

6331

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FEDERAL GRAND JURY

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PROCEEDINGS HAD BEFORE THE GRAND JURY
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, IN AND
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
AT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTHOUSE,
312 NORTH SPRING STREET, 13TH FLOOR,
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, ON WEDNESDAY,
OCTOBER 11, 1989.

PRESENT:

MANUEL MEDRANO
JOHN CARLTON
JIMMY GURULE
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS

WITNESS: HECTOR BERRELLEZ

REPORTED BY:

MARK SCHWEITZER

32

6332

1 WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1989; LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

2

3

11:33 A.M.

4

5

-000-

6

7

8 THE FOREPERSON: WOULD YOU RAISE YOUR RIGHT
9 HAND.

10 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY
11 AND EVIDENCE THAT YOU SHALL GIVE IN THE PROCEEDINGS NOW
12 BEFORE THIS FEDERAL GRAND JURY SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE
13 WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD?

11

14 THE WITNESS: I DO.

15 THE FOREPERSON: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE
16 GRAND JURY.

17 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS HECTOR BERRELLEZ.

18 THE FOREPERSON: WHAT AGENCY ARE YOU WITH, SIR?

19 THE WITNESS: I AM A SUPERVISOR WITH THE US
20 DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION.

21 MR. MEDRANO: MR. BERRELLEZ, IF I COULD ASK YOU
22 TO ADJUST THE MICROPHONE.

23 THE WITNESS: IS THAT OKAY?

24 MR. MEDRANO: FINE.

25 / / /

6333

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HECTOR BERRELLEZ,

HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS
EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

EXAMINATION

MR. MEDRANO: MR. BERRELLEZ, YOU ARE CURRENTLY
ASSIGNED TO A SPECIFIC INVESTIGATIVE GROUP, ARE YOU NOT,
SIR?

THE WITNESS: YES, I AM ASSIGNED TO THE
OPERATION LEYENDA TASK FORCE, WHICH HAS BEEN TASKED WITH
INVESTIGATING THE MURDER OF SPECIAL AGENT ENRIQUE
CAMARENA.

MR. MEDRANO: AND ARE YOU THE SUPERVISOR
CURRENTLY THIS GROUP?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, I AM.

MR. MEDRANO: AND JUST FOR OUR INFORMATION,
LEYENDA IS A WORD IN SPANISH, IS IT NOT?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

MR. MEDRANO: AND TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH, WHAT
DOES IT MEAN?

THE WITNESS: IT WOULD TRANSLATE TO THE NOVEL,
THE STORY.

1 MR. MEDRANO: NOW, AGENT BERRELLEZ, DO YOU KNOW
2 A WOMAN BY THE NAME OF VIRGINIA REYNOSO-MARTINEZ?

3 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, I DO.

4 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO AT THIS
5 POINT IS TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT ANYTHING THAT
6 MS. REYNOSO HAS SHARED WITH YOU. AND IN ADDITION, I
7 UNDERSTAND THAT YOU'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW A
8 GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPT OF MS. REYNOSO'S BEFORE THIS GRAND
9 JURY ON OR ABOUT MAY 10, 1989, IS THAT CORRECT?

10 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

11 MR. MEDRANO: SO ON THE BASIS OF BOTH YOUR
12 REVIEW OF THE GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPT AND YOUR OWN PERSONAL
13 INTERVIEWS OF MS. REYNOSO, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU A FEW
14 QUESTIONS NOW; ALL RIGHT?

15 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

16 MR. MEDRANO: IN 1984 AND 1985, WHAT WAS THE
17 JOB OR OCCUPATION OF MS. REYNOSO?

18 THE WITNESS: MS. REYNOSO WAS A SECRETARY FOR
19 THE MEXICAN FEDERAL JUDICIAL POLICE AT THE GUADALAJARA,
20 JALISCO, MEXICO OFFICE.

21 MR. MEDRANO: AND THIS WAS PARTICULARLY DURING
22 1984 AND 1985?

23 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

24 MR. MEDRANO: AND DURING THAT TIME, SHE, IN
25 FACT, KNEW SPECIAL AGENT ENRIQUE CAMARENA, DID SHE NOT?

1 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE
2 HAD MET HIM.

3 MR. MEDRANO: BECAUSE CAMARENA WOULD GO VISIT
4 THE MFJP OFFICE IN GUADALAJARA?

5 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT HE WOULD
6 GO OVER THERE ON INVESTIGATIONS AND SO FORTH, AS LIAISON
7 WITH THE MEXICAN FEDERAL JUDICIAL POLICE.

8 MR. MEDRANO: AND WAS A MAN BY THE NAME OF JUAN
9 GILBERTO HERNANDEZ-PARRA ALSO WORKING, IN OCTOBER OF '84,
10 OUT OF THE GUADALAJARA MFJP OFFICE?

11 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT DURING
12 THAT PERIOD OF TIME THERE WAS AN MFJP AGENT BY THE NAME OF
13 JUAN GILBERTO PARRA EMPLOYED AS AN AGENT THERE WITH THAT
14 CORPORATION.

15 MR. MEDRANO: WITH WHAT? I'M SORRY?

16 THE WITNESS: WITH THAT AGENCY, WITH THE MFJP.

17 MR. MEDRANO: AND YOU SAID
18 JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ-PARRA?

19 THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME. I MEANT
20 HERNANDEZ-PARRA, YES.

21 MR. MEDRANO: WERE YOU EVER ADVISED BY
22 MS. REYNOSO WHETHER SHE EVER SAW GILBERTO HERNANDEZ HANDLE
23 LARGE SUMS OF CASH?

24 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT EVERY TWO
25 WEEKS JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ WOULD COME TO THE MFJP

1 OFFICE WITH LARGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY WHICH HE WOULD
2 DISTRIBUTE TO MFJP AGENTS STATIONED THERE.

3 MR. MEDRANO: AND WAS THAT FACT VERY UNUSUAL,
4 IN AND OF ITSELF?

5 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT IT WAS
6 VERY UNUSUAL, DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE AGENTS NORMALLY
7 WERE PAID OUT OF MEXICO CITY, AND RECEIVED THEIR PAYMENTS
8 VIA MEXICO CITY WHEN THEY WERE PAID BY THEIR AGENCY.

9 MR. MEDRANO: WAS THERE ANY REFERENCE BY MS.
10 REYNOSO ABOUT THE PAYMENT OF OFFICE EXPENSES?

11 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT IT WAS
12 PECULIAR, IN THAT JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ WOULD ALSO PAY
13 THE OFFICE EXPENSES, TELEPHONE BILLS, ELECTRICITY, AND SO
14 FORTH.

15 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHY WAS THAT PECULIAR IF YOU
16 RECALL?

17 THE WITNESS: BECAUSE THAT IS ALSO NORMALLY
18 HANDLED BY THE -- BY THE AGENCY THROUGH THE COMMANDER
19 THERE, THE COMANDANTE.

20 MR. MEDRANO: WERE YOU EVER ADVISED BY
21 MS. REYNOSO WHETHER SHE KNEW WHERE JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ
22 RESIDED IN 1984?

23 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT
24 JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ RESIDED WITHIN THE LAS AMERICAS
25 HOTEL, THAT HE MAINTAINED A ROOM THERE.

12

1 MR. MEDRANO: AND DID SHE NOT, IN FACT, HAVE AN
2 OPPORTUNITY TO DELIVER DOCUMENTS TO GILBERTO HERNANDEZ AT
3 HIS HOTEL ROOM?

4 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THAT ON ONE
5 OCCASION, JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ HAD BEEN SUBPOENAED TO A
6 JUDICIAL PROCEEDING THERE IN GUADALAJARA. AND THAT UNDER
7 THE COMANDANTE'S INSTRUCTIONS, SHE HAD GONE WITH THE
8 DOCUMENTATION TO THAT HOTEL TO DELIVER THOSE PAPERS TO
9 JUAN GILBERTO HERNANDEZ.

10 MR. MEDRANO: DID SHE DISCLOSE TO YOU THE NAME
11 OF THE HOTEL?

12 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME THE NAME OF THE
13 HOTEL WAS THE LAS AMERICAS MOTOR INN, IN GUADALAJARA.

14 MR. MEDRANO: LET ME SHIFT GEARS NOW,
15 MR. BERRELLEZ, AND ASK YOU IF YOU'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO
16 REVIEW A GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPT FOR A WITNESS BY THE NAME
17 OF MARY EVELYN WALKER.

18 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, I DID.

19 MR. MEDRANO: AND I BELIEVE SHE GAVE HER
20 TESTIMONY IN APRIL OF 1989, THIS YEAR?

21 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I REMEMBER WHEN SHE
22 WAS HERE.

23 MR. MEDRANO: NOW, SIR, ON THE BASIS OF YOUR
24 REVIEW OF THAT TRANSCRIPT, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU A FEW
25 QUESTIONS.

1 DID SHE EVER INDICATE OR TESTIFY THAT
2 SHE WAS ADVISED THAT HER HUSBAND, JOHN WALKER, WAS MISSING
3 IN GUADALAJARA, MEXICO?

4 THE WITNESS: YES. SHE TOLD ME SHE HAD
5 RECEIVED A CALL FROM THE CONSULATE OFFICE IN GUADALAJARA
6 INFORMING HER THAT HER HUSBAND, JOHN WALKER, HAD BEEN
7 REPORTED MISSING.

8 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT SHE TOLD
9 YOU, ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU READ THE TRANSCRIPT, OR IS
10 THIS WHAT SHE TOLD YOU IN PERSON?

11 THE WITNESS: WELL, I TALKED TO HER IN PERSON,
12 BUT I'M TESTIFYING AS TO WHAT SHE TOLD THE GRAND JURY, OFF
13 OF THE TRANSCRIPT.

14 MR. MEDRANO: OKAY. SO DID SHE INDICATE
15 WHETHER SHE WAS NOTIFIED THAT HER HUSBAND WAS MISSING?

16 THE WITNESS: YES, SHE WAS.

17 MR. MEDRANO: WAS SHE ADVISED THAT HER HUSBAND
18 WAS MISSING IN FEBRUARY OF 1985?

19 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

20 MR. MEDRANO: WHAT DID SHE DO IN RESPONSE TO
21 LEARNING THAT INFORMATION?

22 THE WITNESS: IN RESPONSE TO LEARNING THAT
23 INFORMATION, SHE TRAVELED TO GUADALAJARA TO INVESTIGATE
24 THE FACT THAT HER HUSBAND WAS MISSING.

25 MR. MEDRANO: AND SHE, IN FACT, SPENT SEVERAL

1 MONTHS DOWN IN GUADALAJARA, DID SHE NOT?

2 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE DID.

3 MR. MEDRANO: AND DID SHE SPEAK TO MEXICAN
4 OFFICIALS ABOUT HER MISSING HUSBAND?

5 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE DID.

6 MR. MEDRANO: DID SHE SPEAK TO US OFFICIALS IN
7 GUADALAJARA ABOUT HER MISSING HUSBAND?

8 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE DID.

9 MR. MEDRANO: DID SHE TESTIFY, MR. BERRELLEZ,
10 ABOUT EVENTS OCCURRING ON JUNE 17TH, 1985?

11 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE DID.

12 MR. MEDRANO: AND IF YOU RECALL, JUST BRIEFLY
13 WHAT DID SHE TESTIFY TO AT THAT TIME?

14 THE WITNESS: SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE HAD BEEN
15 SUMMONED TO GUADALAJARA TO REVIEW THE REMAINS OF A COUPLE
16 OF PEOPLE THAT HAD BEEN FOUND, AND THAT SHE HAD GONE DOWN
17 TO A MORGUE WHERE SHE REVIEWED TWO BODIES.

18 MR. MEDRANO: AND WAS THIS A MORGUE IN THE CITY
19 OF GUADALAJARA?

20 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, IT WAS A MORGUE WITHIN
21 THE CITY OF GUADALAJARA.

22 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHEN SHE WENT TO THE MORGUE,
23 WAS THIS ON JUNE 17, 1985?

24 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

25 MR. MEDRANO: AND WAS SHE ACCOMPANIED BY

1 DR. FELIPE RADELAT AND HIS WIFE, ANNA?

2 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE WAS. THEY HAD ALL
3 TRAVELED TO GUADALAJARA FROM MEXICO CITY, ALL THREE.

4 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHAT WAS THEIR PURPOSE IN
5 GOING TO THE MORGUE ON THIS DATE?

6 THE WITNESS: THEY HAD GONE TO THE MORGUE TO
7 IDENTIFY TWO BODIES THAT HAD BEEN FOUND.

8 MR. MEDRANO: NOW, WAS EVELYN WALKER ABLE TO
9 IDENTIFY THE REMAINS OF ONE OF THE BODIES AT THE
10 GUADALAJARA MORGUE?

11 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, SHE WAS.

12 MR. MEDRANO: WHAT DID SHE TESTIFY TO, SIR?

13 THE WITNESS: SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE HAD
14 IDENTIFIED ONE OF THE BODIES TO BE THAT OF HER HUSBAND,
15 JOHN WALKER. AND SHE TESTIFIED AS TO HOW SHE IDENTIFIED
16 THE BODY.

17 MR. MEDRANO: AND IN WHAT FASHION WAS SHE ABLE
18 TO IDENTIFY THE REMAINS OF HER HUSBAND, JOHN WALKER?

19 THE WITNESS: SHE TESTIFIED THAT ONE OF THE
20 THINGS THAT SHE NOTICED ON THE BODY WAS A LEG WOUND. HER
21 HUSBAND WHILE IN VIETNAM HAD STEPPED ON A LAND MINE AND
22 HAD BEEN WOUNDED, AND SHE RECOGNIZED THAT SAME WOUND ON
23 THE ONE BODY. SHE STATED THAT PART OF THE CALF WAS
24 MISSING, AND THAT HER HUSBAND HAD, IN FACT, HAD PART OF
25 HIS CALF MISSING.

1 SHE ALSO IDENTIFIED THE BODY THROUGH A
2 TENNIS SHOE THAT WAS SHOWN TO HER. HER HUSBAND, DUE TO
3 THE LEG INJURY, LIMPED AND SWAYED HIS FOOT IN A CERTAIN
4 MANNER WHICH CAUSED THE SHOE, THE SOLE OF THE SHOE, TO
5 WEAR IN A CERTAIN FASHION. AND SHE NOTICED THAT THAT
6 TENNIS SHOE SHE WAS SHOWN WAS WORN AS SUCH.

13

7 SHE ALSO IDENTIFIED HIM THROUGH THE
8 COLORING OF ONE EYE THAT WAS ON THE BODY.

9 MR. MEDRANO: AND HOW ABOUT THE CONDITION OR
10 THE TYPE OF TEETH THAT THE REMAINS OF JOHN WALKER HAD?

11 THE WITNESS: SHE STATED THAT HER HUSBAND,
12 JOHN WALKER, HAD HAD ALMOST PERFECT WHITE TEETH. AND SHE
13 STATED THAT THE TEETH ON THAT ONE BODY WERE IDENTICAL TO
14 HER HUSBAND'S; THEY WERE VERY WHITE AND PERFECT.

15 MR. MEDRANO: NOW, LET ME DIRECT YOUR
16 ATTENTION, IF I MAY, TO DR. FELIPE RADELAT. AND YOU'VE
17 HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW, HAVE YOU NOT, THE TESTIMONY OF
18 DR. FELIPE RADELAT BEFORE THE GRAND JURY, WHICH OCCURRED
19 IN THIS MONTH OF 1989?

20 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, I DID.

21 MR. MEDRANO: LET ME ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS
22 ABOUT YOUR REVIEW OF THAT GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPT.

23 DID DR. FELIPE RADELAT AT ANY POINT GO
24 TO AN AIRPORT TO AWAIT THE ARRIVAL OF HIS SON,
25 ALBERTO RADELAT?

1 THE WITNESS: YES. HE WENT TO THE AIRPORT ON
2 FEBRUARY 1ST, AS HE HAD RECEIVED A CALL PREVIOUSLY FROM
3 ALBERT RADELAT. HE WENT THERE TO RECEIVE HIS SON, WHO WAS
4 TRAVELING FROM GUADALAJARA TO ST. PAUL. AND WHEN THE
5 FLIGHT ARRIVED HIS SON WAS SUPPOSED TO BE ON IT, AND HE
6 WAS NOT ON BOARD. HE DID NOT SHOW UP ON THAT FLIGHT. IT
7 WAS A MEXICANA FLIGHT.

8 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHEN YOU SAID THE FLIGHT WAS
9 FROM GUADALAJARA, YOU SAID TO ST. PAUL; DID YOU MEAN TO
10 FT. WORTH?

11 THE WITNESS: YES, I'M SORRY. FT. WORTH.

12 MR. MEDRANO: AND WHEN THE FLIGHT ARRIVED, WAS
13 THE FLIGHT MET BY DR. FELIPE RADELAT?

14 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, IT WAS.

15 MR. MEDRANO: AND WAS ALBERTO RADELAT ON THAT
16 FLIGHT?

17 THE WITNESS: NO, HE WAS AS NOT.

18 MR. MEDRANO: AND LATER ON THAT SAME MONTH,
19 DOES DR. RADELAT GO TO GUADALAJARA?

20 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

21 MR. MEDRANO: FOR WHAT PURPOSE?

22 THE WITNESS: TO LOOK FOR HIS MISSING SON.

23 MR. MEDRANO: AND PURSUANT TO THAT, DOES HE
24 MEET WITH MEXICAN OFFICIALS THERE?

25 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, HE DOES.

1 MR. MEDRANO: WITH US GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IN
2 GUADALAJARA?

3 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, HE DOES.

4 MR. MEDRANO: DOES HE EVER HAVE AN OCCASION TO
5 VISIT ANY OF THE JAILS THERE IN AN EFFORT TO LOCATE HIS
6 SON?

7 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, HE DOES.

8 MR. MEDRANO: NOW LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION
9 AGAIN TO JUNE 17, 1985.

10 DID DR. FELIPE RADELAT DESCRIBE ANY
11 EVENTS OCCURRING ON THAT DATE IN HIS GRAND JURY TESTIMONY?

12 THE WITNESS: YES. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD
13 ALSO GONE TO A MORGUE IN GUADALAJARA WITH HIS WIFE AND
14 ALSO EVE WALKER, AS THEY HAD FOUND TWO BODIES AND THEY
15 WERE THERE TO IDENTIFY -- SEE IF THEY COULD IDENTIFY THESE
16 BODIES.

17 MR. MEDRANO: AND IS DR. RADELAT ABLE TO
18 IDENTIFY THE REMAINS OF ONE OF THE BODIES THAT IS AT THIS
19 MORGUE IN GUADALAJARA?

20 THE WITNESS: YES. HE WAS ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE
21 BODY OF HIS SON, ALBERT RADELAT.

22 MR. MEDRANO: AND IN WHAT FASHION WAS HE ABLE
23 TO DO SO?

24 THE WITNESS: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HE NOTICED
25 ON THE BODY WAS A BROKEN WRIST. HE KNEW THAT HIS SON HAD

1 SUFFERED A BROKEN WRIST WHILE YOUNG. ANOTHER THING THAT
2 HE IDENTIFIED WAS THE FACT THAT THE BODY HAD WIRES THROUGH
3 THE JAW, INDICATING THAT IT HAD BEEN BROKEN AT SOME TIME.
4 HIS SON HAD SUFFERED A BROKEN JAW ALSO IN HIS YOUNGER
5 DAYS. DR. RADELAT CARRIED WITH HIM DENTAL RECORDS OF HIS
6 SON, AND THROUGH THOSE DENTAL RECORDS, HE WAS ALSO ABLE TO
7 IDENTIFY THE BODY HE WAS SHOWN THERE AS THAT OF HIS SON.

8 HE WAS ALSO SHOWN CLOTHING THAT HAD BEEN
9 REMOVED FROM THE BODY, FROM THAT PARTICULAR BODY, WHICH HE
10 HAD IDENTIFIED AS HIS SON'S. AND THE SHIRT THAT HE WAS
11 SHOWN WAS A NEIMAN MARCUS SHIRT, THE PLAID TYPE. AND HE
12 IDENTIFIED THAT SHIRT AS BEING A SHIRT THAT HIS SON HAD
13 RECEIVED AS A CHRISTMAS GIFT A YEAR EARLIER.

14 HE ALSO IDENTIFIED A PAIR OF BOOTS,
15 WESTERN BOOTS. THEY WERE SHARKSKIN, AS A MATTER OF FACT.
16 AND HE KNEW THAT HIS SON WORE OR OWNED A PAIR OF BOOTS
17 THAT RESEMBLED THOSE OR WERE IDENTICAL TO THOSE.

18 MR. MEDRANO: AND WERE THERE ANY PAIR OF PANTS
19 OR LEVIS THAT HE WAS ABLE TO IDENTIFY?

20 THE WITNESS: YES. HE WAS ALSO ABLE TO
21 IDENTIFY A PAIR OF JEANS THAT HAD BEEN ON THE BODY, AND
22 WERE SHOWN TO HIM THERE.

23 MR. MEDRANO: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, LET ME ASK
24 YOU AT THIS TIME IF YOU HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR

25 MR. BERRELLEZ THUS FAR.

1 SEEING NO HANDS, AT THIS TIME CAN I ASK
2 YOU, MR. BERRELLEZ, TO STEP OUTSIDE, SIR?

3 THE WITNESS: YES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4 (WITNESS LEAVES THE GRAND JURY ROOM.)

5

6

-000-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, MARK IRVIN SCHWEITZER, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD AT THE TIME AND PLACE THEREIN SET FORTH, AND WERE TAKEN DOWN BY ME IN SHORTHAND AS GIVEN AND THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED BY MEANS OF COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION:

THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES SO TAKEN.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HERUNTO SUBSCRIBED MY NAME AND AFFIXED MY SEAL ON THIS 4TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1989.

Mark Irvin Schweitzer
MARK IRVIN SCHWEITZER
COURT REPORTER

