS. WALKER?

5 A TO FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENED TO DO MY HUSBAND. I MEAN, TO DO
6 WHAT I COULD TO FIND OUT.

7 Q IN THE MONTH OF MARCH OF 1985, ARE YOU INVOLVED, IN
8 GUADALAJARA, IN EFFORTS TO LOCATE JOHN WALKER?

9 A YES. I --

10 Q WELL, LET ME JUST ASK YOU A QUESTION.

11 A YES.

12 Q IN THE MONTH OF APRIL 1985, ARE YOU INVOLVED IN EFFORTS TO
13 LOCATE JOHN?

14 A YES.

15 Q MAY OF 1985?

16 A YES.

17 Q WHEN YOU ARE IN MEXICO, DID YOU EVER MEET A MAN BY THE NAME
18 OF DR. FELIPE RADELAT?

19 A YES, I DID.

20 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION,
21 THE AREA I'M ABOUT TO GET INTO MIGHT NECESSITATE A RECESS AT
22 SOME POINT.

23 MIGHT WE, JUST FOR TODAY, BREAK ABOUT A FEW MINUTES
24 EARLY BEFORE LUNCH AND THEN START UP WITH THIS PORTION AFTER
25 THE LUNCH HOUR, YOUR HONOR?

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE OUR NOON RECESS AT
2 THIS TIME AND RECONVENE AT 1:30.

3 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

4 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE.

5 THE COURT: THE JURY MAY BE EXCUSED. YOU MAY STEP
6 DOWN.

7 (JURY ABSENT:)

8 THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

9 THE COURT: NOW, COUNSEL, WHAT IS IT THAT YOU'RE ABOUT
10 TO GET INTO?

11 MR. MEDRANO: THE IDENTIFICATION BY MRS. WALKER OF THE
12 REMAINS OF JOHN WALKER, YOUR HONOR. AND HAVING DISCUSSED THIS
13 WITH MRS. WALKER ALREADY, I'M AWARE THAT SHE MAY GET RATHER
14 EMOTIONAL.

15 AND I THOUGHT IT MIGHT BE BEST TO JUST GO THROUGH THAT
16 ENTIRE PORTION TOGETHER, BECAUSE ONCE WE START INTO IT, IT
17 WOULD HAVE REQUIRED, POSSIBLY, A RECESS; SO I THOUGHT, TO SAVE
18 EVERYBODY TIME, THAT WE MIGHT JUST START WITH THAT AFTER LUNCH.

19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

20 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

21 MR. STOLAR: I JUST THINK THAT THE COURT SHOULD BE
22 AWARE THAT, AT LEAST ACCORDING TO WHAT THE GOVERNMENT SAYS, WE
23 ARE WAY AHEAD OF SCHEDULE IN TERMS OF WHAT WE DETERMINED TO BE
24 THE PROGRESS OF THE CASE.

25 THE COURT: I'M NOT SURPRISED. THAT'S THAT WAY IT

1 SHOULD BE.

2 COURTROOM: (LAUGHTER.)

3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

4 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE. THIS COURT IS NOW IN RECESS.

5 (NOON RECESS.)

6 ---0---

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 LOS ANGELES + CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1990

2 + 1:30 P.M.

3 (JURY ABSENT:)

4 THE COURT: LET THE RECORD SHOW THE COURT IS CONVENING
5 OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY; ALL THE DEFENDANTS AND
6 COUNSEL ARE PRESENT.

7 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, I JUST WANTED TO BRING
8 SOMETHING TO THE ATTENTION OF THE COURT. I'VE ALREADY ADVISED
9 DEFENSE COUNSEL, BUT I THOUGHT YOU SHOULD BE COGNIZANT OF THIS,
10 AS WELL.

11 AT OUR NOON LUNCH RECESS TODAY, YOUR HONOR, I WENT TO
12 THE FOURTH FLOOR TO GET THE OBLIGATORY FOURTH FLOOR SNACK-BAR
13 SANDWICH, AND THEN I WENT TO THE BACK OF THE ELEVATOR TO WAIT
14 FOR AN ELEVATOR TO THE 14TH FLOOR. I NOTICED THAT THERE WERE
15 TWO WOMEN IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO ME, BUT I DIDN'T LOOK AT THEM,
16 NOR DID I GET A GOOD LOOK AT THEM.

17 THEN ONE OF THEM, ONE OF THE WOMEN THAT I -- STARTS
18 TALKING TO ME AND TELLS ME THAT SHE HAS A QUESTION FOR ME. I
19 TURN AROUND AND I SEE THE JUROR TAGS. AND SHE LOOKED FAMILIAR.
20 SHE LOOKED LIKE ONE OF OUR JURORS.

21 I TOLD HER -- I ASKED HER IF SHE WAS ONE OF THE
22 JURORS ON THE CASE. SHE SAID SHE WAS, AND I TOLD HER, "I CAN'T
23 TALK TO YOU."

24 SHE PAUSED, I THINK; AND THEN I REITERATED THAT I WAS
25 NOT ALLOWED TO TALK TO HER, AND I SAID, "I'M SORRY." AND THEN

1 SHE PAUSED AGAIN AND THEN SHE GOT INTO THE ELEVATOR WITH A
2 SECOND JUROR, WHO I REALLY DIDN'T GET A GOOD LOOK AT. I THINK
3 SHE ALSO WAS ON THE JURY, THE SECOND PERSON.

4 THE SECOND WOMAN I DIDN'T SEE, BUT THE FIRST ONE I CAN
5 DEFINITELY IDENTIFY. IT WAS -- MAY I POINT TO THE CHAIR, YOUR
6 HONOR? I FORGOT WHICH SEAT NUMBER IT IS.

7 THE COURT: YES.

8 MR. MEDRANO: I THINK IT'S ALTERNATE 5, RIGHT HERE,
9 YOUR HONOR. IT'S A WOMAN.

10 THE COURT: YES. THAT WOULD BE ALTERNATE 5.

11 MR. MEDRANO: YES. AND SHE WAS THE ONE WHO ADDRESSED
12 ME AND THE ONE I DIRECTED MY REPLIES TO.

13 SO I'VE ADVISED DEFENSE COUNSEL AND I JUST WANTED TO
14 ADVISE THE COURT THAT I IMMEDIATELY TOLD HER I COULDN'T TALK TO
15 HER, AND THAT WAS THE EXTENT OF OUR DISCUSSION. SO I JUST
16 WANTED TO TELL YOU.

17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. COUNSEL, DO YOU BELIEVE
18 ANYTHING SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT THIS OTHER THAN TO INSTRUCT THE
19 JURORS THAT THEY SHOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE WITH ANY
20 COUNSEL INVOLVED IN THIS CASE?

21 MR. MEDVENE: WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY ASK IF YOUR HONOR,
22 AT AN APPROPRIATE TIME, MIGHT SEE THE JUROR AND ASK HER WHAT
23 SHE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT, AND THAT WOULD BE THE EXTENT OF THE
24 QUESTIONING, SO WE CAN GET SOME IDEA ABOUT WHAT THE CONTACT WAS
25 ABOUT.

1 WE APPRECIATE VERY MUCH MR. MEDRANO TELLING US ABOUT
2 WHAT HAPPENED.

3 MR. MEDRANO: WE WOULD OPPOSE THAT, YOUR HONOR,
4 BECAUSE -- (SHRUGS SHOULDERS.) WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT WAS ON HER
5 MIND. ARGUABLY IT GOES TO JURY DELIBERATION.

6 SO I THINK JUST THE ADMONITION TO THE JURY THAT THEY
7 SHOULD NOT ADDRESS ANY OF THE COUNCIL OR WITNESSES OR READ ANY
8 NEWSPAPER REPORTS OR WHATEVER, I THINK THAT WOULD BE ADEQUATE.

9 THE COURT: I THINK THAT WOULD BE ADEQUATE. I DON'T
10 WANT TO GET INTO SOMETHING THAT IS NOT A PROBLEM AT THE PRESENT
11 TIME.

12 WE HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING WHAT THIS JUROR WANTED, BUT
13 I THINK AN INSTRUCTION TO THESE JURORS THAT THEY NOT SPEAK WITH
14 ANY COUNSEL OR ANYONE ELSE IS SUFFICIENT.

15 THIS IS OUR FIFTH ALTERNATE. SHE'S NOT LIKELY TO BE A
16 MEMBER OF THIS JURY, IN ANY EVENT. SO I THINK THAT WE'LL JUST
17 HANDLE IT BY INSTRUCTION.

18 ALL RIGHT. LET'S BRING THE JURY IN, PLEASE.

19 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE.

20 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WE'RE OUT OF CUPS. CAN I
21 TAKE ONE OF THOSE OVER THERE, QUICKLY, BEFORE THE JURY COMES
22 OUT?

23 THE COURT: YES.

24 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU.

25 (JURY PRESENT:)

1 THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

2 THE COURT: YOU MAY CONTINUE.

3 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

4

5 MARY EVELYN WALKER + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN

6

7

DIRECT EXAMINATION + (RESUMED)

8

BY MR. MEDRANO:

9

Q MRS. WALKER, BETWEEN MARCH OF 1985 AND JUNE OF 1985, WERE
10 YOU INVOLVED IN EFFORTS TO TRY TO LOCATE JOHN WALKER?

11

A YES, I WAS.

12

Q CAN I ASK YOU TO MOVE THE MICROPHONE JUST A TAD CLOSER TO
13 YOU.

14

A (COMPLIES.)

15

Q THANK YOU. AS PART OF THOSE EFFORTS, DID YOU SPEND
16 SIGNIFICANT PERIODS OF TIME IN MEXICO?

17

A YES.

18

Q WHAT CITIES IN PARTICULAR?

19

A IN GUADALAJARA AND MEXICO CITY; MOSTLY GUADALAJARA.

20

THE REPORTER: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE THE MICROPHONE
21 JUST A TINY BIT CLOSER?

22

THE COURT: WE DON'T WANT IT TOO CLOSE, BECAUSE WE'LL
23 GET THE FEEDBACK.

24

JUST PLEASE TRY TO SPEAK UP A LITTLE.

25

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

1 BY MR. MEDRANO:

2 Q DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE MIDDLE OF JUNE 1985, MRS.
3 WALKER, WHERE WERE YOU AT THAT TIME?

4 A IN THE MIDDLE OF JUNE OF 85? I WAS -- YOU MEAN AROUND JUNE
5 15TH? I WAS IN MEXICO CITY.

6 Q WHY WERE YOU IN MEXICO CITY?

7 A WE HAD ARRANGED THROUGH AMBASSADOR GAVIN TO HAVE MEETINGS
8 WITH THE THEN MEXICO ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OTHER GOVERNMENT
9 OFFICIALS.

10 Q WHAT HAPPENS IN MEXICO CITY?

11 A WE ARRIVED FOR THE MEETINGS AND WE WERE TOLD THAT WE WOULD
12 NEED TO WAIT A COUPLE OF DAYS BECAUSE THERE WERE DEVELOPMENTS.

13 Q WHAT'S THE NEXT THING THAT HAPPENS?

14 A THE MEETINGS WERE RESCHEDULED. THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE ON
15 THE 15TH. THEY WERE RESCHEDULED FOR THE 18TH.

16 AS WE WERE LEAVING OUR HOTEL TO GO TO THE FIRST OF THE
17 MEETINGS, WE WERE STOPPED AT THE HOTEL DESK IN THE LOBBY BY THE
18 MOTEL MANAGER, AND HE SAID HE HAD A TELEPHONE CALL.

19 DR. RADELAT TOOK THE CALL, AND IT WAS FROM LARRY LANE,
20 WHO IS THE CONSUL GENERAL OF MEXICO CITY, AND HE TOLD US TO GO
21 BACK UP TO YOUR ROOMS AND HE'D BE IN TOUCH WITH US.

22 AND AS WE TURNED TO GO BACK TO THE ELEVATOR TO GO BACK
23 TO OUR ROOMS, A MAN WHO HAD BEEN HELPING DR. RADELAT, WHO WAS
24 AN ATTORNEY IN MEXICO CITY, CAME INTO THE LOBBY OF THE HOTEL
25 AND HE HAD A -- HE HAD A -- THAT DAY'S EDITION OF THE

1 'INFORMADOR,' AND A BANNER HEADLINE ACROSS THE TOP SAID THAT
2 TWO AMERICANS -- THAT THE TWO AMERICANS WHO HAD BEEN MISSING IN
3 MEXICO, THE BODIES HAD BEEN FOUND THE DAY BEFORE IN
4 GUADALAJARA. (CRYING.)

5 Q NOW, AFTER THIS, MRS. WALKER, DO YOU TRAVEL TO THE CITY OF
6 GUADALAJARA?

7 A YES, SIR. WE WENT BACK UP TO OUR ROOMS, AND LARRY LANE
8 CALLED.

9 THE COURT: WELL, MA'AM --

10 THE WITNESS: OH, I'M SORRY.

11 THE COURT: -- THE QUESTION WAS: DID YOU GO TO
12 GUADALAJARA?

13 THE WITNESS: YES, WE DID.

14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q HOW DID YOU GET TO GUADALAJARA, MRS. WALKER?

17 A WE FLEW.

18 Q WHO GOES WITH YOU TO GUADALAJARA?

19 A MY MOTHER-IN-LAW, FLORENCE WALKER; DR. RADELAT; AND ANA
20 RADELAT.

21 Q IS ANA RADELAT THE WIFE OF THE DOCTOR?

22 A YES, SHE IS.

23 Q WHEN YOU ARRIVE AT THE GUADALAJARA AIRPORT, WHERE DO YOU
24 PROCEED NEXT?

25 A WE FIRST WENT TO THE HOME OF MY LANDLADY. WE WERE STAYING

1 AT HER HOUSE?

2 A HER NAME.

3 Q ELVIRA CHAVEZ.

4 Q WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

5 A WE LEFT MY MOTHER-IN-LAW THERE, AND THEN DR. RADELAT AND
6 ANA RADELAT AND I STARTED TO GO TO THE MORGUE. BUT THEN DR.
7 RADELAT REMEMBERED THAT HIS SON ALBERT'S DENTAL X-RAYS WERE IN
8 A LOCKER AT THE AIRPORT. SO I DROVE BACK TO THE AIRPORT AND HE
9 WENT IN AND GOT HIS SON'S DENTAL X-RAYS, AND THEN WE WENT TO
10 THE CITY MORGUE.

11 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO ANY REFERENCES
12 TO DENTAL RECORDS.

13 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

14 BY MR. MEDRANO:

15 Q WHERE DO YOU GO FROM THE GUADALAJARA AIRPORT THEN?

16 A WE DROVE DIRECTLY TO THE CITY MORGUE.

17 Q IS THIS IN THE CITY OF GUADALAJARA?

18 A YES.

19 Q WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU GET TO THE MORGUE, MRS. WALKER?

20 A IT WAS DARK BY THIS TIME. IT WAS EVENING. AND SO WE
21 WEREN'T SURE IF ANYBODY WOULD BE THERE.

22 DR. RADELAT HAD BEEN THERE BEFORE, SO --

23 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT, PLEASE.

24 COUNSEL, THESE QUESTIONS, OF "WHAT HAPPENED," INVITE A
25 NARRATIVE. CAN YOU MAKE YOUR QUESTIONS --

1 MR. MEDRANO: I'LL BE MORE SPECIFIC, YOUR HONOR.

2 THE COURT: -- SPECIFIC AND GET TO THE POINT?

3 MR. MEDRANO: I WILL, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.

4 Q MRS. WALKER, DO YOU ENTER THE MORGUE?

5 A YES. (PAUSE.) YES.

6 Q AND DO YOU ENTER WITH DR. RADELAT?

7 A YES?

8 Q WHEN YOU WENT TO THE MORGUE, DID YOU SEE BODIES OR REMAINS?

9 A YES.

10 Q NOW, AT ANY TIME, DO YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO IDENTIFY
11 THE REMAINS OF ANYONE?

12 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION TO THE TERM "REMAINS OF
13 ANYONE," YOUR HONOR. THERE'S BEEN NO FOUNDATION.

14 THE COURT: WELL, ARE YOU ASKED TO VIEW AND TRY TO
15 IDENTIFY SOME REMAINS?

16 THE WITNESS: YES, I WAS.

17 BY MR. MEDRANO:

18 Q ARE THERE REMAINS FOR MORE THAN ONE INDIVIDUAL?

19 A THERE WERE TWO SKELETONS.

20 Q ARE THEY ON TABLES?

21 A YES.

22 Q ARE THESE TABLES, MRS. WALKER, SIDE-BY-SIDE?

23 A YES, IN A ROW, GOING DOWN THE ROOM. (INDICATING.)

24 Q ARE YOU ASKED TO IDENTIFY THE REMAINS, POSSIBLY, OF JOHN
25 WALKER?

1 A YES.

2 Q ARE YOU ABLE TO DO SO?

3 A YES.

4 Q HOW DO YOU KNOW IT'S JOHN WALKER?

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO
6 THE LEADING NATURE OF THE QUESTIONS. I WOULD ASK THAT A MORE
7 FORMAL FOUNDATION BE SET.

8 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

9 BY MR. MEDRANO:

10 Q HOW ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY?

11 A AS SOON AS I LOOKED -- AS SOON AS I LOOKED AT THEM -- IT
12 WAS ONLY A SKELETON, BUT NOT JUST A SKELETON.

13 THERE WERE -- THERE WERE PARTS OF HIS -- (CRYING.)
14 THERE WERE PARTS OF HIS BODY STILL ON HIM. THERE WAS ONE OF
15 HIS EYES. AND ON AL, THERE WAS HIS UPPER LIP CURLED UNDER,
16 WITH HIS MUSTACHE.

17 AND THEY WERE SKELETONS, BUT THERE WAS ABSOLUTELY --
18 IT WAS -- THEY -- IT WAS JOHN. IT WAS UNMISTAKABLY JOHN.

19 Q MRS. WALKER, YOU MENTIONED THE TEETH. WAS THERE ANYTHING
20 CHARACTERISTIC OR UNIQUE ABOUT THE TEETH TO SUGGEST TO YOU THAT
21 IT WAS JOHN WALKER?

22 A YES, JOHN HAD VERY -- HE HAD VERY GOOD TEETH. HE HAD --
23 HIS TEETH WERE PERFECT.

24 IN FACT, THE PATHOLOGIST THERE TOLD ME THAT'S WHY SHE
25 THOUGHT HE WAS YOUNGER THAN HE ACTUALLY WAS. HE HAD VERY

1 WHITE, VERY EVEN TEETH.

2 Q WERE THERE --

3 A AND THEY WERE HIS TEETH.

4 Q YOU MENTIONED THE EYES OR AN EYE. WAS THERE ANYTHING
5 CHARACTERISTIC ABOUT THE EYE COLOR?

6 A (CRYING.) YES. HIS EYES WERE BROWN, AND TO ME THEY WERE
7 VERY -- UNMISTAKABLE. I LOOKED AT THOSE SAME EYES FOR 15
8 YEARS. I KNEW THAT WAS HIM.

9 Q YOU MENTIONED BEFORE THAT JOHN HAD A LEG INJURY FROM
10 VIETNAM; CORRECT?

11 A YES.

12 Q WAS THERE ANYTHING UNIQUE OR CHARACTERISTIC ABOUT THE
13 REMAINS YOU OBSERVED RELATING TO A LEG INJURY?

14 A YES. ON ONE OF HIS LEGS, WHEN HE STEPPED ON THE LAND MINE,
15 IT RIPPED AWAY ALL THE MUSCLE, ALMOST ALL OF THE MUSCLE AND ALL
16 OF THE FLESH IN HIS SHIN. AND AT ONE POINT, THERE WAS JUST A
17 SMALL LAYER OF SKIN COVERING THE BONE THERE.

18 AND HE WORRIED ABOUT THAT, BECAUSE HE WORRIED ABOUT
19 DAMAGE TO THAT BONE. AND WE BOTH NOTICED THAT IT SEEMED TO BE
20 THICKER THERE. AND I NOTICED ON HIS LEG BONE THAT IT WAS; IT
21 WAS -- A LARGE CALCIFICATION HAD DEVELOPED THERE, RIGHT WHERE
22 THAT GOUGED-OUT AREA HAD BEEN.

23 Q WAS THE HEIGHT OF THE REMAINS CONSISTENT WITH THE HEIGHT OF
24 JOHN WALKER?

25 A SKELETONS AREN'T -- (CRYING.) I MEAN -- YES, IT DID; AND

1 PARTICULARLY WHEN -- IN PROPORTION TO ALBERT.

2 Q MRS. WALKER, WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY PERSONAL
3 BELONGINGS OR ITEMS RELATING TO THE REMAINS OF MR. WALKER?

4 A YES.

5 Q WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY PERSONAL BELONGINGS THAT YOU
6 SAW?

7 A YES.

8 Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOU WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY?

9 A THEY SHOWED ME A BLACK T-SHIRT WITH A SINGLE POCKET.

10 Q AND WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT T-SHIRT?

11 A YES. HE HAD SEVERAL EXACTLY LIKE THAT.

12 Q WAS THIS A PULLOVER-TYPE T-SHIRT?

13 A YES, JUST AN ORDINARY, EVERYDAY T-SHIRT; ONE OF THOSE
14 POCKET T'S, AND LEE JEANS, WHICH HE ALWAYS WORE BECAUSE HE WAS
15 VERY SLENDER, SO HE BOUGHT LEE LEAN JEANS.

16 BUT THE -- THE ITEM THAT WAS MOST UNMISTAKABLE WAS --
17 WERE HIS SHOES. HE ALWAYS -- HE WORE NIKE TENNIS SHOES. AND
18 BECAUSE OF THE WAY THAT HE WALKED, HE SPUN OFF OF HIS HEEL AS
19 HE WALKED, AND HIS HEEL WOULD ALWAYS WEAR A HOLLOWED-OUT PLACE
20 IN THE INSOLE OF HIS SHOES BEFORE THE SHOES WERE HARDLY WORN AT
21 ALL, AND HE WOULD FILL THAT AREA UP WITH LAMB'S WOOL FROM TIME
22 TO TIME.

23 AND SHE SHOWED ME THE SHOES AND THEY -- THEY WERE HIS
24 SHOES.

25 Q THEY WERE NIKE SHOES?

1 A YES.

2 Q AND WERE THESE WORN OUT IN THE FASHION THAT YOU DESCRIBED?

3 A YES.

4 Q WERE YOU ULTIMATELY ALLOWED THE TAKE THE REMAINS BACK TO
5 THE UNITED STATES?

6 A YES.

7 Q ON OR ABOUT WHAT DATE, MRS. WALKER?

8 A I THINK IT WAS 21ST.

9 Q WAS MR. WALKER BURIED IN SAINT PAUL?

10 A YES. SNELLING. FORT SNELLING NATIONAL CEMETERY.

11 Q MRS. WALKER, HAS THE D.E.A. PROVIDED YOU WITH ANY TYPE OF
12 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE?

13 A IN A SENSE.

14 Q AND HAVE YOU RECEIVED MONEY FROM THE D.E.A., MRS. WALKER?

15 A YES.

16 Q APPROXIMATELY WHAT AMOUNT, DO YOU KNOW?

17 A I THINK THE TOTAL WAS 4,000 -- 4,500? AROUND \$4,500.00.

18 I'M NOT SURE OF THE EXACT AMOUNT.

19 Q AND APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME PERIOD DID YOU RECEIVE THIS
20 MONEY, DO YOU RECALL?

21 A LATE OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER.

22 THE COURT: WHAT YEAR?

23 THE WITNESS: OH, EXCUSE ME. 1989.

24 BY MR. MEDRANO:

25 Q AND WHAT WAS THE MONEY PROVIDED FOR, MRS. WALKER?

1 A TO RELOCATE TO THE UNITED STATES. I WAS LIVING IN MEXICO
2 JUST PRIOR TO THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF THESE INDICTMENTS AND I WAS
3 ASKED TO RELOCATE TO THE UNITED STATES.

4 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT.

5 (GOVERNMENT COUNSEL CONFER OFF THE RECORD.)

6 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT AT
7 THIS TIME.

8 THE COURT: YOU MAY CROSS-EXAMINE.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

10 (NO OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL RESPONDS.)

11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MA'AM. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.
12 THANK YOU.

13 CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

14 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, PERHAPS I MISTAKENLY FAILED
15 TO ASK TO ADMIT THE PHOTOGRAPH OF MR. WALKER. WE WOULD DO SO
16 AT THIS TIME.

17 THE COURT: IT MAY BE ADMITTED.

18 (EXHIBIT 45 # RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

19 MR. MEDRANO: THE GOVERNMENT CALLS ESTELLA FUENTES NOW
20 TO THE STAND, YOUR HONOR.

21 THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NAME?

22 MR. MEDRANO: ESTELLA FUENTES.

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WAS NOT GIVEN ANY
24 JENCKS MATERIAL ON THIS PARTICULAR WITNESS DURING --

25 MR. MEDRANO: THERE IS NONE, YOUR HONOR.

1 MR. NICOLAYSEN: AND I WAS TOLD THAT THIS WITNESS
2 WOULD TESTIFY --

3 THE COURT: COUNSEL, I DON'T WANT THESE STATEMENTS
4 MADE IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I DO HAVE AN OBJECTION TO THE TIMING
6 OF THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY, YOUR HONOR.

7 THE COURT: TO THE TIMING OF THE TESTIMONY?

8 MR. NICOLAYSEN: RIGHT.

9 THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S HERE -- OVER HERE, PLEASE.

10 (AT SIDEBAR:)

11 THE COURT: THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING I'M TRYING TO
12 AVOID.

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I DO UNDERSTAND THAT.

14 THE COURT: DID YOU KNOW THIS WITNESS WAS GOING TO BE
15 CALLED TODAY??

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO. THAT'S THE REASON THAT I HAD
17 ASKED YOUR HONOR TO HEAR US AT SIDEBAR. I WAS GIVEN A LIST OF
18 WITNESSES THAT DID NOT INCLUDE HER.

19 AND JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, I WAS AWARE THAT SHE
20 WOULD BE CALLED AT SOME POINT AND I HAD ASKED GOVERNMENT
21 COUNSEL IF THEY COULD TELL ME GENERALLY IF IT WOULD BE LATER
22 THIS WEEK OR MAYBE EARLY NEXT WEEK, AND THEY TOLD ME THEY
23 WEREN'T SURE.

24 THEY DID GIVE ME A LIST OF WITNESSES THROUGH TODAY.
25 SHE WAS NOT ON THE LIST. THE SPECIFIC WITNESS I WAS EXPECTING

1 TO BE CALLED WAS NAMED ENRIQUE PLASCENCIA AGUILAR, AND I'M
2 PREPARED FOR THAT WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.

3 THERE WAS ANOTHER WITNESS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAD
4 POSSIBLY WANTED TO CALL, BUT THEY STRUCK HIM FROM THE LIST AND
5 THEY NOTIFIED ME.

6 SHE IS A COMPLETE SURPRISE. I'M NOT PREPARED TO CROSS
7 HER, AND I DO HAVE SOME PRIVILEGE OBJECTIONS THAT I WAS GOING
8 TO FILE BY WRITTEN MOTION.

9 THE COURT: WELL, LOOK, THEY CAN PUT ON THE DIRECT
10 TESTIMONY. YOU KNOW, YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE TO THE EXTENT THAT
11 YOU CAN. IF YOU WANT FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION AFTER
12 PREPARATION, I'LL PERMIT YOU TO RECALL HER FOR THAT PURPOSE.

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: WELL, I APPRECIATE THAT. I'M ALSO
14 CONCERNED, THOUGH, ABOUT IMPROPER TESTIMONY REGARDING
15 CONFIDENTIAL MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS. SHE IS THE FORMER SPOUSE
16 OF MY CLIENT.

17 THE COURT: THE FORMER SPOUSE OF YOUR CLIENT?

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THAT'S CORRECT.

19 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER RAISED THIS ISSUE BEFORE?

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I HAVEN'T NEEDED TO RAISE IT BEFORE.
21 I WAS GOING TO FILE A MOTION IN LIMINE BEFORE HER TESTIMONY,
22 RAISING THAT SPECIFIC ISSUE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE'S GOING TO
23 TESTIFY ABOUT --

24 THE COURT: WHAT IS THIS WITNESS GOING TO TESTIFY TO?

25 MR. NICOLAYSEN: -- BUT I WOULD ASK FOR AN OFFER OF

1 PROOF.

2 MR. MEDRANO: COUNSEL HAS KNOWN OF THE EXISTENCE OF
3 THIS WITNESS FOR MONTHS BEFORE THIS TRIAL STARTED. THERE WAS
4 NO JENCKS MATERIAL FOR THE WITNESS.

5 AND FOR THE RECORD, YESTERDAY WE DID ADVISE CO-DEFENSE
6 COUNSEL OF THE ORDER OF WITNESSES FOR TODAY, AND ESTELLA
7 FUENTES WAS ON THAT LIST, YOUR HONOR.

8 MS. KELLY: NO, SHE WASN'T, YOUR HONOR. I'LL VERIFY
9 THAT.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: SHE WAS NOT. ABSOLUTELY NOT.

11 THE COURT: LOOK, THE PURPOSE OF NOTIFYING YOU IS SO
12 THAT IF THERE IS JENCKS MATERIAL YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
13 PREPARE ON IT. THERE IS NO MATERIAL IN THIS CASE, SO WHAT --

14 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THE OTHER PURPOSE
15 OF NOTIFICATION IS SO WE CAN PROPERLY RAISE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS
16 TO THE COURT BEFORE THE JURY IS BROUGHT DOWN IN THE MORNING, AS
17 I DID WITH, FOR EXAMPLE, DR. RADELAT THIS MORNING.

18 THE COURT: BUT THE JENCKS IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE
19 PROVIDED UNTIL THE WITNESS TESTIFIES.

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I UNDERSTAND, BUT I'M SPEAKING ABOUT
21 OTHER OBJECTIONS; FOR EXAMPLE, LACK OF FOUNDATION. IN HER CASE
22 ITS WOULD BE PRIVILEGE OBJECTIONS.

23 THE COURT: WELL, WHY HAVEN'T YOU RAISED THIS BEFORE?
24 HE SAYS YOU'VE KNOWN FOR MONTHS ABOUT THIS.

25 MR. NICOLAYSEN: BECAUSE -- NO. I KNEW STARTING

1 MONDAY THAT SHE WAS GOING TO BE CALLED AS A GOVERNMENT WITNESS.
2 I FOUND OUT THROUGH THE FAMILY. TODAY IS WEDNESDAY.

3 YESTERDAY I TOLD GOVERNMENT COUNSEL I WAS AWARE THAT
4 SHE MIGHT BE CALLED AND ASKED WHEN SHE WOULD BE CALLED, AND
5 THEY SAID THE END OF THE WEEK MAYBE.

6 THE COURT: ARE YOU INTENDING TO ELICIT ANY
7 COMMUNICATIONS FROM THIS WITNESS --

8 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR.

9 THE COURT: -- THAT SHE HAD WITH HER HUSBAND DURING
10 THAT MARRIAGE?

11 MR. MEDRANO: LET ME JUST STATE TO YOU THIS, YOUR
12 HONOR; THAT IN ADDITION, LET ME STATE THAT A SECRETARY OR
13 REPRESENTATIVE OF MR. NICOLAYSEN'S OFFICE HAS SPOKEN IN SPANISH
14 TO MRS. FUENTES PRIOR TO HER APPEARANCE TODAY. SO MR.
15 NICOLAYSEN IS AWARE OF HER EXISTENCE.

16 THIS IS WALK-IN BUSINESS, YOUR HONOR. HE KNOWS THAT
17 SHE EXISTED, BECAUSE HIS INVESTIGATOR HAS SPOKEN TO HER --

18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

19 MR. MEDRANO: BUT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME HE RAISED IT.

20 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I HAVE KNOWN OF HER. I'LL GO ON THE
21 RECORD AND SAY THAT. I DID NOT KNOW --

22 THE COURT: HAVE YOU HAD HER INTERVIEWED?

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: ON THE PHONE.

24 BUT WHAT I HAVE NOT KNOWN IS THAT SHE WAS GOING TO BE
25 CALLED AS A WITNESS UNTIL MONDAY.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE SAID THAT ALREADY.
2 LET'S STOP HERE.

3 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT SHE'S GOING --

4 THE COURT: THE WITNESS MAY TESTIFY. YOU MAY
5 CROSS-EXAMINE HER; YOU MAY MAKE ANY OBJECTIONS YOU WISH TO HER
6 TESTIMONY. IF, AFTER YOU'VE CROSS-EXAMINED HER, YOU FEEL YOU
7 NEED ADDITIONAL TIME, I WILL PERMIT YOU TO DEFER FURTHER
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION UNTIL SUCH TIME AS YOU'RE READY TO PROCEED
9 WITH HER.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: VERY WELL. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

11 (OPEN COURT:)

12 THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

13
14 ESTELLA FUENTES ORTIZ + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN

15
16 THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL
17 NAME FOR THE RECORD AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

18 THE WITNESS: (TESTIFYING THROUGH INTERPRETER:)
19 ESTELLA FUENTES ORTIZ.

20 THE CLERK: AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

21 THE WITNESS: O R T I Z -- Z.

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION +

23 BY MR. MEDRANO:

24 Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MRS. FUENTES. MRS. FUENTES, I UNDERSTAND
25 YOU DO SPEAK SOME ENGLISH. IS THAT CORRECT?

1 A YES.

2 Q WOULD YOU PREFER TO GO IN ENGLISH OR WITH THE USE OF THE
3 INTERPRETER?

4 A WITH THE AID OF THE INTERPRETER.

5 Q MRS. FUENTES, HOW OLD ARE YOU?

6 A 38 YEARS.

7 Q WERE YOU BORN IN THE UNITED STATES?

8 A NO.

9 Q WHERE WERE YOU BORN?

10 A I WAS BORN IN LA NORIA, TARIMORO, GUANAJUATO, MEXICO.

11 Q GUANAJUATO, IS THAT A STATE IN MEXICO?

12 A YES.

13 Q DO YOU KNOW JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO?

14 A YES.

15 Q WHO IS THIS?

16 A WHO IS JAVIER VASQUEZ?

17 Q YES, MA'AM.

18 A HE IS MY HUSBAND.

19 Q DO YOU HAVE CHILDREN?

20 A YES.

21 Q WHAT ARE THEIR AGES?

22 A 14 AND 13.

23 Q WHERE WERE YOU MARRIED -- ON WHAT DATE DID YOU MARRY JAVIER
24 VASQUEZ VELASCO?

25 A 4TH OF MAY OF 1974.

1 Q MRS. FUENTES, IF I CAN ASK YOU, WITH THE COURT'S
2 PERMISSION, TO STAND FOR A MOMENT --

3 A (COMPLIES.)

4 Q -- AND IF YOU COULD TELL ME IF YOU SEE JAVIER VASQUEZ
5 VELASCO IN THE COURTROOM TODAY --

6 A YES.

7 Q -- CAN I ASK YOU TO POINT HIM OUT AND DESCRIBE SOMETHING
8 HE'S WEARING?

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I'LL STIPULATE IT'S MY CLIENT, YOUR
10 HONOR.

11 THE COURT: VERY WELL. THE RECORD WILL INDICATE THAT
12 COUNSEL STIPULATES THAT IT'S HIS CLIENT, AND THE JURY MAY
13 ACCEPT THAT AS A FACT.

14 MR. MEDRANO: YOU MAY SIT DOWN, MRS. FUENTES. THANK
15 YOU.

16 Q MRS. FUENTES, WHEN DID YOU COME TO THE UNITED STATES TO
17 RESIDE PERMANENTLY?

18 A THE 4TH OF MAY OF 1970.

19 Q AND WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT IMMIGRATION STATUS HERE IN THE
20 UNITED STATES?

21 A RESIDENT.

22 Q WHEN YOU CAME IN 1970, WHERE DID YOU RESIDE INITIALLY IN
23 THE UNITED STATES?

24 A EAST LOS ANGELES.

25 Q HOW LONG DID YOU RESIDE IN EAST L.A.?

1 A FOUR YEARS.

2 Q UNTIL 1974?

3 A YES.

4 Q AND IS THAT WHEN YOU MARRIED JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO?

5 A YES.

6 Q THEREAFTER, DID YOU MOVE TO ANOTHER LOCATION?

7 A YES.

8 Q WHERE TO, MRS. FUENTES?

9 A NORWALK. NORWALK, CALIFORNIA.

10 Q HOW LONG DID YOU RESIDE THERE?

11 A SIX YEARS.

12 Q AS OF TODAY, ARE YOU STILL LEGALLY MARRIED TO JAVIER
13 VASQUEZ VELASCO?

14 A YES.

15 Q BUT, ARE YOU SEPARATED FROM HIM PRESENTLY?

16 A YES.

17 Q AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SEPARATED, APPROXIMATELY?

18 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO RENEW MY
19 OBJECTIONS AT THIS TIME.

20 THE COURT: THE QUESTION OF HOW LONG SHE'S BEEN
21 SEPARATED IS NOT SUBJECT TO OBJECTION. THAT WAS THE QUESTION.

22 ARE YOU OBJECTING TO THAT QUESTION?

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO, NOT TO THE QUESTION, BUT RENEWING
24 THE OBJECTION I STATED EARLIER.

25 THE COURT: WELL, THERE'S NO NEED TO RENEW IT. YOU

1 CAN STILL OBJECT TO ANY QUESTION THAT IS ASKED.

2 BY MR. MEDRANO:

3 Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SEPARATED?

4 A 10 YEARS.

5 Q IN 19 -- AFTER NORWALK, CALIFORNIA, DID YOU MOVE AGAIN?

6 A YES.

7 Q WHERE TO?

8 A HOUSTON, TEXAS.

9 Q AND IS THAT WHERE YOU RESIDE PRESENTLY?

10 A YES.

11 Q IS THAT FROM 1981 TO THE PRESENT?

12 A YES.

13 Q MRS. FUENTES, HOW DO YOU SUPPORT YOURSELF?

14 A I SELL FRUITS AND VEGETABLES.

15 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

16 (PAUSE.)

17 Q MRS. FUENTES, I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION, IF I MAY,

18 TO ABOUT DECEMBER OF 1984. AT THAT TIME, DID YOU HAVE AN

19 OPPORTUNITY TO SEE JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO?

20 A YES.

21 Q WHEN WAS THIS, MRS. FUENTES?

22 A DECEMBER OF 84.

23 Q DO YOU RECALL -- STRIKE THAT.

24 DO YOU ACTUALLY SEE JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO IN DECEMBER

25 OF 84?

1 A YES.

2 Q WHERE?

3 A IN LA NORIA, TARIMORO, GUANAJUATO.

4 Q WHEN WAS THE DATE, IF YOU RECALL, THAT YOU SAW HIM IN LA
5 NORIA, MEXICO?

6 A DECEMBER 21ST 1984.

7 Q DID YOU HAVE YOUR CHILDREN WITH YOU AT THE TIME THAT YOU
8 SAW JAVIER VASQUEZ?

9 A YES.

10 Q AND HOW THEN DID YOU -- STRIKE THAT.

11 DID YOU SPEND A PERIOD OF TIME WITH JAVIER VASQUEZ IN
12 LA NORIA?

13 A YES.

14 Q AND THE KIDS WERE WITH YOU THE WHOLE TIME?

15 A YES.

16 Q WHEN WAS IT THAT JAVIER VASQUEZ ACTUALLY ARRIVED IN LANORIA
17 TO SEE YOU AFTER YOU HAD ARRIVED?

18 A ON DECEMBER 21ST.

19 Q WERE YOU ON VACATION AT THAT TIME, THEN, WITH JAVIER
20 VASQUEZ?

21 A YES.

22 Q WHERE DID YOU GO WITH JAVIER VASQUEZ AT THAT TIME, ON
23 VACATION?

24 A WE WENT TO THE CITY OF QUERETARO, TO MEXICO CITY, TO PUEBLA
25 STATE, TO THE CITY OF TOLUCA, TO SANTA ANA, AND WE WENT TO

1 VISIT SEVERAL FARMS THAT ARE LOCATED IN THOSE AREAS.

2 Q MRS. FUENTES, DO YOU EVENTUALLY RETURN TO HOUSTON, TEXAS?

3 A YES.

4 Q WHEN WAS THAT, MRS. FUENTES?

5 A JANUARY 2ND 1985.

6 Q DID JAVIER VASQUEZ GO BACK WITH YOU TO HOUSTON?

7 A NO.

8 Q WHERE DID HE GO?

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. LACK OF FOUNDATION, YOUR
10 HONOR.

11 THE COURT: YES. RESTATE YOUR QUESTION.

12 BY MR. MEDRANO:

13 Q DID JAVIER VASQUEZ ADVISE YOU WHERE HE WAS GOING TO GO?

14 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. IT'S HEARSAY,
15 NOT AN ADMISSION.

16 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

17 MR. MEDRANO: 801, YOUR HONOR.

18 THE COURT: PARDON?

19 MR. MEDRANO: RULE 801 ADMISSION AGAINST THE PARTY.

20 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

21 BY MR. MEDRANO:

22 Q JAVIER VASQUEZ DID NOT RETURN TO HOUSTON WITH YOU, THOUGH;
23 IS THAT CORRECT?

24 A CORRECT.

25 Q HOW ARE YOU SURE -- STRIKE THAT.

1 HOW DO YOU KNOW IT WAS ON JANUARY 2, 1985, THAT YOU
2 AND YOUR KIDS RETURN TO HOUSTON, TEXAS?

3 A BECAUSE THEY HAD TO GO BACK TO SCHOOL.

4 Q I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW, MRS. FUENTES, TO
5 NOVEMBER OF 1989, LAST YEAR. IN THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER OF 1989,
6 DID YOU EVER RECEIVE ANY CORRESPONDENCE FROM JAVIER VASQUEZ
7 VELASCO?

8 A YES.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO ANY
10 FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS CONCERNING ANY SUCH CORRESPONDENCE.

11 THE COURT: WELL, LET'S WAIT FOR THE QUESTION.

12 BY MR. MEDRANO:

13 Q WHEN YOU GOT THE LETTER, MRS. FUENTES, DO YOU RECALL WHEN
14 IT WAS THAT YOU RECEIVED THE LETTER, APPROXIMATELY?

15 A NOVEMBER 27TH.

16 Q OF 1989?

17 A YES.

18 Q WHEN YOU GOT THE LETTER, DID YOU RECOGNIZE JAVIER VASQUEZ'S
19 HANDWRITING?

20 A YES.

21 Q WAS THERE A SIGNATURE THAT YOU COULD RECOGNIZE ON THAT SAME
22 LETTER?

23 A YES.

24 Q WAS THAT FROM JAVIER VASQUEZ?

25 A YES.

1 Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT IT IS THAT WAS WRITTEN TO YOU IN THIS
2 LETTER, MRS. FUENTES?

3 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

4 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

5 MR. MEDRANO: BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR, 801 ADMISSION
6 STATEMENTS AGAINST A PARTY IN INTEREST.

7 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

8 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

9 YOUR HONOR, THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT AT THIS TIME.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

11 THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN.

12 CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

13 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME, THE GOVERNMENT
14 WOULD CALL ENRIQUE PLASCENCIA AGUILAR TO THE STAND.

15 (WITNESS SUMMONED TO COURTROOM.)

16 THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

17
18 ENRIQUE PLASCENCIA AGUILAR + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN.

19
20 THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

21 PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE RECORD.

22 THE WITNESS: (TESTIFYING THROUGH INTERPRETER:)

23 ENRIQUE PLASCENCIA AGUILAR.

24 THE CLERK: AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

25 THE WITNESS: P L A S C E N C I A .

1 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, HOW OLD ARE YOU, SIR?

2 A 40 YEARS.

3 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, HAVE YOU EVER SERVED IN THE MEXICAN
4 MILITARY?

5 A YES.

6 Q WHEN WAS THAT, SIR?

7 A IN 1981 -- 1988. EXCUSE ME.

8 Q I'M SORRY. WHAT YEAR WAS THAT, AGAIN?

9 A 1968 TO 1971.

10 Q WHEN YOU WERE IN THE MILITARY, WERE YOU IN A PARTICULAR
11 STATE IN MEXICO?

12 A YES.

13 Q WHERE WAS THAT?

14 A ESPERANZA, SONORA.

15 Q WHILE IN THE MILITARY, WERE YOU TRAINED IN THE USE OF
16 FIREARMS, MR. PLASCENCIA?

17 A YES.

18 Q AFTER 1971, DID YOU EVER SERVE IN ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT
19 AGENCY IN MEXICO?

20 A YES.

21 Q WILL YOU TELL US WITH WHO?

22 A THE STATE PREVENTIVE POLICE.

23 Q WHEN DID YOU SERVE WITH THEM?

24 A 1973 TO 1976.

25 Q DID YOU EVER SERVE WITH THE STATE PREVENTIVE POLICE ON

1 OTHER OCCASIONS, AS WELL?

2 A YES.

3 Q DO YOU RECALL THE YEARS OF THAT?

4 A 1980, 1981.

5 Q WERE THERE OTHER PERIODS OF SERVICE, AS WELL?

6 A YES. 1976, 1977.

7 Q ANY OTHER PERIODS?

8 A 1988, 1989.

9 Q I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO ABOUT 1981, MR. PLASCENCIA. AT
10 THAT TIME, WHO WERE YOU EMPLOYED BY?

11 A FOR THE SWAT TEAM.

12 Q HOW LONG -- IN WHAT CITY WAS THAT?

13 A GUADALAJARA, JALISCO.

14 Q AND FROM 1981 UNTIL WHEN WERE YOU WITH THE SWAT TEAM IN
15 GUADALAJARA?

16 A TO 82.

17 Q IN THAT SAME TIME PERIOD, 81 AND 82, DID YOU ALSO HAVE
18 ANOTHER JOB AT THE SAME TIME?

19 A YES.

20 Q DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF ANTONIO GARATE?

21 A YES.

22 Q IN 1981, DID YOU WORK WITH ANTONIO GARATE?

23 A YES.

24 Q IN WHAT CAPACITY?

25 A AS A DRIVER.

1 Q DID YOU ALSO SERVE AS A BODYGUARD FOR HIM?

2 A YES.

3 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO ABOUT
4 DECEMBER OF 1984, BEFORE CHRISTMAS. AT THAT TIME, WERE YOU IN
5 THE CITY OF GUADALAJARA?

6 A YES.

7 Q IN DECEMBER OF 1984, DID YOU EVER GO TO A RESIDENCE THAT
8 BELONGED TO ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO?

9 A YES.

10 Q WAS THERE A PARTICULAR NAME GIVEN TO THIS RESIDENCE OF
11 FONSECA?

12 A YES.

13 Q WHAT WAS THAT, MR. PLASCENCIA?

14 A LA BAJADITA.

15 Q WHEN YOU GO TO THIS HOUSE, ARE YOU ACCOMPANIED BY ANYBODY?

16 A YES.

17 Q WHO WAS THAT?

18 A ANTONIO GARATE BUSTAMANTE.

19 Q WHEN YOU GET -- STRIKE THAT.

20 WHEN YOU GO TO LA BAJADITA, FONSECA'S RESIDENCE, DO
21 YOU DRIVE THERE?

22 A YES.

23 Q WHO'S DRIVING?

24 A I DO.

25 Q WHAT KIND OF CAR IS IT WHEN YOU GOT THERE -- STRIKE THAT.

1 WHAT KIND OF CAR TO GO IN TO GET TO LA BAJADITA?

2 A A MALIBU, AMERICAN, BROWN IN COLOR.

3 Q WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU ARRIVE IN THE CAR AT LA BAJADITA?

4 A MR. ANTONIO GARATE GOT OUT IN ORDER TO ASK IF ERNESTO
5 FONSECA CARRILLO WAS THERE.

6 Q WHAT'S THE NEXT THING THAT HAPPENS?

7 A HE GOES AND HE ASKS FOR HIM AND HE IS TOLD THAT HE IS NOT
8 IN.

9 Q DOES ANTONIO GARATE ENTER THE RESIDENCE CALLED LA BAJADITA?

10 A YES.

11 Q DO YOU ENTER WITH HIM INITIALLY?

12 A NO.

13 Q WHY IS THIS PLACE CALLED LA BAJADITA?

14 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION, YOUR
15 HONOR.

16 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION.

17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

18 BY MR. MEDRANO:

19 Q AFTER ANTONIO GOT TO ENTER THIS RESIDENCE, WHERE DO YOU
20 STAY?

21 A OUTSIDE IN THE CAR.

22 Q AT ANY POINT, DOES ANYONE ELSE ARRIVE WHILE YOU WERE
23 OUTSIDE IN THE CAR?

24 A YES.

25 Q WHO, MR. PLASCENCIA?

1 A MR. ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO, MR. SAMUEL RAZO.

2 Q LET ME STOP YOU THERE.

3 YOUR HONOR MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT? (PAUSE.)

4 MAY I ASK YOU TO LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 17,

5 WHICH SHOULD BE RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU, MR. PLASCENCIA.

6 AND THE NUMBER SHOULD BE ON THE LITTLE YELLOW TAG.

7 HAVE YOU FOUND EXHIBIT NO. 17?

8 A YES.

9 Q CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT FOR US?

10 A YES.

11 Q WHAT IS IT?

12 A IT'S MR. ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO.

13 Q YOU CAN PUT THAT DOWN, SIR. THANK YOU.

14 WHEN FONSECA CARRILLO ARRIVES, DOES HE GET THERE IN A
15 CAR?

16 A YES.

17 Q WHAT KIND OF CAR WAS IT?

18 A IT'S A MERCURY, GRAY IN COLOR, BULLETPROOF.

19 MR. STOLAR: OBJECT AND MOVE TO STRIKE "BULLETPROOF."

20 NO FOUNDATION.

21 THE COURT: YES. SUSTAINED. STRIKE THAT STATEMENT.

22 BY MR. MEDRANO:

23 Q DOES ERNESTO FONSECA -- STRIKE THAT.

24 WHEN FONSECA CARRILLO ARRIVES, DO YOU SEE HIM WHEN HE
25 ARRIVES?

1 A YES.

2 Q DO YOU TALK TO HIM?

3 A YES.

4 Q WHAT DOES HE SAY TO YOU?

5 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION.

6 MS. KELLY: OBJECTION.

7 MR. STOLAR: HEARSAY.

8 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

9 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

10 Q DOES ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO ENTER THE LA BAJADITA
11 RESIDENCE?

12 A YES.

13 Q DO YOU DO SO, AS WELL?

14 A YES.

15 Q AND WHEN YOU GO IN, I WANT YOU TO DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT
16 EXACTLY IT IS THAT YOU SEE. WHAT ELSE IS INSIDE THE HOUSE WHEN
17 YOU INITIALLY ENTER, BESIDES FONSECA CARRILLO?

18 A THE PEOPLE THAT ACCOMPANIED HIM.

19 Q AND DO YOU KNOW THEIR NAMES?

20 A JUST ONE OF THEM.

21 Q AND WHAT WAS HIS NAME? DO YOU RECALL?

22 A SAMUEL RAZO.

23 Q NOW, WHEN YOU'RE INSIDE THE HOUSE, WHO ELSE, IF ANYBODY, DO
24 YOU SEE THERE?

25 A THERE WERE MANY PEOPLE THERE.

1 Q CAN YOU GIVE ME SOME OF THE NAMES, PLEASE?

2 A YES.

3 Q WHAT WAS THAT?

4 A JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ, JORGE SALAZAR, ANTONIO GARATE
5 BUSTAMANTE, AVELARDO FERNANDEZ, RAFAEL CARO QUINTERO, JAVIER
6 QUESADA, ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO, EZEQUIEL CERVANTES, JAVIER
7 VASQUEZ VELASCO, ELISEO VASQUEZ VELASCO.

8 THESE ARE THE PEOPLE I REMEMBER.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK FOR A
10 LIMITING INSTRUCTION REGARDING ANY TESTIMONY REGARDING MY
11 CLIENT. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE COUNTS IN THE
12 INDICTMENT, 1 AND 2, THIS MEETING THAT IS BEING DISCUSSED AT
13 FONSECA'S HOUSE. IT'S COMPLETELY UNRELATED TO THE --

14 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q YOU MENTIONED JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO.

17 YOUR HONOR, WITH YOUR PERMISSION, MAY I HAVE THE
18 WITNESS STAND UP FOR A MOMENT?

19 THE COURT: YES.

20 THE WITNESS: YES.

21 BY MR. MEDRANO:

22 Q CAN YOU TELL ME IF YOU SEE JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO IN THIS
23 COURTROOM, SIR? AND PLEASE STAND.

24 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS AS WITNESS STANDS AND SURVEYS
25 COURTROOM.)

1 THE WITNESS: (ADDRESSED TO INTERPRETER:) NO, MISS, I
2 DON'T RECOGNIZE HIM.

3 BY MR. MEDRANO:

4 Q DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ?

5 A YES.

6 Q ALSO, MR. PLASCENCIA, WOULD IT ASSIST YOU TO WALK AROUND
7 THE COURTROOM?

8 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR HONOR.

9 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, IT'S A LARGE COURTROOM.

10 THE COURT: YOU OBJECT TO THE QUESTION OR DO YOU
11 OBJECT TO THE WALKING?

12 COURTROOM: (LAUGHTER.)

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NEED I ANSWER?

14 I WOULD ASK THE RECORD TO REFLECT THE LENGTH OF TIME
15 THAT WAS GIVEN TO THE WITNESS.

16 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, IT'S UNCLEAR TO ME WHETHER
17 HE EVEN WEARS GLASSES.

18 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ASK HIM IF THERE'S ANYTHING
19 WRONG WITH HIS VISION.

20 BY MR. MEDRANO:

21 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, IS ANYTHING WRONG WITH YOUR VISION TO SEE
22 FAR DISTANCES?

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I OBJECT TO THAT AS LEADING, YOUR
24 HONOR.

25 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

1 THE WITNESS: NO.

2 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU.

3 Q NOW, YOU DO KNOW SOMEBODY BY THE NAME OF JAVIER BARBA
4 HERNANDEZ?

5 A YES.

6 Q WAS HE AT THE BAJADITA?

7 A YES.

8 Q DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF JORGE BARBA HERNANDEZ?

9 A YES.

10 Q WAS HE THERE?

11 A YES.

12 Q IN ADDITION TO THE PEOPLE THAT YOU HAVE NAMED, MR.
13 PLASCENCIA, WERE THERE ANY ARMED PEOPLE AT THE HOUSE WHEN YOU
14 ENTERED IT?

15 A YES.

16 Q WERE THESE ARMED BODYGUARDS?

17 MR. STOLAR: OBJECT TO THE CHARACTERIZATION.

18 THE COURT: SUSTAINED -- STRIKE THAT. THE WITNESS MAY
19 ANSWER THAT.

20 THE WITNESS: YES, THEY WERE ARMED.

21 Q CAN YOU TELL WHAT KIND OF FIREARMS THAT THEY HAD?

22 A HANDGUNS. A FEW OF THEM HAD LONG WEAPONS.

23 Q ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO, DID HE HAVE A GUN ON HIM?

24 A YES.

25 Q WHAT KIND?

1 A IN A SHOULDER HOLSTER, IT WAS A .357 MAGNUM; AND IN THE
2 WAISTBAND, HE HAD AN AUTOMATIC PISTOL.

3 Q AFTER ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO ENTERS THE HOUSE, DOES HE
4 PROCEED TO A SPECIFIC ROOM AT LA BAJADITA?

5 A YES.

6 Q WHERE?

7 A A LIVING ROOM THAT HAD BEEN TURNED INTO AN OFFICE.

8 Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED, ALSO, THAT JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO WAS
9 AT THE HOUSE WHEN YOU ENTERED.

10 A YES.

11 Q WAS HE ARMED?

12 A YES.

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. THERE'S BEEN NO
14 IDENTIFICATION. LACK OF FOUNDATION.

15 MR. MEDRANO: UNNECESSARY FOR THAT, YOUR HONOR.

16 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION'S OVERRULED.

17 BY MR. MEDRANO:

18 Q DO OTHERS, OTHER THAN FONSECA CARRILLO, PROCEED TO THE
19 LIVING ROOM OF THE HOUSE?

20 A YES.

21 Q DO THE PEOPLE THAT YOU HAVE JUST NAMED EARLIER GO INTO THE
22 LIVING ROOM, AS WELL?

23 A THEY WERE ALREADY IN THE LIVING ROOM.

24 Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED THERE WAS A DESK IN THE LIVING ROOM.

25 A YES.

1 Q DESCRIBE THE DESK.

2 A THE BASE WAS MADE OUT OF WOOD; AND THE TOP PART, THE PART
3 THAT CONSTITUTED THE DESK, WAS MADE OUT OF GLASS.

4 Q WHAT ELSE IS IN THE LIVING ROOM, OTHER THAN THE DESK, IN
5 TERMS OF FURNITURE?

6 A THERE IS A BAR, THERE 'S A TELEVISION SET, QUITE A FEW
7 CHAIRS. THAT 'S WHAT 'S IN THE LIVING ROOM.

8 Q WHAT HAPPENS AT THIS MEETING -- -- STRIKE THAT.

9 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT, IN THE LIVING ROOM?

10 A ERNESTO FONSECA, UPON ARRIVING AT HIS DESK, TAKES A
11 PHOTOGRAPH AND PUSHES IT TO ONE SIDE, WHERE ANTONIO GARATE WAS.

12 Q NOW, AT THIS TIME, ARE YOU IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO ANTONIO
13 GARATE?

14 A YES. I AM TO HIS LEFT SIDE.

15 Q AS FONSECA DOES THIS, DOES HE SAY ANYTHING TO ANTONIO
16 GARATE?

17 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION. HEARSAY.

18 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

19 THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.

20 BY MR. MEDRANO:

21 Q DOES FONSECA SAY ANYTHING TO ANTONIO GARATE AS HE THROWS
22 THE PHOTOGRAPH TO HIM?

23 A YES.

24 Q WHAT DOES HE SAY?

25 A "THE KIND OF FRIENDS YOU HAVE."

1 Q DOES ANTONIO GARATE LOOK AT THE PHOTOGRAPH?

2 A YES.

3 Q DO YOU, AS WELL?

4 A YES.

5 Q TELL US IN DETAIL, WHAT IS DEPICTED IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH?

6 A THERE ARE TWO PERSONS. ONE OF THEM IS ANTONIO PADILLA DE
7 LA MORA.

8 Q IS THERE A SECOND -- IS THERE ANYONE ELSE DEPICTED IN THE
9 PHOTOGRAPH?

10 A YES.

11 MS. KELLY: OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. LACK OF FOUNDATION
12 FOR HIS KNOWLEDGE.

13 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN ASK THE WITNESS IF THE OTHER
14 PERSON IN THE PHOTOGRAPH WAS SOMEONE HE KNEW.

15 MR. MEDRANO: I'M LAYING THAT FOUNDATION RIGHT NOW,
16 YOUR HONOR.

17 THE COURT: WELL, THAT SHOULD BE DONE BEFORE THE OTHER
18 QUESTION.

19 BY MR. MEDRANO:

20 Q IN ADDITION TO ANTONIO PADILLA IN THE PHOTOGRAPH, IS THERE
21 ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL IN THE PHOTOGRAPH?

22 A YES.

23 Q ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY WHO THE SECOND PERSON IN THE
24 PHOTOGRAPH IS, WITH PADILLA?

25 MS. KELLY: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT TO THIS QUESTION.

1 THE COURT: YOU'RE DOING THE SAME THING, COUNSEL.

2 MS. KELLY: BASED ON THE GRAND JURY TESTIMONY --

3 THE COURT: ASK HIM IF THAT IS SOMEONE THAT HE

4 RECOGNIZED --

5 MR. MEDRANO: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.

6 THE COURT: -- BECAUSE HE KNEW HIM.

7 BY MR. MEDRANO:

8 Q THE SECOND PERSON DEPICTED IN THE PHOTOGRAPH, IS IT SOMEONE
9 YOU RECOGNIZED?

10 A AS OF LATE, YES.

11 Q WHO IS THAT?

12 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION.

13 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

14 MR. MEDRANO: I'LL LAY THE FOUNDATION AT THIS TIME,
15 YOUR HONOR.

16 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, THE FIRST TIME YOU SAW THAT SECOND PERSON
17 IN THE PHOTOGRAPH, AT THAT TIME YOU DID NOT KNOW THAT PERSON'S
18 NAME?

19 A NO.

20 Q SUBSEQUENTLY, DID YOU LATER LEARN THE NAME OF THAT PERSON
21 IN THE PHOTOGRAPH?

22 A YES.

23 Q AND WHAT WAS THAT NAME?

24 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION. TIME FRAME. THE TIME WHEN HE
25 SUBSEQUENTLY LEARNED, AT LEAST.

1 THE COURT: IS THAT YOUR OBJECTION?

2 MR. MEZA: NO FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.

3 MS. KELLY: THERE'S NO FOUNDATION THAT HE'D SEEN HIM
4 BEFORE.

5 THE COURT: WELL, NEITHER OF THESE OBJECTIONS ARE -- I
6 BELIEVE THE QUESTION'S OBJECTIONABLE, BUT I HAVEN'T HEARD THE
7 RIGHT GROUNDS.

8 MR. NICOLAYSEN: IT ALSO CALLS FOR SPECULATION, YOUR
9 HONOR. HE HAS ABSOLUTELY NO FIRSTHAND BASIS BY WHICH TO
10 IDENTIFY.

11 MR. STOLAR: THE KNOWLEDGE IS THAT HE ACQUIRED HEARSAY
12 INFORMATION.

13 THE COURT: THAT'S A CORRECT OBJECTION AND IT IS
14 SUSTAINED.

15 COURTROOM: (LAUGHTER.)

16 BY MR. MEDRANO:

17 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, CAN YOU ASK I TO LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S
18 EXHIBIT NO. 5, WHICH IS IN FRONT OF YOU?

19 A (COMPLIES.)

20 Q CAN YOU IDENTIFY WHAT THAT IS?

21 A YES.

22 Q WHAT IS IT?

23 A IT'S A PHOTOGRAPH.

24 Q OF WHO?

25 A ENRIQUE CAMARENA.

1 Q YOU CAN PUT THAT DOWN NOW. THANK YOU.

2 TAKING YOU BACK FOR A MOMENT TO THE PHOTOGRAPH THAT
3 YOU SAW AT LA BAJADITA, CAN YOU IDENTIFY THE NAME OF A SECOND
4 INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS NEXT TO PADILLA?

5 MR. STOLAR: SAME OBJECTION. NOTHING HAS CHANGED.

6 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED -- ARE YOU
7 ASKING THE WITNESS IF THE PHOTOGRAPH HE JUST LOOKED AT IS THE
8 SAME --

9 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR.

10 THE COURT: -- AS THE PERSON HE SAW IN THE OTHER
11 PHOTOGRAPH?

12 MR. MEDRANO: WHETHER THAT PERSON IN EXHIBIT 5 IS THE
13 SAME PERSON HE SAW DEPICTED IN THE PHOTOGRAPH AT LA BAJADITA.
14 THAT'S THE QUESTION.

15 THE COURT: THE WITNESS MAY ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

16 THE WITNESS: YES.

17 BY MR. MEDRANO:

18 Q CAMARENA?

19 A YES.

20 Q WHAT WERE -- STRIKE THAT.

21 IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH YOU SAW AT LA BAJADITA, WHAT ARE
22 PADILLA AND CAMARENA DOING, CAN YOU TELL US?

23 A CAMARENA HAS HIS ARMS SPREAD. HE HOLDS A GLASS IN ONE
24 HAND, WITH A DRINK IN IT. ANTONIO PADILLA HAS ONE ARM AROUND
25 HIS SHOULDER.

1 Q AROUND THE SHOULDER OF WHO?

2 A PADILLA TOWARDS CAMARENA.

3 Q PADILLA HAS HIS ARM AROUND CAMARENA?

4 A YES.

5 Q ARE BOTH MEN STANDING?

6 A YES.

7 Q IS PADILLA -- WELL, STRIKE THAT.

8 WHAT ELSE ABOUT PADILLA CAN YOU TELL US, IN THE
9 PHOTOGRAPH?

10 A HE HAD HIS LEGS CROSSED AND HIS TONGUE WAS OUT, AND HE WAS
11 STICKING IT INTO HIS NOSTRIL.

12 Q WAS HE SMILING WHEN HE WAS DOING THAT?

13 A YES.

14 Q IS CAMARENA SMILING AT THIS TIME?

15 A YES.

16 Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT CAMARENA WAS WEARING IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH
17 THAT YOU SAW AT LA BAJADITA?

18 A YES.

19 Q WHAT?

20 A A NAVY BLUE SUIT, WHITE SHIRT.

21 Q AS ANTONIO GARATE IS EXAMINING THIS PHOTOGRAPH THAT YOU'VE
22 DESCRIBED, DOES FONSECA CARRILLO SAY ANYTHING ELSE TO HIM?

23 A YES.

24 Q WHAT DOES HE SAY?

25 A HE WANTED ANTONIO GARATE BUSTAMANTE TO KILL ANTONIO PADILLA

1 DE LA MORA.

2 Q DOES FONSECA SAY ANYTHING ELSE --

3 A YES.

4 Q -- ABOUT THE SECOND INDIVIDUAL IN THE PHOTOGRAPH?

5 A YES.

6 Q WHAT IS THAT, MR. PLASCENCIA?

7 A THAT HE, OR THAT THEY, WOULD TAKE CARE OF ATTORNEY
8 CAMARENA.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT AND ASK FOR A
10 LIMITING INSTRUCTION.

11 MY CLIENT IS THE ONLY DEFENDANT HERE, SO FAR, STATED
12 TO HAVE BEEN AT THAT MEETING. HE'S NOT CHARGED WITH ANYTHING
13 RELATING TO CAMARENA.

14 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q AFTER FONSECA STATES THIS TO ANTONIO GARATE, DOES ANTONIO
17 GARATE REPLY ANYTHING?

18 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION.

19 THE WITNESS: YES.

20 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION. GARATE IS NOT A DEFENDANT,
21 NOR HAS THERE BEEN ANY TESTIMONY LAYING A FOUNDATION FOR HIM TO
22 BE ANY PART OF ANY ENTERPRISE.

23 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

24 BY MR. MEDRANO:

Q WHAT DOES GARATE SAY?

1 A HE SAYS HE CANNOT DO IT.

2 Q CAN'T DO WHAT?

3 A KILL HIM.

4 Q WHO?

5 A ANTONIO PADILLA DE LA MORA.

6 Q DOES HE SAY WHY?

7 A YES.

8 Q WHAT DOES HE SAY?

9 A BECAUSE ANTONIO PADILLA DE LA MORA IS ONE OF MANUEL SALCIDO
10 USUERTA'S PEOPLE, ALSO CALLED "COCHILOCO."

11 Q IN YOUR PRESENCE AT THIS MEETING, MR. PLASCENCIA, DOES
12 ANYONE ELSE LOOK AT THAT PHOTOGRAPH OF PADILLA AND CAMARENA?

13 A YES.

14 Q WELL, WHAT HAPPENS TO THE PHOTOGRAPH?

15 A IT RETURNS TO THE DESK WHERE ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO IS.

16 Q BEFORE THAT, HOWEVER, DOES ANYONE ELSE LOOK AT THE
17 PHOTOGRAPH?

18 A YES.

19 Q WHO?

20 A THOSE PRESENT IN THE LIVING ROOM.

21 Q TO EVERYONE PRESENT IN THE LIVING ROOM?

22 A YES.

23 Q WHAT EVENTUALLY HAPPENS TO THE PHOTOGRAPH?

24 A ERNESTO FONSECA TAKES ITS AND PUTS IT FACE DOWN.

25 Q FACE DOWN WHERE?

1 A ON THE DESK.

2 Q AT ANY TIME DURING THIS MEETING, MR. PLASCENCIA, DOES
3 ANYONE ELSE ARRIVE AT THE MEETING IN THE LIVING ROOM?

4 A YES.

5 Q WHO?

6 A A COLONEL IN THE ARMY.

7 Q WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THIS MAN OR ARRIVES, THE COLONEL?

8 A HE CHATS FOR A FEW MOMENTS WITH MR. ERNESTO FONSECA
9 CARRILLO.

10 Q WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

11 A ERNESTO FONSECA CALLS JAVIER BARBA AND TELLS HIM TO SEND
12 ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO TO GO WHERE HE IS.

13 Q WHAT HAPPENS NEXT, MR. PLASCENCIA?

14 A ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO RECEIVES ORDERS FROM FONSECA TO GO
15 TO HIS BEDROOM, WHICH IS INSIDE THAT HOUSE.

16 Q TO DO WHAT?

17 A HE IS TO OPEN THE SAFE, WHICH IS IN HIS BEDROOM, AND HE
18 SHOULD TAKE OUT APPROXIMATELY 10 CENTIMETERS OF MONEY, AND HE
19 SHOULD BRING THAT TO HIM.

20 Q DOES ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO THEN GO TO THAT BEDROOM?

21 A YES.

22 Q DOES HE GO ALONE?

23 A HE INDICATED TO ME LATER THAT I SHOULD FOLLOW HIM, THAT I
24 SHOULD ACCOMPANY HIM.

25 Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT?

1 A ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO DID.

2 Q DO YOU ACCOMPANY ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO TO THE BEDROOM?

3 A YES.

4 Q WHAT HAPPENS THERE?

5 A WE ARRIVED. HE OPENED A SAFE THAT WAS THERE. IT WAS OLIVE
6 GREEN IN COLOR.

7 Q WHAT IS THE SIZE OR DIMENSION OF THIS SAFE?

8 A APPROXIMATELY 1.20 BY 60.

9 Q IS THAT 1.20 METERS' HEIGHT?

10 A YES.

11 Q WHAT IS THE WIDTH ON THIS SAFE BOX?

12 A ABOUT 60 CENTIMETERS.

13 Q WHO OPENS THE SAFE?

14 A ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO DOES.

15 Q DOES HE HAVE TO DO ANYTHING WITH THE COMBINATION, OR IS IT
16 ALREADY OPEN?

17 A IT IS ALREADY OPEN.

18 Q WHAT DOES HE DO AFTER HE OPENS THE SAFE?

19 A HE PULLS AT THE LEVER AND HE OPENS THE DOOR TO THE SAFE.

20 Q WHAT DOES HE DO NEXT?

21 A HE PUTS HIS HAND IN AND TAKES OUT APPROXIMATELY 17
22 CENTIMETERS OF MONEY.

23 Q WHAT ELSE IS IN THE SAFE WHEN HE DOES THAT?

24 A MONEY.

25 Q AMERICAN OR MEXICAN?

1 A AMERICAN.

2 Q IS THE SAFE FULL OF UNITED STATES CURRENCY?

3 MR. STOLAR: FOUNDATION, PLEASE? OBJECT.

4 THE COURT: ASK THE WITNESS IF HE OBSERVED WHAT WAS IN
5 THE SAFE.

6 BY MR. MEDRANO:

7 Q MR. PLASCENCIA, WERE YOU ABLE TO OBSERVE THE CONTENTS OF
8 THE SAFE?

9 A YES.

10 Q AND FROM WHAT YOU WERE ABLE TO OBSERVE, WAS THE SAFE FULL?

11 A YES.

12 Q AND FROM WHAT YOU WERE ABLE TO OBSERVE, WHAT KIND OF MONEY
13 WAS IT: AMERICAN OR MEXICAN?

14 A AMERICAN.

15 Q AND FROM WHAT YOU WERE ABLE TO SEE, CAN YOU TELL US THE
16 DENOMINATIONS OF THE BILLS IN THE SAFE?

17 A I SAW 50 AND \$100.00 BILLS.

18 Q AFTER ANTONIO VASQUEZ PULLS OUT THE HANDFUL OF MONEY, DOES
19 ANYONE CLOSE THE DOOR TO THE SAFE?

20 A ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO DOES.

21 Q NOW, AT THIS ENTIRE TIME, ARE YOU WITH ANTONIO VASQUEZ
22 VELASCO?

23 A YES.

24 Q WHAT DO YOU DO NEXT?

25 A ANTONIO TELLS ME THAT WE HAVE TO TAKE A BOTTLE OF COGNAC

1 WITH US THAT WAS DOWN THERE.

2 Q AND DO YOU DO SO?

3 A YES.

4 Q WHO GRABS IT?

5 A I DO.

6 Q AFTER DOING THAT, WHAT'S THE NEXT THING THE TWO OF YOU DO?

7 A HE CLOSES THE DOOR THE WAY IT WAS, THE DOOR TO THE SAFE.

8 WE LEAVE THE BEDROOM WHERE THAT SAME SAFE WAS AND WE WALK

9 TOWARD THE LIVING ROOM WHERE MR. FONSECA CARRILLO WAS.

10 Q AND WHAT HAPPENS IN THE LIVING ROOM?

11 A FONSECA CARRILLO SPEAKS WITH THE COLONEL AND HE ASKS HIM

12 WHETHER THIS MONEY IS ENOUGH OR WHETHER HE NEEDS MORE.

13 Q WHAT DOES THE COLONEL REPLY?

14 A THAT HE THINKS THAT THAT AMOUNT IS SUFFICIENT.

15 Q DOES THE MILITARY COLONEL DO ANYTHING WITH THE MONEY HE

16 RECEIVED?

17 A YES. HE DIVIDES IT IN TWO.

18 Q AND THEN WHAT?

19 A AND HE PUTS ONE HALF INTO EACH OF THE JACKET'S -- OF HIS

20 JACKET POCKETS.

21 Q AFTER THAT DOES THE COLONEL -- STRIKE THAT.

22 AFTER THAT DOES THE MILITARY COLONEL LEAVE LA

23 BAJADITA?

24 A YES.

25 Q SHORTLY AFTER THAT, DO YOU END UP LEAVING THE RESIDENCE AT

1 LA BAJADITA?

2 A YES.

3 Q DO YOU LEAVE ALONE?

4 A NO. I GO WITH MR. ANTONIO GARATE.

5 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, I CAN CONTINUE, OR WOULD
6 THIS BE A GOOD TIME TO BREAK? WHATEVER YOU'D LIKE.

7 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

8 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU.

9 Q LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW, MR. PLASCENCIA, STILL TO
10 THE YEAR 1984.

11 DO YOU EVER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO THE AIRPORT
12 AT GUADALAJARA IN THE LATTER PART OF 1984?

13 A YES.

14 Q FOR WHAT REASON?

15 A TO A FUNERAL.

16 Q WELL, WAS THE ACTUAL FUNERAL HELD AT THE GUADALAJARA
17 AIRPORT?

18 A IT WAS IN GUADALAJARA, AND IT WAS BEING TRANSFERRED TO THE
19 AIRPORT.

20 Q WHAT WAS BEING TRANSMITTED TO THE AIRPORT?

21 A EXCUSE ME?

22 Q WHAT WAS BEING TAKEN TO THE AIRPORT AT GUADALAJARA?

23 A THE BODY OF A PERSON.

24 Q OF WHOM?

25 A A MR. ELENES.

1 Q DO YOU KNOW MR. ELENES'S FIRST NAME?

2 A HECTOR.

3 Q WHEN THIS -- -- STRIKE THAT.

4 YOU GO TO THE GUADALAJARA AIRPORT THEN TO TRANSPORT
5 THE BODY?

6 A YES.

7 Q ARE YOU ACCOMPANIED BY ANYBODY?

8 A YES.

9 Q WHO?

10 A ANTONIO GARATE BUSTAMANTE.

11 Q WHY IS THE BODY BEING TAKEN TO THE AIRPORT AT GUADALAJARA?

12 A BECAUSE THE BODY WAS GOING TO BE BURIED IN CULIACAN,
13 SINALOA.

14 Q THE BODY, WAS IT GOING TO BE FLOWN FROM GUADALARA TO
15 SINALOA, CULIACAN?

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I OBJECT. THIS WHOLE LINE IS
17 IRRELEVANT.

18 MR. MEDRANO: THE RELEVANCE WILL BE ESTABLISHED
19 MOMENTARILY.

20 THE COURT: RECEIVED, SUBJECT TO MOTION.

21 MS. KELLY: LACK OF FOUNDATION AS TO WHAT WAS GOING TO
22 HAPPEN.

23 THE COURT: THAT'S TRUE. SUSTAINED.

24 BY MR. MEDRANO:

25 Q HOW DO YOU GET TO THE AIRPORT AT GUADALAJARA?

1 A IN A GRAY MERCURY.

2 Q IS ANYBODY WITH YOU IN THE CAR?

3 A YES.

4 Q WHO?

5 A ANTONIO GARATE BUSTAMANTE, ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO, SAMUEL
6 RAZO AND I.

7 Q WHO DROVE?

8 A SAMUEL RAZO.

9 Q WHEN YOU ARRIVE AT THE GUADALAJARA AIRPORT, DO YOU GO TO A
10 PARTICULAR SECTION OR AREA OF THE AIRPORT?

11 A TO THE HANGARS OF THE CIVIL AIRPORT.

12 Q WHEN YOU ARRIVE AT THOSE HANGARS, ARE THERE OTHER PEOPLE
13 ALREADY THERE?

14 A YES.

15 Q WHEN YOU ARRIVE, ARE THERE OTHER VEHICLES ALREADY THERE, AS
16 WELL?

17 A YES.

18 Q APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY OTHER CARS ARE ALREADY THERE BY THE
19 TIME YOU ARRIVE?

20 A ABOUT 25 TO 30 VEHICLES.

21 Q AND APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE ALREADY THERE WHEN
22 YOUR GROUP ARRIVES?

23 A APPROXIMATELY 200 PERSONS.

24 THE COURT: WE'LL TAKE OUR AFTERNOON RECESS AT THIS
25 TIME.

1 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, I HAVE REPEATEDLY
2 CAUTIONED YOU DURING THE TRIAL NOT TO DISCUSS THIS CASE WITH
3 EACH OTHER OR WITH ANYONE ELSE, NOT TO FORM OR EXPRESS ANY
4 OPINION OR CONCLUSION ABOUT THIS CASE, AND TO AVOID ANY
5 EXPOSURE TO ANY PUBLICITY ABOUT THIS CASE.

6 I ALSO TOLD YOU SOME TIME AGO THAT YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY
7 FORBIDDEN TO CONVERSE WITH ANY OF THE LAWYERS IN THIS CASE OR
8 ANY OF THE WITNESSES OR ANYONE ELSE CONNECTED WITH THIS CASE.

9 NO MATTER HOW INNOCENT IT MIGHT BE, YOU ARE NOT
10 PERMITTED TO SPEAK TO ANY LAWYER THAT IS INVOLVED IN THE CASE,
11 BECAUSE IT LOOKS BAD. AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IS
12 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO AVOID, AS WELL AS THE ACTUAL IMPROPRIETY.

13 IF YOU SEE ONE OF THE LAWYERS IN THE HALLS OF THIS
14 BUILDING, YOU SHOULD JUST IGNORE THAT PERSON. YOU DON'T EVEN
15 SAY "GOOD MORNING."

16 THE ONLY EXCEPTION MIGHT BE IF YOU SEE ONE OF THESE
17 LAWYERS ABOUT TO BE RUN OVER BY A TRUCK, YOU MIGHT SAY "WATCH
18 OUT."

19 COURTROOM: (LAUGHTER.)

20 THE COURT: AND THAT'S ALL. AND IF YOU REMEMBER, I
21 TOLD YOU THAT IF YOU'RE NORMALLY A FRIENDLY AND GREGARIOUS
22 PERSON, THAT YOU SHOULD CURB THAT CHARACTERISTIC DURING THIS
23 TRIAL, BECAUSE YOU NEVER KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING TO, AND IT'S
24 BEST NOT TO TALK TO ANYBODY AROUND THIS BUILDING. IT MIGHT BE
25 A WITNESS OR SOMEONE CONNECTED WITH ONE OF THE PEOPLE IN THE

1 CASE, SO IT CREATES PROBLEMS WHEN THAT HAPPENS.

2 SO I'M SURE YOU'LL REMEMBER THAT.

3 YOU MAY BE EXCUSED NOW.

4 MR. STOLAR: THANK YOU, JUDGE.

5 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE.

6 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE SPEAK TO YOU BRIEFLY?

7 THE COURT: YES.

8 (JURY ABSENT:)

9 THE COURT: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

10 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU. THERE ARE TWO
11 THINGS I WANTED TO BRING TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION.

12 MR. STOLAR: CAN WE EXCUSE THE WITNESS?

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: MAY WE EXCUSE THE WITNESS, YOUR
14 HONOR? I HAVE A MATTER, AS WELL, THAT I'D LIKE TO TAKE UP
15 OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE --

16 THE COURT: THE WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED.

17 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE BEGIN, I WOULD
18 RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THE GOVERNMENT NOT SPEAK TO THE WITNESS
19 ABOUT ANY MATTER CONCERNING DIRECT EXAMINATION DURING THE
20 RECESS. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT IMPROPER COACHING.

21 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THAT IS AN INSULT, YOUR
22 HONOR.

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO, I'M NOT TRYING TO INSULT THE
24 GOVERNMENT, BUT BECAUSE OF THE PROBLEM THAT OCCURRED DURING
25 DIRECT, IT'S ONLY TOO LIKELY THAT THE AGENTS MIGHT BE TEMPTED

1 TO TRY AND INSTRUCT THE WITNESS HOW TO IDENTIFY THE DEFENDANT,
2 AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S NO POSSIBILITY OF THAT
3 HAPPENING.

4 THE COURT: I'M NOT GOING TO FORBID THE GOVERNMENT TO
5 TALK TO THEIR OWN WITNESS.

6 YOU WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CROSS-EXAMINE THIS
7 WITNESS AND INQUIRE ABOUT THAT.

8 I'M SURE THAT THE GOVERNMENT KNOWS WHAT THEIR
9 RESPONSIBILITIES ARE.

10 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR, HONOR THE TWO THINGS I WANT TO --
11 THANK YOU -- BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION ARE THE FOLLOWING:

12 YOU MAY RECALL THE EARLIER WITNESS, ESTELLA FUENTES,
13 THE LEGALLY MARRIED WIFE OF DEFENDANT VASQUEZ VELASCO, BUT
14 THEY'VE BEEN BE SEPARATED FOR 10 YEARS.

15 I'D LIKE TO MAKE A PROFFER OF WHAT TESTIMONY WE WERE
16 GOING TO GET INTO, TO SEE IF YOU'LL BE AMENABLE TO ALLOWING US
17 TO BRING HER BACK, YOUR HONOR.

18 THE COURT: YES.

19 MR. MEDRANO: JUST VERY BRIEFLY, SHE WOULD TESTIFY AS
20 FOLLOWS: THAT IN ABOUT NOVEMBER 27 OF LAST YEAR, 1989, SHE
21 RECEIVED A LETTER FROM DEFENDANT VASQUEZ VELASCO. SHE
22 RECOGNIZES THE HANDWRITING AND THE SIGNATURE IN THAT LETTER.

23 THE LETTER ESSENTIALLY SAYS THAT, "I'M SORRY I HAVEN'T
24 WRITTEN TO YOU FOR SO LONG, BUT I'VE HAD PROBLEMS. A LOT HAS
25 HAPPENED IN THE INTERIM, BUT I NEED YOUR HELP."

1 THE COURT: LET'S GET TO THE POINT.

2 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR. I'LL TELL YOU
3 SPECIFICALLY.

4 IN THE LETTER, YOUR HONOR, HE TELLS THE WIFE, MRS.
5 FUENTES, THAT -- TO REMIND, YOU THAT -- THAT IS, VASQUEZ IS
6 REMINDING THE WIFE -- THAT THE WIFE AND THE KIDS AND VASQUEZ
7 WERE TOGETHER ON A TRIP IN MEXICO. AND HE GIVES THE PERTINENT
8 DATES OF THE LA LANGOSTA MURDERS.

9 THE LETTER SAYS: I JUST WANTED TO REMIND YOU THAT WE
10 WERE TOGETHER ON JANUARY 23, 1985, TO FEBRUARY 7TH 1985.

11 WELL, WE OFFER THAT, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE THE WITNESS
12 THEN, UPON FURTHER DIRECT, WOULD SAY: THAT'S WRONG. AT THAT
13 TIME, WE WEREN'T ON VACATION.

14 YOU MAY RECALL SHE TESTIFIED THAT THEY DID GO ON
15 VACATION, BUT THAT WAS AT CHRISTMASTIME, 1984, AND THAT SHE HAD
16 THE KIDS BACK IN SCHOOL BY JANUARY 2.

17 THE COURT: SO YOU'RE OFFERING THIS AS EVIDENCE OF AN
18 ATTEMPT TO SET UP AN ALIBI?

19 MR. MEDRANO: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AND THAT'S
20 WHY WE WERE OFFERING THAT.

21 AND I ANTICIPATE NOW THAT MR. NICOLAYSEN WILL RAISE A
22 PANOPLY OF PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS --

23 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS YOUR ANSWER TO THE
24 PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION?

25 MR. MEDRANO: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE WITNESS HAS JUST

1 INDICATED THAT AS OF NOW, THEY 'VE BEEN SEPARATED FOR 10 YEARS,
2 YOUR HONOR.

3 THE COURT: WELL THEY WEREN'T SEPARATED WHEN THEY WERE
4 IN MEXICO TOGETHER.

5 MR. MEDRANO: NO, BUT IF SHE 'D BEEN PERMITTED, YOUR
6 HONOR, SHE WOULD HAVE SAID THAT THAT WAS AN ATTEMPT AT
7 RECONCILIATION, THAT ONE TIME THEY SPENT TOGETHER; BUT AFTER
8 THAT, THEY HAVEN'T SPENT ANY TIME TOGETHER AND THEY BASICALLY
9 HAVE BEEN APART FOR TEN YEARS, SEPARATED, LIVING IN SEPARATE
10 COUNTRIES. HE'S BEEN IN MEXICO AND SHE'S --

11 THE COURT: SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT BECAUSE THERE WAS
12 WHAT IS CALLED A PERMANENT SEPARATION, THAT THE PRIVILEGE
13 SHOULD NOT APPLY.

14 MR. MEDRANO: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AND GOING
15 FURTHER, BEYOND THAT, THERE'S STILL A SECOND GROUND RELATING TO
16 PRIVILEGED INFORMATION OF THAT NATURE. CLEARLY THERE'S AN
17 EXCEPTION DEALING WITH CRIMINAL CONDUCT, AND IF THE EFFORT HERE
18 IN THIS LETTER BY THE DEFENDANT IS TO SET IN MOTION A POSSIBLE
19 ALIBI DEFENSE, WELL, THAT'S WRONG; THAT'S CRIMINAL; AND
20 ARGUABLY, THAT ALSO WOULD TAKE IT OUTSIDE THE PARAMETERS OF ANY
21 PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION, YOUR HONOR.

22 SO THAT'S OUR POSITION. AND FOR THAT REASON, I WANTED
23 TO GIVE YOU WHAT THE PROFFER WOULD BE FOR THIS WITNESS AND
24 STRENUOUSLY SUGGEST THAT IT IS ADMISSIBLE. WE GET BEYOND THE
25 PRIVILEGE AND --

1 THE COURT: BUT -- THIS GROUND WAS NOT RAISED, BUT
2 WHEN THE OBJECTION WAS MADE, I HAD IN MIND THE BEST EVIDENCE
3 RULE, AS WELL, THAT YOU WERE INTRODUCING THE CONTENTS OF A
4 WRITING AS TO AN ORAL STATEMENT.

5 MR. MEDRANO: YES, AND I WAS GOING TO ADDRESS THAT AS
6 WELL, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT UP.

7 YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, BUT THE WITNESS WILL CONTINUE
8 TO TESTIFY THAT SHE NO LONGER HAS THE LETTER. SHE MADE EFFORTS
9 TO LOCATE IT, BUT EVIDENTLY SHE HAD THROWN IT OUT. SO SHE NO
10 LONGER HAS THE LETTER.

11 THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE SAYS, YOUR HONOR, THAT CLEARLY,
12 IF THE LETTER WERE HERE, THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD USE. BUT SHE
13 DOESN'T HAVE THE LETTER ANY MORE, SO SHE CAN STILL TESTIFY TO
14 ITS CONTENTS.

15 INDEED, YOUR HONOR, IT'S NO DIFFERENT THAN AN ORAL
16 TELEPHONIC COMMUNICATION. JUST BECAUSE THE CONVERASTION WASN'T
17 TAPED, WELL, THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S BARRED, THE ORAL TESTIMONY
18 BY A WITNESS, AS TO WHAT WAS THE CONTENTS OF THE CONVERSATION,
19 WHETHER THAT CONVERSATION WAS ON PAPER OR BY TELEPHONE.

20 SO SHE SHOULD STILL BE PERMITTED TO TESTIFY, YOUR
21 HONOR, BECAUSE THERE'S BEEN NO WRONGDOING BY THE GOVERNMENT.
22 WE DID NOT LOSE THE LETTER, AND SHE INADVERTENTLY THREW IT
23 AWAY.

24 THE COURT: WELL, IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCE, WHO HAS THE
25 PRIVILEGE? IS IT THE WITNESS OR IS IT THE DEFENDANT HUSBAND.

1 MR. MEDRANO: MY UNDERSTANDING IS AS FOLLOWS; THAT IN
2 THIS CIRCUIT, THE WITNESS -- THE PERSON WHO CAN INVOKE THE
3 PRIVILEGE, AFTER LIKE A 1980 SUPREME COURT CASE, IS THE
4 TESTIFYING SPOUSE. THE ACCUSED OR DEFINING OF -- OR A
5 DEFENDANT SPOUSE CANNOT PREVENT A SPOUSE FROM TESTIFYING, YOUR
6 HONOR. THAT'S MODERN LAW.

7 THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT. THAT IS CORRECT, THAT
8 THE DEFENDANT CANNOT PREVENT HIS WIFE FROM TESTIFYING. BUT
9 THERE'S A SECOND PRIVILEGE, AND THAT IS PRIVILEGE TO PARTAKE
10 IN --

11 MR. MEDRANO: MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS.

12 THE COURT: -- MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS, WHICH IS WHAT
13 WE HAVE HERE.

14 MR. MEDRANO: AND I JUST GAVE AT YOU TWO GROUNDS TO
15 CIRCUMVENT THAT, YOUR HONOR: ONE, THEY WERE SEPARATED, THOUGH
16 THERE WAS NO FORMAL --

17 THE COURT: WELL YOU DIDN'T PRESENT MUCH EVIDENCE OF
18 THAT, WHICH SHOULD PRECEDE ANY ATTEMPT TO ELICIT ANY
19 COMMUNICATION MADE DURING A MARRIAGE, BECAUSE IF YOU INTEND TO
20 QUALIFY THAT AS AN EXEMPTION BASED ON A PERMANENT SEPARATION,
21 WHICH THE CASES HAVE HELD, THAT THERE IS A PERMANENT
22 SEPARATION, THIS OBJECTION -- PRIVILEGE DOES NOT APPLY, SINCE
23 THE PURPOSE OF THE PRIVILEGE IS TO PROTECT MATRIMONIAL HARMONY.
24 AND IF PEOPLE ARE SEPARATED ON A PERMANENT BASIS, THAT REASON
25 CEASES TO EXIST.

1 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AND WITH
2 FURTHER FOUNDATION, I CAN ESTABLISH THAT, SO I WILL BE MORE
3 THAN HAPPY TO DO THAT WITH THE WITNESS; AND ULTIMATELY, I
4 BELIEVE WE CAN ESTABLISH THE MERITS OF THE COMMUNICATION.

5 THE COURT: LET'S HEAR --

6 MR. STOLAR: EXCUSE ME. MAY MR. MATTA BE EXCUSED
7 DURING THIS LEGAL ARGUMENT TO MAKE A TRIP TO THE MEN'S ROOM?

8 THE COURT: YES.

9 MR. STOLAR: THANK YOU. I'LL STICK AROUND TO COVER.

10 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THERE IS EVEN MORE SUPPORT FOR THE
11 PERSPECTIVE IN THE OBJECTION TO THE CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION,
12 AND THAT IS THAT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS SEEKING TO DO WITH THIS
13 WITNESS IS INTRODUCE IMPROPER REBUTTAL EVIDENCE.

14 THE GOVERNMENT'S PREMISE IS THAT THEY'RE TRYING TO
15 NEGATE THE POSSIBILITY OF AN ALIBI DEFENSE. WELL, THIS ISN'T
16 AN ALIBI CASE.

17 AND UNDER RULE 12, I HAVEN'T SERVED THE GOVERNMENT
18 WITH A NOTICE OF ALIBI BECAUSE IT HASN'T BEEN MY INTENTION DO
19 PRESENT AN ALIBI DEFENSE.

20 NOW, FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT, SURE --

21 THE COURT: NO. BUT WOULDN'T THIS TYPE OF A STATEMENT
22 BE RECEIVABLE ON THE THEORY THAT IT ALSO CONSTITUTES NOT AN
23 ALIBI, BUT A FALSE EXCULPATORY STATEMENT?

24 MR. NICOLAYSEN: NO. I DON'T THINK SO AT ALL. I
25 WOULD LIKE AT LEAST THE OPPORTUNITY TO BRIEF THAT ISSUE SO THAT

1 I DON'T JUST HAVE TO SPEAK ON THAT AD HOC.

2 BUT A FALSE EXCULPATORY STATEMENT IS TYPICALLY MADE
3 WHERE THE PERSON KNOWS THE STATEMENT TO BE INCORRECT, BUT
4 ATTEMPTS TO MAKE IT ANYWAY IN AN EFFORT TO AVOID BEING HELD
5 RESPONSIBLE.

6 WHEN YOU ARE STOPPED BY A POLICE OFFICER AND ASKED
7 QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT'S IN A PACKAGE AND YOU KNOW THE PACKAGE
8 CONTAINS NARCOTICS, AND YOU SAY, "NO, THERE'S NOTHING BUT
9 HOUSEHOLD ITEMS IN THAT PACKAGE," THAT'S A FALSE EXCULPATORY
10 STATEMENT, BECAUSE YOU KNOW THAT'S WRONG AND YOU'RE TRYING TO
11 AVOID LAW ENFORCEMENT INQUIRY.

12 THIS IS A VERY DIFFERENT TYPE OF SITUATION.

13 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS YOUR ANSWER TO THE ISSUE
14 THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE IN A STATE OF PERMANENT SEPARATION AND
15 THEREFORE THE PRIVILEGE SHOULD NOT APPLY?

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, ONE OF THE REASONS I
17 WANTED TO RAISE THE TIMING OBJECTION IS TO BE BETTER PREPARED
18 TO SPEAK TO THE CURRENT STATE OF 9TH CIRCUIT LAW ON THAT
19 PRIVILEGE.

20 I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT CURRENT STATE OF THE LAW --

21 THE COURT: WELL --

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: BUT I THINK THAT THE REBUTTAL
23 EVIDENCE POINT IS COMPELLING ENOUGH TO WARRANT THE EXCLUSIONS
24 OF THAT EVIDENCE IN ITS ENTIRETY.

25 THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO DO BOTH ITS MAIN CASE AND

1 ITS REBUTTAL CASE AT THIS POINT IN TIME, AND THAT'S WRONG.

2 IF I CHOOSE THE PUT ON AN ALIBI DEFENSE, THEN THERE
3 MAY BE SOME MERIT IN SOMETHING OF THIS KIND. BUT THERE IS NO
4 ALIBI THEORY THAT'S BEING PRESENTED, AND THIS IS CLEARLY
5 PREMATURE.

6 TO THE EXTENT THAT YOUR HONOR IS EAGER TO KNOW THE
7 EXACT STATE OF THE LAW ON THE CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
8 QUESTION, I WILL BRIEF THAT, YOUR HONOR.

9 THE COURT: WELL, I INTEND TO HAVE YOU BOTH BRIEF IT,
10 BECAUSE THIS IS THE FIRST TIME IT'S BEEN RAISED TO ME, WAS WHEN
11 YOU APPROACHED THE BENCH HERE.

12 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, MAY I REPLY, JUST BRIEFLY?
13 FIRST OF ALL, LET ME JUST STATE THAT IT'S NOT A REBUTTAL ISSUE,
14 BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT --

15 THE COURT: WELL, I CAN'T FOLLOW THAT ARGUMENT ABOUT
16 REBUTTAL, SO --

17 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, IT'S AN ALIBI. COUNSEL
18 SAID IT GOES TO THE ISSUE OF ALIBI.

19 MR. MEDRANO: WELL, YOUR HONOR, LET ME SPEAK MORE
20 ACCURATELY: IT GOES TO CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT.

21 WE DON'T HAVE TO ANTICIPATE DEFENSE HERE. IT'S
22 SOMETHING AFFIRMATIVE THAT WE CAN PRESENT IN OUR CASE IN CHIEF.
23 IT'S NO DIFFERENT, YOUR HONOR, JUST TO GIVE YOU AN ANALYSIS --

24 THE COURT: WELL, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BRIEF THIS,
25 SO THERE'S NO POINT IN ARGUING IT FURTHER.

1 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I MAKE ONE FINAL STATEMENT, YOUR
2 HONOR, ON THE ISSUE OF BRIEFING, BECAUSE I --

3 THE COURT: YOUR BRIEFING SHOULD CONTAIN AN OFFER OF
4 PROOF CONCERNING NOT ONLY WHAT THE WITNESS WILL TESTIFY TO, BUT
5 CONCERNING ANY BASIS FOR EXEMPTING IT FROM THE PRIVILEGE, OR
6 WHY THIS IS NOT PRIVILEGED.

7 IS THERE ANY ISSUE OF THERE EVERY HAVING BEEN A WAIVER
8 OF THE PRIVILEGE?

9 MR. MEDRANO: A WAIVER BY WHOM, YOUR HONOR?

10 THE COURT: BY DISCLOSURE TO A THIRD PERSON OR ANY
11 OTHER --

12 MR. MEDRANO: OTHER THAN HER CONVERSATIONS IN
13 DEBRIEFINGS BY THE GOVERNMENT AND A CASE AGENT, NO; NOT TO MY
14 KNOWLEDGE, YOUR HONOR. BUT I CAN LOOK INTO THIS FURTHER.

15 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT ABOUT -- IS THERE A QUESTION
16 HERE ABOUT WHETHER IT WAS INTENDED TO BE CONFIDENTIAL? ISN'T
17 THAT A REQUIREMENT OF MARITAL PRIVILEGE, THAT IT BE INTENDED TO
18 BE CONFIDENTIAL?

19 IF THIS IS A HUSBAND WRITING TO HIS WIFE TO ESTABLISH
20 THE EXISTENCE OF DATES, IS IT IMPLICITLY INTENDED FOR FURTHER
21 PUBLICATION TO A THIRD PERSON?

22 MR. STOLAR: I WILL LOOK INTO THAT ISSUE, YOUR HONOR.

23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

24 MR. MEDRANO: I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT OFFHAND.

25 THE COURT: WELL, THOSE ARE SOME OF THE QUESTIONS --

1 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD RESPECTFULLY
2 ASK --

3 THE COURT: -- THAT YOU CAN BOUNCE AROUND.

4 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW
5 EXACTLY WHAT THE GOVERNMENT'S FOOTING IS IN OFFERING THIS
6 EVIDENCE. COUNSEL HAS GONE FROM ONE THEORY TO ANOTHER.

7 THE COURT: WELL, HE HAS SAID THAT HIS WITNESS WILL
8 SAY YOUR CLIENT STATED IN HIS LETTER THAT -- REMINDING HIS WIFE
9 THAT THEY WERE TOGETHER IN MEXICO ON CERTAIN DATES, WHEN IN
10 FACT THEY WERE NOT. THIS, HE SAYS, IS EVIDENCE OF
11 CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT OR EVIDENCE ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH A
12 FALSE ALIBI.

13 MR. NICOLAYSEN: WELL, AGAIN, AS TO FALSE ALIBI, IT'S
14 PREMATURE.

15 THE COURT: I WISH YOU PEOPLE WOULD STOP ARGUING. I'M
16 NOT GOING TO DECIDE IT NOW. I'VE TOLD YOU TO BRIEF IT.

17 MR. NICOLAYSEN: ALL RIGHT.

18 THE COURT: LET'S TAKE CARE OF IT THEN.

19 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO
20 RENEW MY OBJECTION TO ANY REFERENCE ON DIRECT EXAMINATION TO
21 JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO BY THIS WITNESS. THERE'S BEEN NO
22 IDENTIFICATION, AND THEREFORE ANY STATEMENT TO THE EFFECT OF MY
23 CLIENT BEING AT A MEETING LACKS FOUNDATION AND WOULD HAVE TO BE
24 BASED ON HEARSAY.

25 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I ADDRESS THAT RIGHT NOW, YOUR

1 HONOR?

2 THE COURT: WELL, YES.

3 MR. MEDRANO: CLEARLY, WHEN WE WERE ON THE MEETING IN
4 LA BAJADITA, WE ASKED THE WITNESS TO IDENTIFY JAVIER VASQUEZ IN
5 COURT. NEEDLESS TO SAY, HE WAS UNABLE TO.

6 BUT I WOULD LIKE TO TELL YOU, HOWEVER, YOUR HONOR, IN
7 SEVERAL MEETINGS WITH GOVERNMENT COUNSEL PRIOR TO HIS TESTIMONY
8 TODAY, HE HAS PICKED OUT OF A PHOTOSPREAD A PHOTOGRAPH OF
9 JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO.

10 NOW, TO ADVISE YOU AND DEFENSE COUNSEL WHAT MY NEXT
11 STEP OR MY NEXT CONTEMPLATED STEP IS, YOUR HONOR, TO DEAL WITH
12 THIS ISSUE OF MR. NICOLAYSEN, IT'S TO MARK THIS PHOTOCOPY OF
13 THE PHOTOGRAPH, WHICH IS A VERY GOOD COPY, AND MARK IT AS AN
14 EXHIBIT AND GIVE IT TO WITNESS, BECAUSE THEN I ANTICIPATE --

15 THE COURT: THIS IS A PHOTOSPREAD THE WITNESS HAS BEEN
16 PREVIOUSLY SHOWN?

17 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S CORRECT.

18 MR. STOLAR: I BELIEVE IT'S UNDULY SUGGESTIVE, YOUR
19 HONOR. THE GOVERNMENT HAS HAD AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE IF THE
20 WITNESS CAN MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION IN COURT.

21 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE.
22 THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT PRECLUDED FROM TRYING TO GO FORWARD WITH
23 ITS BEST EVIDENCE.

24 MR. NICOLAYSEN IS TOTALLY ADDRESSING CLOSING ARGUMENT
25 AND --

1 THE COURT: I THINK IF THIS WITNESS HAS PREVIOUSLY
2 IDENTIFIED A PHOTOGRAPH FROM A PHOTOSPREAD, THAT THAT EVIDENCE
3 MAY BE PERMITTED.

4 MR. MEDRANO: AND THAT IS OUR INTENT, YOUR HONOR. SO
5 I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW SO THAT WE CAN JUST MOVE SMOOTHLY
6 WITH THE REST OF THE AFTERNOON.

7 MR. NICOLAYSEN: AND I'D LIKE TO GET A COPY OF THAT
8 PHOTOSPREAD. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME --

9 THE COURT: WELL, YOU SHOULD HAVE A COPY OF THAT
10 PHOTOSPREAD.

11 MR. MEDRANO: THAT CAN BE DONE RIGHT NOW, YOUR HONOR.

12 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE ISSUE SHOULD
13 REMAIN WHERE IT IS.

14 THE WITNESS HAS BEEN GIVEN AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE
15 AN IDENTIFICATION. THE GOVERNMENT IS OBVIOUSLY TRYING TO CLEAN
16 UP ITS CASE TO AVOID HAVING TO DISMISS AGAINST MY CLIENT, AND
17 THEY ARE USING UNDULY SUGGESTIVE MEANS TO ACCOMPLISH THIS.

18 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S UNFAIR, AND I'LL TELL
19 YOU WHY.

20 THE COURT: THEY'RE NOT TRYING TO GET THE WITNESS TO
21 CHANGE HIS TESTIMONY, THEY'RE JUST TRYING TO SHOW THAT ON A
22 PREVIOUS OCCASION HE DID MAKE THIS IDENTIFICATION.

23 MR. MEDRANO: REPEATEDLY, YOUR HONOR.

24 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO
25 ORDER THE GOVERNMENT TO BRING THE ORIGINAL PHOTOS DOWN TO

1 COURT.

2 THE COURT: WELL, YES. DO YOU HAVE ORIGINAL
3 PHOTOSPREAD THAT WAS SHOWN TO THE WITNESS?

4 MR. NICOLAYSEN: AND LIKEWISE --

5 THE COURT: INCIDENTALLY, THIS WITNESS HAS SPENT A
6 GOOD DEAL OF TIME LOOKING AT THE MEMBERS OF THE PRESS WHEN HE
7 WAS --

8 COURTROOM: (LAUGHTER.)

9 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, JUST FOR YOUR INFORMATION, I
10 NEVER SHOWED -- BECAUSE I'D WORKED WITH THE WITNESS PREVIOUSLY,
11 I NEVER SHOWED HIM ORIGINALS. I SHOWED HIM A PHOTOSTATIC, COPY
12 AND THIS IS WHAT WE INTENDED TO SHOW HIM.

13 THE COURT: WHATEVER YOU SHOWED HIM, YOU MAY SHOW HIM
14 HERE. IF YOU SHOWED HIM SOMETHING OTHER THAN THIS, THEN YOU
15 SHOULD PRODUCE IT.

16 MR. MEDRANO: THIS IS WHAT I SHOWED HIM, AND I'M GOING
17 TO USE THE PHOTOCOPY, AND I WILL PROVIDE DEFENSE WITH
18 PHOTOCOPIES IMMEDIATELY.

19 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WOULD ASK FOR ALL D.E.A.-6'S
20 RELATING THOUGH THOSE THOSE MEETINGS, AS WELL, YOUR HONOR. I
21 THINK THERE'S A SERIOUS IDENTIFICATION PROBLEM HERE.

22 MR. MEDRANO: COUNSEL HAS ALL JENCKS, YOUR HONOR.

23 THE COURT: HE HAS THOSE? ARE THERE ANY SUCH?

24 MR. STOLAR: THERE'S NOTHING THAT COVERED THE I.D.

25 MR. MEDRANO: I WILL CHECK AND SEE IF THERE'S ANYTHING

1 PERTAINING TO I.D.'S, YOUR HONOR, AND THEN DISCUSS IT WITH MR.
2 NICOLAYSEN.

3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

4 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THANK YOU.

5 MR. STOLAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

6 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE. THIS COURT IS NOW IN RECESS.

7 (BRIEF RECESS.)

8 (JURY NOT PRESENT.)

9 THE COURT: THE COURT IS CONVENING OUTSIDE THE
10 PRESENCE OF THE JURY. WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?

11 MR. MEDRANO: I HAVE JUST SHOWED TO ALL DEFENSE
12 COUNSEL THE ORIGINAL, AS WELL AS GIVEN THEM PHOTOCOPIES, OF THE
13 PHOTOSPREAD THAT DURING MY MEETINGS WITH MR. PLASCENCIA, PRIOR
14 TO HIS TESTIMONY TODAY, I SHOWED HIM.

15 MY PROFFER IS THAT IN EACH OF THOSE MEETINGS WITH MR.
16 PLASCENCIA, IN THE ORIGINAL THAT I HAVE IN MY HAND, WHICH IS A
17 PHOTOCOPY, YOUR HONOR, HE IDENTIFIED PHOTOGRAPHS OF JAVIER
18 VASQUEZ VELASCO. SO IT IS GOVERNMENT'S INTENT TO MARK THIS
19 NEXT EXHIBIT IN LINE, WHICH IS 171 FOR THE GOVERNMENT, AND TO
20 LAY THAT SAME FOUNDATION FOR THIS WITNESS AND THEN HAVE HIM
21 IDENTIFY THE PHOTOGRAPH IN THAT FASHION.

22 THAT IS THE INTENT OF THE GOVERNMENT, YOUR HONOR.

23 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE OBJECTION?

24 MR. STOLAR: FIRST OF ALL, THIS SHOWS A PICTURE OF MY
25 CLIENT IN CUSTODY. HE'S SITTING THERE -- IT'S CLEARLY A MUG

1 SHOT WITH A UNITED STATES MARSHAL'S SERVICE, LOS ANGELES,
2 CALIFORNIA PLAQUE SITTING IN FRONT OF HIM. THAT'S NUMBER ONE
3 FOR MR. MATTA.

4 SECOND, YOUR HONOR, IT IS NOT A FAIR SPREAD.

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, SPEAKING ON MY CLIENT'S
6 BEHALF, DEFENDANT VASQUEZ VELASCO, WE'RE NOW IN THE REALM OF
7 POTENTIALLY UNDULY SUGGESTIVE PRETRIAL SHOW UPS, OR
8 PHOTOGRAPHIC SPREAD DISPLAYS.

9 THESE ARE ISSUES THAT SHOULD BE RESOLVED OUTSIDE THE
10 JURY'S PRESENCE, AND I WOULD LIKE TO BRIEF THE ISSUE SO THAT
11 THE COURT CAN HAVE AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO ASSESS THE
12 CURRENT STATE OF THE LAW BEFORE IT IS PRESENTED TO THE WITNESS.
13 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT ITS UNDULY SUGGESTIVE CHARACTER.

14 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PROBLEM? I'M A LITTLE
15 FAMILIAR WITH THE CURRENT STATE OF THE LAW.

16 MR. STOLAR: THE PHOTO SPREAD ITSELF SHOWS PEOPLE
17 WHO ARE NOT AT ALL SIMILAR.

18 THE COURT: WHY ARE YOU GETTING INTO THIS? THIS DOES
19 NOT INVOLVE YOUR CLIENT.

20 MR. STOLAR: NOT YET.

21 MR. NICOLAYSEN: HIS CLIENT IS ON THE PHOTO SPREAD.

22 THE COURT: I KNOW HE IS.

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THE LACK OF SIMILARITY IS THE
24 PRINCIPAL CONCERN, YOUR HONOR. THE FACT THAT THE CLIENT IS
25 ALSO IN CUSTODY IS PREJUDICIAL.

1 I THINK THAT WHAT WE ARE DEALING WITH HERE IS THE
2 GOVERNMENT'S EFFORT TO CURE AN OBVIOUS DEFECT IN ITS CASE IN A
3 MANNER THAN CAN ONLY ABOUT UNDULY SUGGESTIVE. THEY'RE SIMPLY
4 GOING TO BE LEADING THE WITNESS INTO THE END RESULT, WHICH IS
5 THE IDENTIFICATION.

6 HE HAS FAILED TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION IN COURT.
7 THEY'RE TRYING TO REHABILITATE HIM WITH A PHOTO SPREAD THAT HAS
8 AT LEAST THREE PEOPLE WHO LOOK VERY, VERY DIFFERENT FROM EACH
9 OTHER.

10 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, IN FACT, THE PHOTOS ARE
11 VERY SIMILAR, WE WOULD OFFER. THAT'S WHY WE GAVE YOU A
12 PHOTOCOPY OF IT.

13 IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR --

14 THE COURT: ACTUALLY NOT. THERE IS ONLY ONE
15 PHOTOGRAPH THAT RESEMBLES THE DEFENDANT; THE OTHERS DO NOT.
16 CERTAINLY DR. MACHAIN DOES NOT.

17 MR. MEDRANO: THE ISSUE, THOUGH, YOUR HONOR, IS
18 HAVING DONE MANY BANK ROBBERY CASES, I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE LAW
19 IN THIS AREA, YOUR HONOR, I'M AWARE THAT ALL SETS OF
20 PHOTOGRAPHS DO NOT HAVE TO BE IDENTICAL.

21 IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, THE SOLE ISSUE THAT THE
22 DISSIMILARITIES, IF THAT'S THE ISSUE, NOT BE SO PROFOUND OR
23 UNDULY SUGGESTIVE THAT EVERYTHING POINTS TO ONLY ONE PHOTOGRAPH
24 FOR IDENTIFICATION.

25 IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, THIS SITUATION IS NO

1 DIFFERENT FROM A BANK ROBBERY CASE THAT ALL OF US IN THIS COURT
2 ROOM HAVE DONE WHERE YOU HAVE A TERRIFIED VICTIM TELLER WHO'S
3 UNABLE TO DO AN EYEBALL IDENTIFICATION IN THE COURTROOM. AND
4 THEREAFTER, YOUR HONOR -- AND I HAVE DONE THIS REPEATEDLY --
5 YOU GO TO THE PHOTO SPREAD SHOWN BY THE F.B.I. AGENT TO THE
6 VICTIM TELLER AND LAY THE FOUNDATION. AND IDENTIFICATION CAN
7 COME IN IN THAT FASHION.

8 THE ISSUE RAISED BY MR. NICOLAYSEN GOES TO WEIGHT AND
9 NOT ADMISSIBILITY AND CLOSING ARGUMENT, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD
10 RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT.

11 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THIS LINE-UP
12 DISPLAY WHO ARE WELL-KNOWN PEOPLE. BY PROCESS OF ELIMINATION,
13 IT IS POSSIBLE THE WITNESS MIGHT HAVE IDENTIFIED JAVIER
14 VASQUEZ. THE WITNESS MAY HAVE KNOWN AT THE TIME HE WAS SHOWN
15 THIS THAT VASQUEZ IS NOT MATTA BECAUSE MATTA IS A WELL-KNOWN
16 NAME.

17 THE SAME THING WITH RUBEN ZUNO AND THE SAME THING
18 WITH DR. MACHAIN. WE START WHITTILING DOWN THE OPTIONS TO THE
19 POINT WHERE THE ONLY PERSON LEFT IS JAVIER OR THE PERSON NEXT
20 TO HIM. THESE ARE ISSUES THAT SHOULD BE EXPLORED BY EXAMINING
21 THE WITNESS OUTSIDE THE JURY'S PRESENCE.

22 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, YOU HAVE OBIATED THAT
23 WHOLE ISSUE OF PUBLICITY WITH YOUR INTENSIVE VOIR DIRE ON THE
24 ISSUE OF PUBLICITY. AND YOU HAVE NO ONE IN THIS BOX WHO HAS
25 TOLD YOU THAT THEY KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE.

1 THE COURT: GENTLEMEN, THAT'S IT, NO MORE ARGUMENT.
2 THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED, AT LEAST FOR NOW. IF YOU WISH TO
3 HAVE THIS MATTER BRIEFED, I WOULD RECONSIDER IT.

4 BRING THE JURY IN.

5 MR. MEDRANO: BEFORE YOU DO THAT, LET ME JUST -- MAY
6 I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

7 (DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

8 MR. MEDRANO: I JUST WANTED TO ADVISE YOU THEN WHERE
9 THIS WITNESS IS GOING AND WHAT THE GOVERNMENT'S INTENT IS, TO
10 AVOID OBJECTIONS MIDSTREAM WITH THIS TESTIMONY.

11 THE COURT: ACTUALLY, YOU MAY INVITE OBJECTIONS.

12 MR. MEDRANO: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.
13 WE ARE GOING TO GET TO THE INCIDENT OF LA LANGOSTA BECAUSE THIS
14 IS THE PRECIPITANT WITNESS WHO WAS PRESENT WHEN THE TWO
15 AMERICANS WERE MURDERED.

16 NOW, AS PART OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, HE WILL STATE
17 THAT ONE OF THE PEOPLE PARTICIPATING IN THE BEATING OF THE
18 AMERICANS IS JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO. I APPRECIATE YOUR RULING.
19 IT IS MY INTENT, HOWEVER, TO CONTINUE WITH DIRECT IN THAT
20 FASHION AND BRIEF THIS ISSUE, AND HOPEFULLY GIVE YOU A CHANCE
21 TO RECONSIDER IT SO AT A LATER TIME WE CAN CONNECT THE NAME
22 FROM THE DIRECT TESTIMONY WITH THE PHOTO SPREAD WE INTEND TO
23 USE AT A LATER TIME.

24 I JUST WANT TO TELL YOU WHERE WE'RE HEADED WITH THIS
25 WITNESS.

1 THE COURT: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT EVIDENCE SHOULD BE
2 PRESENTED UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE WITNESS HAS FAILED
3 TO IDENTIFY THIS DEFENDANT.

4 MR. MEDRANO: AT LEAST FOR NOW, YOUR HONOR, IS WHAT
5 YOU'RE SAYING UNTIL THE ISSUE OF THE PHOTO SPREAD IS RESOLVED?

6 THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT.

7 MR. MEDRANO: LET ME JUST CHECK MY NOTES TO SEE WHAT
8 AREAS OUTSIDE OF JAVIER VASQUEZ WE CAN DEAL WITH RIGHT NOW TO
9 CONTINUE USING THE WITNESS.

10 MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

11 THE COURT: YES.

12 (DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

13 MR. MEDRANO: THE REMAINDER OF THIS DIRECT, WHICH
14 WOULD BE BETWEEN 30 MINUTES AND AN HOUR, WOULD REFERENCE JAVIER
15 VASQUEZ VELASCO. AND WITH YOUR PERMISSION, WE'LL EXCUSE THIS
16 WITNESS FOR NOW AND GO TO THE NEXT WITNESS.

17 AND JUST I'M JUST ADVISED, HOWEVER, THAT THAT SECOND
18 WITNESS, WHO IS A HECTOR CERVANTES SANTOS, IS UP ON THE 14TH
19 FLOOR AND WE HAVE TO BRING HIM DOWN.

20 WE WERE EXPECTING TO GO NEXT WITH MR. PASCENCIA
21 AGUILAR.

22 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I STRONGLY OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR
23 HONOR.

24 THE COURT: TO WHAT?

25 MR. NICOLAYSEN: TO THE CALLING OF HECTOR CERVANTES

1 SANTOS. THE 48-HOUR RULE ON JENKS HAS NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH
2 AS TO THIS WITNESS. I GOT THE JENKS MATERIAL YESTERDAY
3 MORNING, I BELIEVE, AND I HAVE THE RECEIPT HERE FOR IT.

4 AND WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING WITH THE GOVERNMENT THAT
5 WE'LL GET JENKS AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR. AND I'M NOT PREPARED
6 TO CROSS THIS WITNESS, AND THIS IS AN IMPORTANT WITNESS FOR THE
7 GOVERNMENT AND IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US AS DEFENSE ATTORNEYS.

8 I WOULD ASK THAT THE GOVERNMENT CALL ANOTHER WITNESS
9 WHO'S ON TODAY'S WITNESS LIST.

10 MR. MEDVENE: OUR UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY HAVE A
11 D.E.A. AGENT READY. HE'S THE ONLY WITNESS AGAINST MR. ZUNO, AS
12 WE UNDERSTAND THE GOVERNMENT, ON THE KIDNAPPING.

13 THE COURT: WHO IS?

14 MR. MEDVENE: MR. HECTOR CERVANTES SANTOS. HE'S THE
15 ONLY WITNESS. WE JUST GOT THE MATERIAL YESTERDAY MORNING.

16 WE THINK THE 48 HOURS -- AND WE DISCUSSED THIS WITH
17 THE GOVERNMENT -- IS TOMORROW MORNING. HE'S THE ONLY WITNESS
18 AGAINST US ON THE KIDNAPPING, AND THEY WANT TO FOLLOW THE 48
19 HOURS ON THIS WITNESS.

20 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE
21 DIRECT STARTING CERVANTES IS GOING TO TAKE US INTO TOMORROW
22 MORNING, SO THERE IS NOT GOING TO BE ANY CROSS TODAY, SO WE CAN
23 AT LEAST GET STARTED WITH THE DIRECT. THEY DID RECEIVE THE
24 JENKS YESTERDAY MORNING.

25 THE COURT: WE CAN DO WITH THIS WITNESS WHAT I

1 OFFERED MR. NICOLAYSEN. AFTER THE DIRECT EXAMINATION HAS BEEN
2 COMPLETED, YOU MAY CONDUCT WHATEVER CROSS-EXAMINATION YOU WISH
3 AT THAT TIME.

4 AND IF YOU NEED ADDITIONAL TIME OR TO REOPEN THE
5 CROSS-EXAMINATION AFTER YOU HAVE IT PREPARED, I'LL PERMIT YOU
6 TO DO THAT. OR YOU MAY DEFER YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

7 MR. MEDVENE: IF WE COULD, YOUR HONOR, IF THE DIRECT
8 IS GOING TO TAKE ANOTHER HOUR, WE'LL BE DONE -- WE COULD GO
9 WITH THE DIRECT, BUT CAN WE RECESS WHEN THE DIRECT IS OVER AT
10 4:30?

11 THE COURT: IF THE DIRECT IS OVER AT 4:30?

12 MR. MEDVENE: NO. IF THE DIRECT IS OVER AT 4:30,
13 WE'D RECESS, I GUESS, AS WE ALWAYS DO. BUT IF THE DIRECT IS
14 OVER BEFORE 4:30, CAN WE RECESS THEN?

15 THE COURT: WELL, HE SAID IT'S GOING TO GO INTO
16 TOMORROW MORNING.

17 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

18 MR. MEDVENE: OH, THAT'S FINE.

19 THE COURT: WE'LL DO THAT THEN.

20 MR. MEDRANO: MAY WE CALL THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME,
21 YOUR HONOR?

22 (BRIEF INTERRUPTION.)

23 (JURY PRESENT.)

24 THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

25 MR. MEDRANO: THE GOVERNMENT WOULD CALL HECTOR

1 CERVANTES SANTOS TO THE STAND AT THIS TIME.

2

3 HECTOR CERVANTEZ SANTOS + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN

4 /

5 THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE
6 RECORD.

7 THE WITNESS: MY NAME?

8 THE CLERK: YES.

9 THE COURT: SPEAK UP, PLEASE.

10 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS HECTOR MANUEL CERVANTES
11 SANTOS.

12 THE CLERK: PLEASE SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.

13 THE WITNESS: C E R V A N T E C (SIC).

14 THE COURT: C OR S?

15 THE WITNESS: C.

16 THE COURT: C.

17 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I HAVE ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

18 (BRIEF PAUSE.)

19 MR. MEDRANO: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR.

20

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION +

22 BY MR. MEDRANO:

23 Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CERVANTES.

24 A. GOOD AFTERNOON.

25 Q. MR. CERVANTES, HOW OLD ARE YOU, SIR?

1 A. I'M 30 YEARS OLD.

2 Q. WHERE WERE YOU BORN?

3 A. GUADALAJARA, JALISCO, MEXICO.

4 Q. ARE YOU A CITIZEN OF THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO?

5 A. YES.

6 Q. ARE YOU MARRIED?

7 A. YES.

8 Q. ANY CHILDREN?

9 A. TWO.

10 Q. HAVE YOU EVER IN MEXICO, MR. CERVANTES, WORKED WITH ANY
11 LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENTS?

12 A. YES.

13 Q. WITH WHO?

14 A. FOR THE RIOT POLICE.

15 Q. WHEN DID YOU WORK FOR THE RIOT POLICE?

16 A. IN '79.

17 Q. AND HOW LONG DID YOU WORK FOR THE RIOT POLICE?

18 A. ONE YEAR.

19 Q. WHAT CITY WERE YOU WORKING IN WHEN YOU WERE WITH THE RIOT
20 POLICE?

21 A. GUADALAJARA, JALISCO.

22 Q. WHEN YOU WERE WITH THE RIOT POLICE, DID YOU RECEIVE
23 TRAINING IN THE USE OF FIREARMS?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. ALL TYPES OF FIREARMS?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. AFTER YOU WERE WITH THE RIOT POLICE, DID YOU EVER WORK
3 WITH ANY OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN MEXICO?

4 A. YES.

5 Q. WITH WHO?

6 A. RADIO PATROLS.

7 Q. WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?

8 A. IN 1980.

9 Q. WHAT CITY WAS THAT IN?

10 A. GUADALAJARA, JALISCO.

11 Q. DID YOU EVER WORK WITH ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OUTSIDE
12 OF THE CITY OF GUADALAJARA?

13 A. YES.

14 Q. WITH WHOM?

15 A. FOR THE RURAL POLICE.

16 Q. WHERE DID YOU DO THAT?

17 A. IN LA HUERTA (PHONETIC), JALISCO.

18 Q. HOW LONG WERE YOU WITH THE RURAL POLICE?

19 A. APPROXIMATELY SIX MONTHS.

20 Q. WHAT YEAR WAS THAT?

21 A. '81.

22 Q. MR. CERVANTES, DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF JAVIER
23 BARBA HERNANDEZ?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. DID YOU EVER WORK FOR JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. WHAT CITY?

3 A. GUADALAJARA, JALISCO.

4 Q. WHEN DID YOU START WORKING FOR JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ?

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD JUST ASK THAT
6 THE JURY BE ADMONISHED THAT IT IS NOT MY CLIENT THAT HAS THE
7 SAME FIRST NAME, AND THAT THESE NAMES ARE EASILY CONFUSED.

8 THE COURT: WHICH NAME ARE YOU REFERRING TO?

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ. THE
10 GOVERNMENT REPEATEDLY REFERS TO JAVIER IN THE QUESTIONS, AND
11 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE POSSIBLE CONFUSION BETWEEN THAT
12 PARTICULAR PERSON AND MY CLIENT.

13 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR CLIENT'S LAST NAME?

14 MR. NICOLAYSEN: VASQUEZ VELASCO. IT IS NOT THE
15 PERSON BEING DISCUSSED ON THE STAND, BUT NAMES CAN EASILY BE
16 MISCONSTRUED BECAUSE OF THE SAME FIRST NAME. AND I WOULD ASK
17 THAT THE JURY BE ADMONISHED.

18 THE COURT: I THINK THE JURY WOULD BE ABLE TO FOLLOW
19 THAT.

20 GO AHEAD.

21 BY MR. MEDRANO:

22 Q. MR. CERVANTES, WHEN DID YOU START WORKING FOR JAVIER BARBA
23 HERNANDEZ?

24 A. IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER OF 1982.

25 Q. WHEN YOU WORKED WITH JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ WAS HE OLDER

1 THAN YOU WERE?

2 A. YES.

3 Q. HOW MUCH OLDER WAS HE THAN YOU?

4 A. FOUR YEARS OLDER.

5 Q. MR. CERVANTES, I'D LIKE TO LOOK AT THE EXHIBITS IN FRONT
6 OF YOU AND LOOK AT THE YELLOW TAGS. AND I ASK YOU TO PULL OUT
7 GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 41.

8 THE COURT: ARE THESE IN ORDER HERE OR NOT? THEY
9 SHOULD BE IN THE ORDER THAT YOU'RE GOING TO REFER TO THEM.

10 MR. MEDRANO: THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE, YOUR HONOR.
11 I APOLOGIZE.

12 THE COURT: 41?

13 MR. MEDRANO: 41.

14 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR QUESTION?

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q. MR. CERVANTES, COULD YOU TELL ME WHAT GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT
17 41 IS?

18 A. YES. IT IS ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ.

19 Q. THIS IS THE MAN YOU WORKED FOR?

20 A. YES.

21 MR. MEDRANO: I MOVE THE ADMISSION OF THIS EXHIBIT AT
22 THIS TIME.

23 THE COURT: THAT MAY BE RECEIVED.

24 (EXHIBIT # 41 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q. WHAT DID YOU DO FOR JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ?

2 A. I WAS THE CHIEF OF SECURITY FOR HIS HOUSE.

3 Q. WHERE WAS HIS HOUSE?

4 A. IN GUADALAJARA, JALISCO.

5 Q. I NOW ASK YOU TO LOOK TO EXHIBIT 51-A AND -B, WHICH ARE IN
6 FRONT OF YOU.

7 Q. HAVE YOU FOUND THOSE TWO, SIR?

8 A. YES.

9 Q. COULD YOU TELL ME WHAT THAT IS?

10 A. THIS IS THE HOUSE WHERE I WORKED FOR ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA
11 HERNANDEZ.

12 MR. MEDRANO: WE SEEK ITS ADMISSION, YOUR HONOR.

13 THE COURT: THEY MAY BE RECEIVED.

14 (EXHIBITS 51-A & -B # RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

15 BY MR. MEDRANO:

16 Q. MR. CERVANTES, COULD YOU TELL US WHAT YOUR DUTIES WERE AS
17 THE HEAD OF SECURITY?

18 A. TO CHECK THE HOUSE AND TO SEE WHO ENTERED AND WHO LEFT.

19 Q. WHEN PEOPLE ARRIVED AT THE HOUSE, WOULD YOU BE THE FIRST
20 ONE TO MEET OR GREET THEM?

21 A. YES.

22 Q. DID YOU ACTUALLY RESIDE AT THAT HOUSE OF JAVIER BARBA
23 HERNANDEZ?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. DID YOUR FAMILY RESIDE WITH YOU?

1 A. NO.

2 Q. WHERE WAS YOUR FAMILY?

3 A. AT MY HOUSE.

4 Q. WOULD YOU SPEND PERIODS OF TIME AT THAT HOUSE OF JAVIER
5 BARBA HERNANDEZ?

6 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION TO THE LEADING.

7 MR. NICOLAYSEN: IT'S VAGUE AS TO TIME. I HAVE NO
8 IDEA WHAT TIME FRAME WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

9 MR. MEDRANO: HE INDICATED DECEMBER OF '82 IS WHEN HE
10 STARTED --

11 THE COURT: BUT YOUR QUESTION DIDN'T INDICATE THAT.

12 MR. MEDRANO: I'LL REPHRASE IT. THANK YOU.

13 BY MR. MEDRANO:

14 Q. IN CARRYING OUT OUR RESPONSIBILITIES, MR. CERVANTES, DID
15 YOU CARRY A FIREARM?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. AND WHO PROVIDED YOU WITH THIS FIREARM?

18 A. THE ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ.

19 Q. WHAT KIND OF FIREARM OR FIREARMS WERE YOU PROVIDED?

20 A. AN AUTOMATIC RIFLE AND AN AUTOMATIC PISTOL.

21 Q. COULD YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC? WHAT TYPE OF WEAPON WAS IT?

22 A. IT WAS AN AR-15, 2.23 CALIBER.

23 Q. IS THAT .223 CALIBER?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. AND DID YOU HAVE A SMALLER HAND GUN AS WELL?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. WHAT TYPE ARE THOSE?

3 A. A .38 SUPER.

4 Q. ANY OTHER TYPE?

5 A. NO, JUST THAT.

6 Q. THE AR-15, WAS THAT AN AUTOMATIC WEAPON?

7 A. YES.

8 Q. WOULD JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ RESIDE AT THE HOUSE WITH YOU,
9 MR. CERVANTES?

10 A. NO.

11 Q. WHAT WAS THE HOUSE USED FOR THEN?

12 A. IT WAS ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ'S SAFE HOUSE.

13 Q. WOULD PEOPLE MEET AT THIS HOUSE?

14 A. YES.

15 Q. WOULD SOCIAL GATHERINGS BE HELD AT THE HOUSE?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. WHEN YOU WERE AT THE HOUSE, MR. CERVANTES, FOR WHAT
18 PERIODS OF TIME WOULD YOU HAVE TO BE AT THE HOUSE?

19 A. THERE WERE TIMES WHEN I WOULD BE THERE FOR THREE MONTHS
20 WITHOUT LEAVING.

21 Q. WITHOUT EVEN SEEING YOUR FAMILY?

22 A. YES, WITHOUT SEEING IT.

23 Q. HOW WOULD YOU SUPPORT YOUR FAMILY IF YOU WERE AT THAT
24 HOUSE FOR MONTHS AT A TIME?

25 THE INTERPRETER: COULD I ASK HIM TO REPEAT THIS A

1 LITTLE MORE SLOWLY?

2 THE COURT: YES. REPEAT YOUR ANSWER SLOWLY.

3 THE WITNESS: JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ'S BODYGUARDS
4 WOULD TAKE THE MONEY TO MY WIFE FOR HER TO HAVE IT DURING THE
5 TIME THAT I WAS GOING TO BE THERE.

6 BY MR. MEDRANO:

7 Q. MR. CERVANTES, IF I COULD ASK YOU WHEN YOU ANSWER, COULD
8 YOU SPEAK MORE SLOWLY FOR THE INTERPRETER TO DO THE
9 TRANSLATION. OKAY?

10 A. YES.

11 Q. MR. CERVANTES, I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO
12 ABOUT SEPTEMBER OF 1984. IN THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER '84, DID
13 YOU EVER ATTEND A BAPTISM?

14 A. YES.

15 Q. WHERE WAS THIS HELD AT?

16 A. AT THAT HOUSE, ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ'S HOUSE.

17 Q. WHO WAS GOING TO BE BAPTIZED?

18 A. ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ'S CHILD.

19 Q. WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THE CHILD, IF YOU KNOW?

20 A. YOREMI.

21 Q. WHO WAS GOING TO BE THE GODFATHER TO THE CHILD?

22 A. JAVIER GARCIA PANIAGUA.

23 Q. IS THERE -- STRIKE THAT.

24 THE BAPTISM EVENT IS HELD AT THE HOUSE OF BARBA
25 HERNANDEZ?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. DO MANY PEOPLE ATTEND?

3 A. YES.

4 Q. FOR THIS BAPTISM, WERE YOU PRESENT?

5 A. YES.

6 Q. CAN YOU TELL US WHO WAS AT THIS GATHERING IN SEPTEMBER OF
7 1984?

8 A. MR. DON RUBEN ZUNO ARCE WAS THERE. THERE WAS JAVIER
9 GARCIA PANIAGUA, HIS BROTHER MARCELINO, RAFAEL CARO QUINTERO,
10 ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO.

11 THOSE WERE THE HIGHEST OFFICIALS PRESENT THERE AT THE
12 BAPTISM.

13 Q. WAS YOUR BOSS JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ PRESENT?

14 A. YES.

15 Q. DID JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ HAVE A BOTHER?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. DO YOU RECALL HIS NAME?

18 A. YES.

19 Q. WHAT WAS THAT?

20 A. JORGE BARBA HERNANDEZ.

21 Q. WAS JORGE BARBA HERNANDEZ AT THIS GATHERING?

22 A. YES.

23 Q. DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF MANUEL SALCIDO USUERTA?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. WAS MANUEL SALCIDO AT THIS GATHERING?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. NOW, MR. CERVANTES, DO YOU KNOW TWO MEN BY THE NAME OF
3 MIGUEL ALDANA IBARRA AND MANUEL IBARRA HERRERA?

4 A. YES.

5 Q. AND WERE EITHER OF THESE TWO MEN AT THIS BAPTISM
6 GATHERING?

7 A. NO.

8 Q. YOU MENTIONED THAT RUBEN ZUNO ARCE WAS AT THIS GATHERING
9 AS WELL?

10 A. YES.

11 Q. DID HE COME WITH -- WELL, DID ANYONE COME WITH HIM; DO YOU
12 RECALL?

13 A. YES.

14 Q. WHO WAS THAT?

15 A. DAVID MACIAS.

16 Q. WHO IS DAVID MACIAS?

17 A. HE USED TO BE HIS DRIVER BACK THEN.

18 Q. NOW, CAN I ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THE EXHIBIT IN FRONT OF YOU,
19 GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 55. CAN YOU PULL THAT OUT?

20 HAVE YOU FOUND THAT EXHIBIT, SIR?

21 A. YES.

22 Q. COULD YOU TELL ME WHO THAT IS?

23 A. YES.

24 Q. WHO IS IT?

25 A. JAVIER GARCIA PANIAGUA.

1 Q. HE WAS AT THE BAPTISM?

2 A. YES.

3 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WE SEEK ADMISSION OF
4 EXHIBIT 55 AT THIS TIME.

5 THE COURT: THAT MAY BE RECEIVED.

6 MR. STOLAR: SUBJECT TO CONNECTION, I WOULD ASK.

7 MR. MEDRANO: IT WILL BE CONNECTED, YOUR HONOR.

8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

9 (EXHIBIT # 55 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

10 BY MR. MEDRANO:

11 Q. NOW, MR. CERVANTES, WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, I'D LIKE
12 YOU TO STAND AND TELL ME IF YOU SEE RUBEN ZUNO ARCE IN THE
13 COURTROOM TODAY, SIR.

14 YOU MAY STAND, MR. CERVANTES.

15 THE WITNESS: COULD I GET A LITTLE CLOSER? I CAN'T
16 SEE WELL.

17 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO: STEP DOWN?

18 THE WITNESS: YES, TO SEE BETTER

19 THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP INTO THE WELL AREA THERE.

20 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK THE WITNESS IF HE
21 WEARS GLASSES; AND IF SO, IT HE'D LIKE TO PUT THEM ON.

22 THE COURT: YOU MAY ASK.

23 THE WITNESS: NO.

24 BY MR. MEDRANO::

25 Q. DO YOU SEE RUBEN ZUNO-ARCE?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. COULD I ASK YOU TO IDENTIFY HIM?

3 A. YES.

4 Q. PLEASE POINT HIM OUT.

5 A. YES. IT IS THE MAN IN THE GRAY JACKET AND THE BLACK TIE.

6 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WE'D LIKE THE RECORD TO
7 REFLECT AN IDENTIFICATION.

8 THE COURT: WELL, THERE ARE TWO GENTLEMEN THERE. I
9 THINK YOU NEED SOME FURTHER --

10 MR. MEDRANO: HE SAID GRAY HAIR, YOUR HONOR, DARK TIE
11 AND GRAY SUIT.

12 MAY WE HAVE THEN THE WITNESS WALK DIRECTLY UP TO THE
13 DEFENDANT?

14 THE COURT: HE CAN POINT.

15 MR. MEDRANO: CAN YOU POINT TO MR. ZUNO ARCE,
16 MR. CERVANTES?

17 THE WITNESS: YES.

18 THE COURT: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD REFLECT AN
19 IDENTIFICATION OF MR. ZUNO ARCE?

20 THE COURT: YES. THE WITNESS MAY RETURN TO THE
21 STAND.

22 (WITNESS RESUMED THE STAND).

23 BY MR. MEDRANO:

24 Q. MR. CERVANTES, IF I CAN ASK YOU TO GET CLOSER TO THE
25 MICROPHONE SO WE CAN HEAR YOU -- MR. CERVANTES, THERE WERE

1 OTHER -- WERE THERE OTHER PEOPLE AT THIS BAPTISM PARTY, AS
2 WELL?

3 A. YES.

4 Q. WERE THERE BODYGUARDS?

5 A. YES.

6 Q. WERE THESE BODYGUARDS ARMED?

7 A. YES.

8 Q. ANYONE THAT WOULD ARRIVE AT THE PARTY AND ENTER THE HOUSE,
9 WOULD YOU BE ONE OF THE FIRST PEOPLE TO GREET THEM?

10 A. YES.

11 Q. AND WAS THAT PART OF YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS HEAD OF
12 SECURITY OF THE HOUSE?

13 A. YES.

14 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A FAMILY WITH THE LAST NAME OF
15 VASQUEZ VELASCO, MR. CERVANTES?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. WAS ANYBODY AT THAT PARTY BY THE NAME OF VASQUEZ VELASCO?

18 A. YES.

19 Q. CAN YOU GIVE ME THE NAMES OF THE PEOPLE THAT WERE THERE
20 THAT WERE NAMED VASQUEZ VELASCO?

21 A. JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO, ELISIO VASQUEZ VELASCO, AND
22 RICARDO VASQUEZ VELASCO.

23 Q. DO YOU KNOW A MAN BY THE NAME OF ANTONIO VASQUEZ VELASCO?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. IS HE ALSO PART OF THIS FAMILY?

1 A. YES.

2 Q. WAS ANTONIO VASQUEZ AT THE PARTY?

3 A. YES.

4 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S
5 PERMISSION, MAY WE ASK THE WITNESS TO STEP DOWN BECAUSE I'D
6 LIKE TO SEE IF HE CAN IDENTIFY JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO IN THE
7 COURTROOM?

8 THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY DO THAT.

9 (WITNESS WALKING INTO THE WELL.)

10 THE WITNESS: YES.

11 MR. MEDRANO: CAN I ASK YOU TO POINT HIM OUT AND TO
12 DESCRIBE AN ARTICLE OF CLOTHING THAT HE'S WEARING?

13 THE WITNESS: HE HAS A BLUE JACKET ON AND A TIE WITH
14 THE BLUE STRIPES.

15 THE COURT:

16 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I'LL STIPULATE THAT'S MY CLIENT.

17 THE COURT: INDICATING THE DEFENDANT ANTONIO VASQUEZ
18 VELASCO.

19 MR. MEDRANO: MAY THE WITNESS RETURN TO THE WITNESS
20 STAND, YOUR HONOR?

21 THE COURT: YOUR HONOR, YOU SAID ANTONIO. YOU
22 MISSPOKE AND SAID ANTONIO.

23 THE COURT: JAVIER, IS IT?

24 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR.

25 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

1 MR. MEDRANO: MAY WE ASK THE WITNESS TO RETURN TO
2 THE BOX, YOUR HONOR?

3 THE COURT: THE WITNESS POINTED OUT FOR THE RECORD
4 JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO.

5 BY MR. MEDRANO:

6 Q. MR. CERVANTES, THE VASQUEZ VELASCO BROTHERS, WERE THEY
7 ARMED WITH GUNS?

8 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, IRRELEVANT
9 AND PREJUDICIAL.

10 MR. MEDRANO: THIS WILL BE CONNECTED UP, YOUR HONOR.

11 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

12 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I WOULD ASK THAT THE JURY BE
13 ADMONISHED TO DISREGARD --

14 THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT THE ANSWER.

15 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN THEN,
16 YOUR HONOR?

17 THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.

18 BY MR. MEDRANO:

19 Q. MR. CERVANTES, THE VASQUES VELASCO BROTHERS, WERE THEY
20 ARMED WITH GUNS?

21 A. YES.

22 Q. JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO, WAS HE ARMED, SIR?

23 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, IRRELEVANT.

24 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

25 A. YES.

1 Q. BESIDES THE VASQUEZ VELASCO BROTHERS, WERE THERE OTHER
2 PEOPLE AT THE PARTY ARMED WITH GUNS?

3 A. YES. THE BODYGUARDS OF THE PEOPLE WHO CAME. EVERYBODY
4 HAD A BODYGUARD.

5 Q. MR. CERVANTES, AT ANY POINT IS A MEETING HELD DURING THE
6 BAPTISM GET TOGETHER AT THIS HOUSE BELONGING TO BARBA
7 HERNANDEZ?

8 A. YES.

9 Q. WHERE IS THIS MEETING HELD AT WITHIN THE HOUSE?

10 A. IN THE LIVING ROOM.

11 Q. HOW MANY ENTRANCES ARE THERE TO THIS LIVING ROOM IN THE
12 HOUSE?

13 A. JUST ONE.

14 Q. DID YOU SET UP A POSITION FOR YOURSELF ANYWHERE IN THE
15 VICINITY OF THE LIVING ROOM?

16 MR. STOLAR: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THE LEADING AND
17 ASK THAT THE WITNESS BE PERMITTED TO TESTIFY.

18 THE COURT: RESTATE YOUR QUESTION.

19 BY MR. MEDRANO:

20 Q. DID YOU TAKE ANY POSITION -- STRIKE THAT.

21 WHO WAS PRESENT IN THE LIVING ROOM FOR THE MEETING?

22 A. THERE WAS DON RUBEN ZUNO ARCE, JAVIER GARCIA PANIAGUA,
23 MARCELINO, HIS BROTHER, COCHILOCO, MANUEL SALCIDO. THAT'S ALL
24 I REMEMBER.

25 Q. WAS ERNESTO FONSECA CARRILLO AT THE MEETING?

1 A. NOT AT THAT MEETING.

2 Q. RAFAEL CARO QUINTERO?

3 A. NO.

4 Q. WAS YOUR BOSS, BARBA HERNANDEZ, PRESENT FOR IT?

5 MR. NICOLAYSEN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT. THIS IS
6 LEADING. HE HAS STATED THAT'S ALL HE CAN REMEMBER.

7 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT IS ENTITLED
8 TO REFRESH HIS MEMORY.

9 THE COURT: YES. OVERRULED.

10 BY MR. MEDRANO:

11 Q. BARBA HERNANDEZ, WAS HE ALSO PRESENT AT THE MEETING?

12 A. YES.

13 Q. DURING THE MEETING, WHERE WERE YOU LOCATED?

14 A. I WAS TO ONE SIDE OF ATTORNEY BARBA HERNANDEZ.

15 Q. WERE YOU PRESENT FOR THE MEETING, MR. CERVANTES?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. WERE YOU PRESENT -- STRIKE THAT.

18 COULD YOU HEAR WHAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED AT THE
19 MEETING?

20 A. YES.

21 Q. COULD YOU TELL US WHAT WAS SAID AT THIS MEETING?

22 MR. STOLAR: FOR THE RECORD, DID OBJECTION IS TO
23 HEARSAY.

24 THE COURT: SUSTAINED -- STRIKE THAT. OVERRULED
25 FOR THE SAME REASONS PREVIOUSLY STATED.

1 BY MR. MEDRANO:

2 Q. WHAT WAS SAID AT THE MEETING?

3 A. IT DEALT WITH A D.E.A. AGENT WHO WAS CAUSING TROUBLE.

4 Q. WHAT TROUBLE WAS BEING CAUSED BY THIS D.E.A. AGENT?

5 A. THEY ONLY SAID THAT HE WAS CAUSING TROUBLE AND THAT THEY
6 WANTED TO KNOW WHO THE PERSON WAS WHO WAS CAUSING TROUBLE.

7 Q. DID EVERYONE -- STRIKE THAT. EVERYONE WHO WAS PRESENT AT
8 THIS MEETING, DID THEY ALL PARTICIPATE IN THIS DISCUSSION?

9 MR. MEDVENE: OBJECTION; LEADING AND SUGGESTIVE, YOUR
10 HONOR.

11 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

12 BY MR. MEDRANO:

13 Q. HOW LONG WOULD YOU ESTIMATE THAT THIS MEETING LASTED? HOW
14 LONG?

15 A. A COUPLE OF HOURS.

16 Q. RUBEN ZUNO ARCE, DID YOU HEAR HIM SPEAK AT THIS MEETING?

17 A. THE ONLY THING I FOUND OUT WAS THAT EVERYBODY WAS IN
18 AGREEMENT. EVERYBODY AGREED.

19 MR. MEDVENE: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, AND MOVE TO
20 STRIKE.

21 MR. STOLAR: SAME OBJECTION.

22 THE COURT: THE ANSWER IS STRICKEN AS NONRESPONSIVE
23 TO THE QUESTION.

24 RESTATE THE QUESTION.

25 BY MR. MEDRANO:

1 Q. MR. CERVANTES, I NEED TO DIRECT YOU TO ZUNO ARCE FOR A
2 MINUTE.

3 AT THIS MEETING IN THE LIVING ROOM, DID RUBEN ZUNO
4 ARCE STATE ANYTHING?

5 A. THAT THE PERSON SHOULD BE PICKED UP.

6 Q. WHAT PERSON WAS BEING REFERRED TO?

7 MR. MEDVENE: OBJECTION AS TO FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.

8 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, THE WITNESS CAN INDICATE --

9 THE COURT: YOU MAY ASK THE WITNESS IF ANYBODY
10 MENTIONED THE PERSON. YOU'RE ASKING HIM WITHOUT LAYING ANY
11 FOUNDATION.

12 MR. MEDRANO: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.

13 BY MR. MEDRANO:

14 Q. DID RUBEN ZUNO ARCE INDICATE WHO HE WAS REFERRING TO WHEN
15 HE STATED THIS?

16 A. THE D.E.A. AGENT.

17 Q. MR. CERVANTES, HOW LONG WOULD YOU ESTIMATE THAT THIS
18 SOCIAL GATHERING FOR THE BAPTISM WENT ON? UNTIL WHAT TIME?

19 A. IT WENT ON UNTIL DAWN.

20 Q. MR. CERVANTES, FROM BARBA'S RESIDENCE, DID ANYONE LEAVE
21 FOR THE GUADALAJARA AIRPORT?

22 A. YES.

23 Q. WHO WAS THAT, MR. CERVANTES?

24 A. DON JAVIER GARCIA PANIAGUA AND HIS BROTHER.

25 Q. WHERE DID JAVIER VASQUES VELASCO STAY AFTER THE CONCLUSION

1 OF THE PARTY?

2 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION. LACK OF FOUNDATION.

3 MR. MEDRANO: THE WITNESS WILL DESCRIBE THAT, YOUR
4 HONOR.

5 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

6 THE WITNESS: COULD YOU REPEAT IT, PLEASE?

7 BY MR. MEDRANO:

8 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHERE JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO STAYED AFTER THE
9 CONCLUSION OF THE PARTY?

10 A. YES.

11 Q. WHERE WAS THAT?

12 A. THERE, WITH US, AT THE HOUSE.

13 Q. AT ANY TIME, SIR, DO YOU SEE JAVIER BARBA HERNANDEZ LEAVE
14 THE HOUSE?

15 A. YES.

16 Q. DID HE ADVISE YOU WHERE HE WAS GOING?

17 A. YES.

18 Q. WHERE WAS THAT?

19 MR. NICOLAYSEN: OBJECTION, HEARSAY.

20 MR. MEDRANO: CO-CONSPIRATOR'S STATEMENT, YOUR HONOR.

21 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

22 BY MR. MEDRANO:

23 Q. DID BARBA TELL YOU WHERE HE WAS GOING, MR. CERVANTES?

24 A. YES.

25 Q. WHERE?

1 A. TO OLCOLTAN.

2 Q. DID YOU SEE ANYONE LEAVE FROM THE HOUSE WITH BARBA
3 HERNANDEZ TO OCOLTAN?

4 A. YES.

5 Q. IS THIS THE DAY AFTER THE PARTY?

6 A. YES.

7 Q. WHO GOES WITH BARBA HERNANDEZ?

8 A. DON RUBEN ZUNO ARCE AND JAVIER VASQUEZ VELASCO.

9 Q. I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW, MR. CERVANTES,
10 STILL TO 1984. AND IN 1984, ARE YOU STILL EMPLOYED BY BARBA
11 HERNANDEZ?

12 A. YES.

13 Q. AS THE HEAD OF SECURITY OF THE HOUSEHOLD?

14 A. YES.

15 Q. IN THE HOUSE THAT YOU WORKED AT, DID-- STRIKE THAT.

16 IN THE HOUSE THAT YOU WORKED AT, MR. CERVANTES, WERE
17 FIREARMS KEPT THERE?

18 A. YES.

19 Q. DID BARBA KEEP GUNS THERE?

20 A. YES.

21 Q. WHAT KIND OF WEAPONS DID HE HAVE THERE?

22 MR. STOLAR: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY.

23 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

24 THE WITNESS: HE HAD LONG WEAPONS AND HANDS GUNS,
25 GRENADES, BAZOOKAS, AND THE THOMPSON THAT HE HAD. IT WAS A

1 COLLECTOR'S ITEM.

2 Q. WHAT IS A THOMPSON?

3 A. IT'S A LONG AUTOMATIC WEAPON. IT'S AN ANTIQUE. IT'S AN
4 OLD, OLD WEAPON.

5 Q. IS THAT A MACHINE GUN?

6 A. YES.

7 Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED LONG GUNS. ARE THESE RIFLES THAT
8 YOU'RE REFERRING TO?

9 A. YES.

10 Q. WHAT KIND OF RIFLES WERE KEPT AT THE HOUSE?

11 A. AUTOMATIC ONES.

12 Q. GIVE ME THE CALIBER.

13 A. THERE WAS AK-14 CALIBER, AR-15, THERE WAS AN UZI, INGRAM,
14 THERE WERE SHOTGUNS, JUST LONG WEAPONS. THAT'S ALL.

15 Q. AND FINALLY, WHAT TYPES OF HAND GUNS WERE KEPT AT THE
16 HOUSE?

17 A. .38'S, 9 MILLIMETER, .45'S, .357'S, 32.20'S -- THOSE ARE
18 TARGET SHOOTING GUNS.

19 Q. NOW, MR. CERVANTES, DID RUBEN ZUNO-ARCE GO TO THAT HOUSE
20 AGAIN BELONGING TO BARBA?

21 A. YES.

22 Q. WHAT DID HE DO WHEN HE WENT THERE?

23 A. HE ENTERED AND HE SPOKE WITH ATTORNEY JAVIER BARBA
24 HERNANDEZ.

25 Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION AT THIS MEETING, SIR, WITH REGARD

1 TO FIREARMS?

2 A. WELL, THEY WERE LOOKING AT THE FIREARMS.

3 Q. DID SOMETHING HAPPEN?

4 A. YES.

5 Q. WHAT?

6 A. WHILE THE ATTORNEY BARBA WAS SHOWING THE WEAPONS TO DON
7 RUBEN, HE LIKED ONE OF THE RIFLES THAT WAS THERE.

8 Q. ZUNO LIKED ONE OF THE WEAPONS?

9 A. YES.

10 Q. WHICH ONE, MR. CERVANTES?

11 A. THE THOMPSON.

12 Q. DOES ANYTHING HAPPEN?

13 A. DON RUBEN TOLD THE ATTORNEY THAT HE LIKED THAT WEAPON AND
14 THE ATTORNEY TOLD HIM THAT IT WAS HIS.

15 Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE THOMPSON MACHINE GUN?

16 A. THE ATTORNEY GIVES TO IT DON RUBEN, AND DON RUBEN GIVES IT
17 TO HIS ASSISTANT FOR HIM TO PUT IT IN THE CAR.

18 Q. DO YOU RECALL THE NAME OF ZUNO'S ASSISTANT, MR. CERVANTES?

19 A. HE IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS DAVID MACIAS.

20 MR. MEDRANO: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO GO INTO A
21 DIFFERENT SUBJECT AREA. I CAN PROCEED AT THIS TIME OR CAN WE
22 RECESS? WHATEVER YOU'D LIKE.

23 THE COURT: IT'S ALMOST TIME TO ADJOURN, SO WE CAN
24 ADJOURN AT THIS TIME. WE'LL RECONVENE THIS CASE TOMORROW
25 MORNING AT 9:30.

1 GOOD EVENING.

2 (JURY EXCUSED.)

3 THE COURT: THE WITNESS IS EXCUSED.

4 (WITNESS EXCUSED.)

5 THE COURT: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

6 THE COURT: COUNSEL, WHEN YOU WISH TO TAKE UP
7 SOMETHING WITH THE COURT, INDICATE THAT TO THE CLERK IN A
8 DISCREET WAY AND SHE WILL NOTIFY ME.

9 NOW, DO YOU WISH TO TAKE UP SOMETHING WITH THE COURT?

10 MR. STOLAR: YES. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT, AND I'D
11 LIKE THE COURT TO BE ADVISED, THAT OF THE 3500 MATERIAL THAT WE
12 HAVE RECEIVED ON THIS WITNESS, THE VERY FIRST D.E.A. 6 REPORT
13 OF THE INVESTIGATION ON HIM DEALING WITH A NOVEMBER 30, 1989
14 DEBRIEFING OF THE WITNESS.

15 THE FIRST GRAND JURY TESTIMONY THAT WE HAVE IS
16 NOVEMBER 30, 1989. THEN THERE IS ONLY ONE OTHER 6 ON THE
17 WITNESS AND THAT DEALS WITH A DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS ON
18 JANUARY 2, 1990.

19 HE THEN TESTIFIES TO THE GRAND JURY ON JANUARY 17,
20 1990 AND JANUARY 24, 1990. THE SUBJECT MATTERS OF EACH OF
21 THESE ITEMS ARE -- AT LEAST OF THE LAST GRAND JURY, IS SO
22 DIFFERENT FROM ANYTHING ELSE THAT IS DISCUSSED IN HERE THAT
23 THERE MUST BE SOME OTHER REPORTS OR DEBRIEFINGS.

24 PLUS, THAT THIS WITNESS COMES UP AND IS DEBRIEFED AND
25 PUT INTO THE GRAND JURY ON THE SAME DAY STRIKES ME AS SOMEWHAT

1 UNUSUAL. AND THERE MUST BE SOME PRIOR DISCUSSIONS WITH THIS
2 WITNESS THAT WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED.

3 AND JUST THE PAUCITY OF MATERIAL ON THE MAN, GIVEN
4 THE NATURE OF THE TESTIMONY AND THE TESTIMONY HE'S ABOUT TO
5 OFFER -- HE'S GOING TO BASICALLY IMPLICATE EVERYBODY IN THE
6 ROOM -- TELLS ME THERE MUST BE SOME OTHER MATERIAL THAT IN THE
7 ORDINARY COURSE OF EVENTS HAD TO BE DONE BY THIS WITNESS.

8 MR. MEDRANO: ALL EXISTING JENKS MATERIAL HAS BEEN
9 TURNED OVER. EVERYTHING THAT I HAVE, THEY HAVE NOW.

10 THERE IS NO OTHER JENKS MATERIAL AT ALL, YOUR HONOR.
11 SO THERE IS NOTHING ELSE TO TURN OVER.

12 MR. MEDVENE: IF THE COURT PLEASE, MAY I ADDRESS YOU
13 FOR A MOMENT?

14 THE COURT: YES.

15 MR. MEDVENE: SO WE DON'T GET HUNG UP ON THE WORD
16 "JENKS" OR ANY OTHER WORD, I THINK THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THEY
17 HAVE AND ARE WE ENTITLED TO IT.

18 MR. MEDRANO: THERE HAS BEEN NO REDACTIONS.

19 MR. MEDVENE: EXCUSE ME, MR. MEDRANO.

20 THE FACTS ESTABLISHED AT THE KASTIGAR HEARING WERE
21 THAT MR. BERRELLEZ AND MR. SALAZAR, TWO D.E.A. AGENTS,
22 QUESTIONED THIS MAN ON OR ABOUT NOVEMBER 24TH FOR A PERIOD OF
23 TIME.

24 IT'S THEN UNCLEAR WHETHER HE ALSO SPOKE TO THE U.S.
25 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. HE THEN CAME BACK ON OR ABOUT DECEMBER 30.

1 THE TESTIMONY IS HE WAS AGAIN SPOKEN TO BY THE D.E.A. AGENTS
2 TAKEN TO MR. MEDRANO AND PLACED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY.

3 I GUESS THE INITIAL ISSUE IS THE ONLY D.E.A. REPORT
4 WE HAVE UP TO THE NOVEMBER 30 GRAND JURY IS A NOVEMBER 30
5 D.E.A.

6 IS IT THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION THAT THE AGENTS WROTE
7 DOWN NOTHING WHEN THEY FIRST SPOKE TO THIS MAN ON OR ABOUT
8 NOVEMBER 24TH? IF WE COULD CLARIFY THAT -- IF THEIR POSITION
9 IS THEY WROTE SOMETHING BUT WE'RE NOT ENTITLED TO IT, AT LEAST
10 WE COULD FOCUS ON IT AND YOUR HONOR COULD LOOK AT IT AND WE
11 COULD FREEZE IT.

12 SO I WOULD ASK YOUR HONOR TO ADDRESS THE GOVERNMENT.
13 IS THERE ANYTHING WRITTEN ON OR ABOUT NOVEMBER 24 AND IS THERE
14 ANYTHING ELSE ON NOVEMBER 30?

15 MR. MEDRANO: I CAN ADDRESS THAT, YOUR HONOR. TO MY
16 KNOWLEDGE, THERE IS NONE, BUT WHEN WE RETIRE THIS EVENING I'LL
17 JUST CONFIRM THAT WITHIN OUR FILES. BUT THERE IS NO OTHER
18 MATERIALS, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, AND EVERYTHING HAS GONE OVER TO THE
19 DEFENSE AS THE JENKS MATERIAL.

20 THERE IS NOTHING ELSE, YOUR HONOR, BUT I'LL FIRM IT
21 UP TONIGHT. IF INADVERTENTLY ANYTHING WAS LEFT OUT, WE WOULD
22 IMMEDIATELY GIVE THAT TO THE DEFENSE, YOUR HONOR, BUT IT IS MY
23 UNDERSTANDING EVERYTHING ELSE HAS GONE OVER. WE ARE NOT
24 HOLDING ANYTHING BACK.

25 MR. MEDVENE: IS THE GOVERNMENT REPRESENTING TO YOUR

1 HONOR THAT THE AGENTS THAT SAW HIM NOVEMBER 24TH OR 25TH TOOK
2 NO NOTES OF ANY KIND?

3 AND IS THE GOVERNMENT REPRESENTING THAT WHEN THE MAN
4 CAME BACK A WEEK LATER, THE AGENTS TOOK NO NOTES OF ANY KIND.
5 I'M NOT ADDRESSING NOW THE ISSUE OF WHETHER WE SHOULD GET THEM,
6 BUT WHAT IS THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION?

7 MR. MEDRANO: I'M NOT EVEN SURE ANY NOTES EXISTED,
8 AND THAT THE ONLY THING GENERATED WAS THE 6'S, WHICH COUNSEL
9 NOW HAS.

10 THE COURT: AT THE PRESENT TIME, YOU DO NOT KNOW
11 WHETHER NOTES WERE TAKEN OR NOT DURING THESE INTERVIEWS?

12 MR. MEDRANO: MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

13 THE COURT: YES.

14 MR. MEDRANO: I'M ADVISED BY CASE AGENT BERRELLEZ,
15 YOUR HONOR, THAT NO HANDWRITTEN NOTES WERE KEPT OR GENERATED.

16 THE COURT: NO REPORTS WERE MADE OF THE INTERVIEW?

17 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR, AND DEFENSE COUNSEL
18 HAS THE REPORTS.

19 THE COURT: OTHER THAN NOTES?

20 MR. MEDRANO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

21 MR. MEDVENE: MY UNDERSTANDING -- WE'LL HAVE IT FOR
22 YOUR HONOR IN THE MORNING -- IS THAT KASTIGAR, I BELIEVE -- I
23 DON'T WANT TO MISSTATE -- BUT THAT MR. BERRELLEZ SAID THAT
24 NOTES WERE TAKEN I BELIEVE BY MR. SALAZAR.

25 BUT MAYBE BOTH COUNSEL CAN LOOK AT THE KASTIGAR, BUT

1 I BELIEVE HE SAID NOTES WERE TAKEN.

2 THE COURT: IS THERE A TRANSCRIPT OF THAT?

3 MR. MEDVENE: YES, SIR.

4 THE COURT: I SUGGEST YOU REVIEW THAT.

5 MR. MEDRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'LL CHECK IT
6 TONIGHT. IF ANYTHING WAS IN INADVERTENTLY OMITTED, WE'LL
7 IMMEDIATELY GIVE IT TO THEM.

8 BUT IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT EVERYTHING WAS GIVEN
9 TO THEM, BUT WE'LL CHECK IT TONIGHT JUST TO MAKE SURE.

10 MR. STOLAR: IN THE GIGLIO MATERIAL WE GOT, ALL WE
11 GOT WAS SOME INFORMANT PAYMENT RECORDS. WITH OTHER WITNESSES
12 OF THIS NATURE, THERE HAS BEEN INDICATIONS THAT THE WITNESS HAS
13 BEEN BASICALLY MOVED TO THE U.S., HE HAS BEEN PROMISED HE WON'T
14 BE DEPORTED, HE HAS BEEN GIVEN IMMUNITY, OR HE HAS SOME
15 CRIMINAL PROBLEMS IN MEXICO.

16 I DON'T SEE ANY OF THAT WITH RESPECT TO THIS WITNESS.

17 MR. MEDRANO: HE HAS THE GIGLIO, YOUR HONOR. THE
18 C.I. PAYMENT SHEETS HE HAS. THERE IS NO FORMAL PLEA AGREEMENT,
19 THERE IS NO IMMUNITY AGREEMENT.

20 WHAT I HAVE, HE HAS NOW.

21 MR. STOLAR: ARE YOU KEEPING HIM IN THE UNITED STATES
22 TO PROTECT HIM?

23 MR. MEDRANO: THIS CAN BE ELICITED ON
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION. HE WAS RELOCATED AND THAT MONEY IS
25 INDICATED ON THE PAYMENT SHEETS, WHICH MR. STOLAR HAS.

1 MR. STOLAR: WITH OTHER WITNESSES, THERE HAVE BEEN
2 INDICATIONS -- WITNESSES WHO ARE MEXICAN CITIZENS -- THAT THEIR
3 STATUS HERE AS IMMIGRANTS IS BEING TAKEN CARE OF BY THE
4 GOVERNMENT, THAT THEY'RE NOT BEING DEPORTED.

5 THE COURT: IS THAT THE CASE WITH THIS WITNESS?

6 MR. MEDRANO: HE IS HERE ON A TEMPORARY LEGAL BASIS,
7 YOUR HONOR. AND -- WELL, I'LL NEED TO CONFIRM, BUT THERE IS NO
8 DOCUMENTATION REFLECTING ANY AGREEMENT WITH THE WITNESS. THERE
9 IS NOTHING TO TURN OVER; I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO TURN OVER,
10 YOUR HONOR.

11 MR. STOLAR: WE WERE GIVEN SUMMARIES, DISCUSSIONS OF
12 GIGLIO ON SOME OF THE WITNESSES.

13 THE COURT: IS HE SUBJECT TO DEPORTATION IF THE
14 I.N.S. FINDS OUT HE'S HERE?

15 MR. MEDRANO: MAYBE I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND YOUR
16 QUESTION. NOW, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT HE'LL BE ALLOWED TO
17 STAY IN THE UNITED STATES, AND THAT WAS COMING OUT AS PART OF
18 THE GOVERNMENT'S DIRECT. BUT THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION
19 ALLUDING TO THAT, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING.

20 MR. STOLAR: ON OTHER WITNESSES, WE RECEIVED
21 STATEMENTS TO THAT EFFECT.

22 THE COURT: YOU INDICATED THAT WITH OTHER WITNESSES
23 BUT NOT WITH RESPECT TO THIS WITNESS?

24 MR. STOLAR: RIGHT.

25 MR. MEDRANO: IF THAT HAPPENED, YOUR HONOR, THEN IT

1 WAS AN OVERSIGHT AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. BUT THERE IS
2 NOTHING WRITTEN, IS WHAT I'M TRYING TO TELL YOU.

3 THE COURT: IF HE HAS BEEN ASSURED THAT HE CAN REMAIN
4 IN THE UNITED STATES, THAT SHOULD BE TOLD TO COUNSEL AS PART OF
5 THE GIGLIO MATERIAL.

6 MR. MEDRANO: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR. I UNDERSTAND.
7 THANK YOU.

8 MR. STOLAR: CONSIDER IT TOLD.

9 MR. NICOLAYSEN: YOUR HONOR, ON THE NARROW
10 COMMUNICATIONS BRIEFING THAT WE'LL BE SUBMITTING TO THE COURT,
11 IS IT NECESSARY TO HAVE THAT BEFORE THE COURT TOMORROW? I WAS
12 HOPING WE MIGHT HAVE UNTIL FRIDAY.

13 THERE IS A GREAT DEAL OF OTHER PREPARATORY WORK THAT
14 I HAVE FOR TOMORROW'S WITNESS, AND I WANTED TO CHECK WITH THE
15 COURT ON THAT.

16 THE COURT: FRIDAY IS FINE.

17 MR. NICOLAYSEN: THANK YOU.

18 MR. MEDRANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

19 THE CLERK: PLEASE RISE. THIS COURT IS NOW IN
20 RECESS.

21 (COURT STANDS IN RECESS UNTIL THURSDAY, MAY 24, 1990
22 AT 9:30 A.M.)

23 ----0----

24

25

| | | | PG | LN |
|--|--|--|-----|----|
| (EXHIBIT # 47 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) | | | 55 | 14 |
| (EXHIBIT 49-B AND C # RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) BY | | | 69 | 5 |
| (EXHIBIT B # MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) | | | 77 | 2 |
| (EXHIBIT 45 # RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) | | | 104 | 18 |
| (EXHIBIT # 41 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) | | | 171 | 24 |
| (EXHIBITS 51-A & -B # RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) BY | | | 172 | 14 |
| (EXHIBIT # 55 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) | | | 178 | 9 |
| LOS ANGELES + CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, | | | 4 | 1 |
| MAY 23, 1990 + 9:30 A.M. | | | 4 | 2 |
| CESARIO GARCIA BUENO + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, | | | 19 | 3 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. CARLTON: Q. | | | 19 | 4 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MR. STOLAR: Q. | | | 21 | 24 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEZA: Q. MR. | | | 24 | 14 |
| THOMAS GOMEZ + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, SWORN | | | 25 | 20 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. CARLTON: Q. | | | 26 | 1 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MR. STOLAR: Q. | | | 35 | 10 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MR. NICOLAYSEN: Q. | | | 37 | 23 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MS. KELLY: Q. | | | 38 | 9 |
| REDIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. CARLTON: Q. | | | 43 | 22 |
| RADELAT, M.D., + PLAINTIFFS WITNESS, SWORN | | | 44 | 16 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEDRANO: Q. | | | 44 | 24 |
| CROSS-EXAMINATION + BY MR. NICOLAYSEN: Q | | | 72 | 23 |
| MARY EVELYN WALKER + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN | | | 79 | 9 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEDRANO: Q | | | 79 | 14 |
| LOS ANGELES + CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, MAY | | | 92 | 1 |
| MAY 23, 1990 + 1:30 P.M. (JURY | | | 92 | 2 |
| MARY EVELYN WALKER + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, | | | 95 | 5 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + (RESUMED) BY MR. MEDRANO: | | | 95 | 7 |
| ESTELLA FUENTES ORTIZ + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN | | | 109 | 14 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEDRANO: Q | | | 109 | 22 |
| PLASCENCIA AGUILAR + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN. | | | 117 | 18 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEDRANO: Q | | | 118 | 11 |
| CERVANTEZ SANTOS + PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN | | | 167 | 3 |
| DIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. MEDRANO: Q. | | | 167 | 21 |
| WHICH IS GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 15. DO | | | 28 | 22 |
| AS GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 46. DO | | | 46 | 10 |
| LOOK AT GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 47, DR. RADELAT. | | | 55 | 7 |
| (EXHIBIT # 47 RECEIVED IN | | | 55 | 14 |
| TO LOOK AT GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 48-C, WHICH IS IN | | | 67 | 13 |
| YELLOW TAG HAS THE EXHIBIT NUMBER. | | | 67 | 25 |
| (EXHIBIT 49-B AND C # RECEIVED | | | 69 | 5 |
| WOULD MARK AS DEFENSE EXHIBIT A. THE | | | 76 | 18 |
| B. (EXHIBIT B # MARKED FOR | | | 77 | 2 |
| THE COURT: (EXAMINES EXHIBIT .) ALL RIGHT. | | | 77 | 3 |

INDEX: U.S.A. V. MATTA BALLESTEROS 6-198

| | | | PG | LN |
|-----------------------|---|---------|-----|----|
| RIGHT. | (| EXHIBIT | 77 | 4 |
| MARKED AS DEFENSE | | EXHIBIT | 77 | 7 |
| | (| EXHIBIT | 104 | 18 |
| OF THE WITNESS THE | | EXHIBIT | 118 | 3 |
| LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S | | EXHIBIT | 123 | 4 |
| HAVE YOU FOUND | | EXHIBIT | 123 | 7 |
| LOOK AT GOVERNMENT'S | | EXHIBIT | 132 | 18 |
| THAT PERSON IN | | EXHIBIT | 133 | 12 |
| AND MARK IT AS AN | | EXHIBIT | 156 | 14 |
| TO MARK THIS NEXT | | EXHIBIT | 159 | 19 |
| LIKE TO LOOK AT THE | | EXHIBIT | 171 | 5 |
| PULL OUT GOVERNMENT | | EXHIBIT | 171 | 7 |
| ME WHAT GOVERNMENT | | EXHIBIT | 171 | 16 |
| THE ADMISSION OF THIS | | EXHIBIT | 171 | 21 |
| | (| EXHIBIT | 171 | 24 |
| ASK YOU TO LOOK TO | | EXHIBIT | 172 | 5 |
| | (| EXHIBIT | 172 | 14 |
| YOU TO LOOK AT THE | | EXHIBIT | 177 | 18 |
| OF YOU, GOVERNMENT | | EXHIBIT | 177 | 19 |
| HAVE YOU FOUND THAT | | EXHIBIT | 177 | 20 |
| WE SEEK ADMISSION OF | | EXHIBIT | 178 | 4 |
| RIGHT. | (| EXHIBIT | 178 | 9 |

DECLARATION

1 I, THE UNDERSIGNED, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
2
3 FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION FROM THE RECORD OF
4
5 PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
6

7
8 *Julie A. Churchill*
9 JULIE A. CHURCHILL, CSR
10

DATED: June 2, 1990

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

DATED: June 2, 1990